

4-2017

Back Matter

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr>

Recommended Citation

(2017) "Back Matter," *The Foundation Review*: Vol. 9: Iss. 1, Article 12.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9707/1944-5660.1355>

Available at: <http://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr/vol9/iss1/12>

This Back Matter is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@GVSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Foundation Review by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks@GVSU. For more information, please contact scholarworks@gvsu.edu.

executive summaries

Results

7

Foundations as Network Strategists, Weavers, and Managers: Learning From One Foundation’s Journey and Results

Clare Nolan, M.P.P., Engage R+D; Brian Souza, M.S.W., and Michael Monopoli, D.M.D., DentaQuest Foundation; and Marianne Hughes, Interaction Institute for Social Change

Although most oral disease is preventable, there are profound disparities across populations due to gaps in service delivery. This article shares insights from a five-year evaluation of the Oral Health 2020 network, an effort by the DentaQuest Foundation to align and strengthen efforts in service of a national movement to improve the delivery of oral health services..

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1362

23

A Community Foundation’s Experience Implementing and Evaluating General Operating Support

Annemarie Riemer, M.Plan, and Erika Frank, M.S., the Hartford Foundation, and Hedda Rublin, M.P.P., and Susan Merrow-Kehoe, B.S., Technical Development Corp.

Interest is growing among foundations in assessing whether and how to offer general operating support. In 2013, the Hartford Foundation for Public Giving began to offer unrestricted general operating support grants in response to grantees’ expressed need. This article shares early indicators of the impact of the new grantmaking approach on both grantees and the foundation.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1363

36

Marguerite Casey Foundation: Reflecting on 15 Years of Philanthropic Leadership Through a Summative Evaluation

Mavis Sanders, Ph.D., University of Maryland-Baltimore County; Claudia Galindo, Ph.D., University of Maryland-College Park; and Luz Vega-Marquis, M.A., and Cheryl Milloy, Ph.D., Marguerite Casey Foundation

Established in October 2001, the Marguerite Casey Foundation has sought to build a movement to transform the lives of poor families and children. This article draws from a summative evaluation designed to capture stakeholders’ perceptions of the foundation’s operations to facilitate organizational learning. In sharing these results, the authors seek to elucidate the role of evaluation as a learning practice within the field of philanthropy.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1364

Tools

53

A Neighborhood-Based Family Center Redesign Process: Taking a Systems Perspective

Patricia Bowie, M.P.H., UCLA Center for Healthier Children, Families, & Communities; and Richard A. Sussman, Ph.D., Hartford Foundation for Public Giving

The Hartford Foundation for Public Giving, with a subset of its grantees and their program recipients, teamed with the UCLA Center for Healthier Children, Families, & Communities to redesign its evaluation process. The foundation's shift from traditional program evaluation to a more participatory, learning-focused approach resulted in new tools to assess variables that had been previously unexamined but were critical to program success. This article examines the redesign process and those new tools.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1365

64

Insights From Deploying a Collaborative Process for Funding Systems Change

Alison McCarthy, M.S.W., and Jacob Bornstein, M.S., Spark Policy Institute; Tiffany Perrin, M.S.W., Colorado Health Foundation; Jennifer James, M.A., Harder+Company Community Research; and Bill Fulton, Ph.D., Civic Canopy

Many foundations are seeking to impact root causes of social issues through funding initiatives that are both technically and socially complicated and where past experience is no guarantee of success. This article looks at an application of emergent strategy at the Colorado Health Foundation. It shares tools used to design the funding approach for the foundation's Creating Healthy Schools initiative.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1366

77

The Missing Link for Maximizing Impact: Foundations Assess Their Capacity

Melinda Fine, Ed.D., Jared Raynor, M.S., Jessica Mowles, M.P.A., and Deepti Sood, M.A., TCC Group

A rapidly changing, global sociopolitical environment requires foundations to be nimble in maximizing opportunities to advance their agendas. This article discusses an assessment of 54 foundations that participated in taking a new tool, developed for funders by TCC Group, to explore five core capacity areas shown to be central to organizational effectiveness. The Foundation Core Capacity Assessment Tool's findings prompt reflection and collective learning.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1367

executive summaries

Sector

93

Foundations Don't Know What They're Risking

Maya Winkelstein, MSc, Open Road Alliance, and Shelley Whelpton, M.Ed, Arabella Advisors

Critical gaps exist in philanthropy's definitions of and approach to risk management. The Open Road Alliance and Arabella Advisors pursued several research projects to examine risk, contingency funding, and existing foundation policies and procedures related to risk. This article describes the scope of the problem and a framework for philanthropists to adopt risk-management practices that better equip the sector to address the challenges of our time.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1368

109

Why Some Perpetual Foundations Aren't (Perpetual): Observations on the Importance of Inflation Effects on the Economics of Foundations

John Riche Ettinger, J.D.

