

5-2012

Evaluating offshore wind resources and ecological conditions in Lake Michigan with a NOMAD buoy and laser sensor

Erik Edward Nordman
Grand Valley State University, nordmane@gvsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/windpresentations>

ScholarWorks Citation

Nordman, Erik Edward, "Evaluating offshore wind resources and ecological conditions in Lake Michigan with a NOMAD buoy and laser sensor" (2012). *Presentations*. 1.
<https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/windpresentations/1>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Offshore Wind Project at ScholarWorks@GVSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Presentations by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@GVSU. For more information, please contact scholarworks@gvsu.edu.

Evaluating offshore wind resources and ecological conditions in Lake Michigan with a NOMAD buoy and laser sensor

Erik Nordman, Ph.D.
Grand Valley State University

with

A. Boezaart

J. Edmonson

Y. Nieves

N. Pervez

A. Rahman, Ph.D.

M. Sozen, Ph.D.

C. Standridge, Ph.D.

D. Zeitler, Ph.D.

G. Meadows, Ph.D. (Northern Michigan University)




Are floating, laser-pulse sensors an effective alternative to offshore, tower-mounted anemometers?



The need for new measurement tools



Deployment and analysis



Validation of floating sensor


Offshore tower-mounted anemometers are costly to construct. Alternative systems are needed.



Source: Noordzeewind

Offshore met tower costs range from \$2.5 million to \$10 million


Deep water particularly expensive



DOE: Need on-site measurements

- Validate models
- Support projects
- Existing buoys ill-suited
- *New technologies must be verified*

Floating, laser pulse sensors have the potential to resolve some of the DOE's challenges, but must be validated.



The Vindicator

- Laser pulse
- 6 range gates
- Motion compensation
- 1 second data
- Gauge precision = 0.1 m/s

Floating platform:
Nomad buoy

Water quality
sensors

We tested the WindSentinel buoy from AXYS Technologies

The validation protocol consisted of two comparisons.

Previous studies have validated the operation of laser (LiDAR) sensors using co-located tower anemometers.

1. Compare laser sensors

- Buoy-mounted
- Land-based



2. Compare:

- Buoy-mounted laser sensor
- Land-based anemometer



Validation criteria

Mean differences are...

- not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$)
- not operationally significant (< 0.1 m/s)

A comparison of fixed and buoy-mounted laser units found no operationally significant differences.



Race Rocks, BC
Two Vindicator units

- 3 range gates
- 700 m apart
- Data collected by buoy manufacturer, analyzed by GVSU



Paired *t*-tests, $n = 3022$

Height	Mean difference	SD
100 m	0.13* m/s	0.48
150 m	0.08* m/s	0.48
200 m	0.07* m/s	0.48

* $p < 0.05$


Validation criteria

Mean differences are...

- Not statistically significant 
- Not operationally significant 

Conclusion: motion compensation works

The research team validated buoy measurements using an onshore anemometer.



Field trial

Muskegon Lake, Michigan
October 7 – November 3, 2011
10 minute average data
Gauge precision = 0.1 m/s

2 wind regimes



Calm
<6.7 m/s



Windy
>6.7 m/s

3 storm events were removed from the dataset.

Wind images source: Corbis Images

The buoy was placed about 400 m offshore from the met tower at the east end of Muskegon Lake.





On calm days, the measurement differences were not operationally significant.

Calm days <6.7 m/s

Paired t -test, $n = 2149$

Mean difference = -0.10^* (0.58)

* $p < 0.05$

Validation criteria

Mean differences are...


• Not statistically significant



• Not operationally significant



Conclusion: On calm days, laser as accurate as anemometer.





On windy days, the measurement differences were not statistically or operationally significant.

Windy days >6.7 m/s, no storms

Paired t -test, $n = 416$

Mean difference = -0.03 m/s (1.09)

$p > 0.05$


Validation criteria

Mean differences are...

- Not statistically significant
- Not operationally significant



Conclusion: On windy days, laser as accurate as anemometer.



Buoy-mounted laser sensors show promise as an alternative to offshore met towers.

Under most conditions, the measured wind speed differences were not operationally significant.

Race Rocks (two laser sensors)

- Differences not operationally significant



Muskegon Lake


Calm days

- Differences not operationally significant



Windy days

- Differences not statistically or operationally significant



The research buoy is now deployed at Lake Michigan's Mid-Lake Plateau.



Collecting data on:



Wind



Birds



Bats




Water
(biological)




Water
(physical)

Data images source: Corbis Images

Thank you for this opportunity.



Email: nordmane@gvsu.edu



This project was
funded in part by:

- US Dept. of Energy
- We Energies
- Sierra Club

Project partners:

- GVSU
- University of Michigan
- Michigan Natural Features Inventory
- AXYS Technologies, Inc.