This article demonstrates the relevance of correctly accounting for inflation to foundation structure and programs – including, for example, in analyzing perpetual versus spend-down strategies and in comparing the cost-effectiveness of programs over different time periods. Investment teams must also be provided with return targets, which are highly sensitive to inflation and which in turn determine a risk estimate that must be considered by foundation fiduciaries.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1369

119

Philanthropy As One Big Impact Investment: A Framework For Evaluating A Foundation's Blended Performance

Rohit T. Aggarwala, Ph.D., Columbia University, and Claudine A. Frasch, M.B.A., Gensler

While some foundations have put their entire focus on impact investing, philanthropy still lacks the tools that enable such investments to be made with the same rigor as the best financial investments and philanthropic grants. This article proposes a framework for evaluating a foundation's blended performance that enables both grantmaking and endowment investing to be evaluated jointly, and thus also allows a complete evaluation of how impact investments could improve – or fail to improve – overall performance.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1370

Reflective Practice

134

Staying the Course: How a Long-Term Strategic Donor Initiative to Conserve the Amazon Has Yielded Outcomes of Global Significance

Jared Hardner, M.S., and R.E. Gullison, Ph.D., Hardner & Gullison Associates LLC, and Elizabeth O'Neill, M.S., Elizabeth O'Neill Impact Consulting

The largest of the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation's environmental initiatives is the Andes Amazon Initiative, which has invested nearly \$369 million over its first 15 years to protect the forest cover and biodiversity of the Amazon. This article examines how the design principles of a major philanthropic initiative have influenced its performance, and provides a practical example of strategic philanthropy that can contribute to the current debate over the merits and flaws of this approach.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1371

call for papers

FOR VOLUME 10, ISSUE 2

Abstracts of up to 250 words are being solicited for Volume 10, Issue 2 of *The Foundation Review*. This issue will be an open (unthemed) issue. Papers on any topic relevant to organized philanthropy are invited.

Submit abstracts to submissions@foundationreview.org by September 6, 2017. If a full paper is invited, it will be due January 2, 2018 for consideration for publication in June 2018.

Abstracts are solicited in four categories:

- **Results.** Papers in this category generally report on findings from evaluations of foundation-funded work. Papers should include a description of the theory of change (logic model, program theory), a description of the grant-making strategy, the evaluation methodology, the results, and discussion. The discussion should focus on what has been learned both about the programmatic content and about grantmaking and other foundation roles (convening, etc.).
- **Tools.** Papers in this category should describe tools useful for foundation staff or boards. By “tool” we mean a systematic, replicable method intended for a specific purpose. For example, a protocol to assess community readiness for a giving circle would be considered a tool. The actual tool should be included in the article where practical. The paper should describe the rationale for the tool, how it was developed, and available evidence of its usefulness.
- **Sector.** Papers in this category address issues that confront the philanthropic sector as whole, such as diversity, accountability, etc. These are typically empirically based; literature reviews are also considered.
- **Reflective Practice.** The reflective practice articles rely on the knowledge and experience of the authors, rather than on formal evaluation methods or designs. In these cases, it is because of their perspective about broader issues, rather than specific initiatives, that the article is valuable.

Book Reviews: *The Foundation Review* publishes reviews of relevant books. Please contact the editor to discuss submitting a review. Reviewers must be free of conflicts of interest.

Questions? Contact Teri Behrens, editor of *The Foundation Review*, at behrenst@foundationreview.org or call 734-646-2874.

THE FoundationReview®

ONLINE

1 YEAR, 4 ISSUES

Individual \$83

Institutional..... \$335

Student \$40

PRINT & ONLINE

1 YEAR, 4 ISSUES

Individual \$101

Institutional..... \$375

HOW TO ORDER

➤ Go Online:

www.TheFoundationReview.org

➤ Send an Email:

tfr@subscriptionoffice.com

➤ Call Us:

(205) 995-1567

\$30 service fee added to international print orders.

FREE TRIAL SUBSCRIPTION

Start your 90-day free online trial at www.TheFoundationReview.org. You'll also receive a complimentary print copy of the latest issue in the mail.

MULTI-SITE PRICING FOR INSTITUTIONS

Full price for one site, plus a **50% discount** for each additional site

PLUS...

Your subscription includes access to **FREE** quarterly webinars!

Sponsor Subscriptions

If you or your organization are interested in supporting the work of *The Foundation Review*, please contact Teri Behrens at behrenst@foundationreview.org.

Become a Partner

Discounted subscriptions are offered to members of partner organizations. Contact Pat Robinson at pat.robinson@gvsu.edu for more information.

The Foundation Review is the first peer-reviewed journal of philanthropy, written by and for foundation staff and boards and those who work with them. With a combination of rigorous research and accessible writing, it can help you and your team put new ideas and good practices to work for more effective philanthropy.

The Foundation Review is published quarterly by the Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University in Grand Rapids, Michigan.

Learn more at www.TheFoundationReview.org.

THE FoundationReview®

The Foundation Review is the first peer-reviewed journal of philanthropy, written by and for foundation staff and boards and those who work with them. With a combination of rigorous research and accessible writing, it can help you and your team put new ideas and good practices to work for more effective philanthropy.

Our Mission: To share evaluation results, tools, and knowledge about the philanthropic sector in order to improve the practice of grantmaking, yielding greater impact and innovation.

Published Quarterly by the Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University

www.thefoundationreview.org

ISSN 1944-5660 | eISSN 1944-5679