Michigan Journal of Public Health

Volume 10 | Issue 1

Article 8

February 2020

Lack of food access and food consumption patterns of late midlife women in southeast Michigan

Sarah S. Bassiouni University of Michigan

Ebony M. White University of Michigan

Carrie Karvonen-Gutierrez University of Michigan

Sioban D. Harlow University of Michigan

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/mjph

Part of the Epidemiology Commons, and the Women's Health Commons

Recommended Citation

Bassiouni, Sarah S.; White, Ebony M.; Karvonen-Gutierrez, Carrie; and Harlow, Sioban D. (2020) "Lack of food access and food consumption patterns of late midlife women in southeast Michigan," *Michigan Journal of Public Health*: Vol. 10: Iss. 1, Article 8. Available at: https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/mjph/vol10/iss1/8

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@GVSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Michigan Journal of Public Health by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks@GVSU. For more information, please contact scholarworks@gvsu.edu.

Lack of food access and food consumption patterns of late midlife women in southeast Michigan

Cover Page Footnote

Research support The Study of Women's Health Across the Nation (SWAN) has grant support from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), DHHS, through the National Institute on Aging (NIA), the National Institute of Nursing Research (NINR) and the NIH Office of Research on Women's Health (ORWH) (Grants U01NR004061; U01AG012505, U01AG012535, U01AG012531, U01AG012539, U01AG012546, U01AG012553, U01AG012554, U01AG012495). The content of this manuscript is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIA, NINR, ORWH or the NIH. The Michigan site of SWAN had grant support from AG017104. CKG had grant support from AG024824-10. SDH gratefully acknowledges use of the services and facilities of the Population Studies Center at the University of Michigan, funded by NICHD Center Grant R24 HD041028. Acknowledgement Clinical Centers: University of Michigan, Ann Arbor – Siobán Harlow, Pl 2011 – present, MaryFran Sowers, PI 1994-2011; Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA - Joel Finkelstein, PI 1999 - present; Robert Neer, PI 1994 - 1999; Rush University, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL - Howard Kravitz, PI 2009 - present; Lynda Powell, Pl 1994 - 2009; University of California, Davis/Kaiser - Ellen Gold, Pl; University of California, Los Angeles - Gail Greendale, PI; Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY -Carol Derby, PI 2011 - present, Rachel Wildman, PI 2010 - 2011; Nanette Santoro, PI 2004 - 2010; University of Medicine and Dentistry - New Jersey Medical School, Newark - Gerson Weiss, PI 1994 -2004; and the University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA - Karen Matthews, PI. NIH Program Office: National Institute on Aging, Bethesda, MD - Chhanda Dutta 2016- present; Winifred Rossi 2012-2016; Sherry Sherman 1994 – 2012; Marcia Ory 1994 – 2001; National Institute of Nursing Research, Bethesda, MD – Program Officers. Central Laboratory: University of Michigan, Ann Arbor - Daniel McConnell (Central Ligand Assay Satellite Services). Coordinating Center: University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA - Maria Mori Brooks, PI 2012 - present; Kim Sutton-Tyrrell, PI 2001 - 2012; New England Research Institutes, Watertown, MA - Sonja McKinlay, Pl 1995 - 2001. Steering Committee: Susan Johnson, Current Chair; Chris Gallagher, Former Chair We thank the study staff at each site and all the women who participated in SWAN. The authors would also like to thank Mia Qing Peng and Michelle Hood for their assistance in data curation, and Kerry McCullough for leading the data collection efforts. Sarah S. Bassiouni is now at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine in La Jolla, California and Ebony M. White is now at Wayne State University School of Medicine in Detroit, MI. Author Contributions SSB, EMW, CKG, SDH conceptualized the study. SSB and EMW contributed equally to conducting the analyses and drafting the initial manuscript. SDH designed the overall SWAN study and oversaw subject recruitment and data collection. All authors critically reviewed and revised the manuscript, approved the final version as submitted and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. Conflicts of interest None of the authors have a conflict of interest to report.

INTRODUCTION

Food security is a crucial aspect of human life that affects individuals at every level, from local communities to the global stage (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2003; Huang, Rosenberg, Simonovich, & Belza, 2012). A key component of food security is food access, which has been previously defined by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations as "access by individuals to adequate resources (entitlements) for acquiring appropriate foods for a nutritious diet" (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2006). This is distinct from food availability, wherein the key is whether "sufficient quantities of food of appropriate quality" are available (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2006). In addition to the direct effects of food insecurity and inadequate food access on stunting and malnutrition, they have also been recognized as playing a role in poorer health outcomes for diseases, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and obesity (Weiser et al., 2009; World Hunger Education Service, 2016). In some cases, food insecurity results in patients postponing necessary medical care and medications (Kushel, Gupta, Gee, & Haas, 2006).

In 2015, 12.7 percent of U.S. households were considered food insecure, where they did not have access at all times to "enough food for an active, healthy life for all household members" (United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service, 2016). This translated to 15.8 million U.S. households (United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service, 2016). Rates were higher for households with women living alone (14.7 percent), non-Hispanic African American households (21.5 percent), and low-income households (32.8 percent). Food insecurity was highest for households in non-metropolitan areas (15.4 percent) and intermediate

for households in principal cities of metropolitan areas (14.1 percent) (United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service, 2016). While previous work has been done regarding food access in midlife and older adults (Huang et al., 2012), there is a paucity of research on women in midlife and the challenges they face regarding food access.

The study of nutrition in midlife has shown that this is a crucial transition period for health, especially cardiovascular disease (Lachman, 2015; Lachman, Teshale, & Agrigoroaei, 2015). Cardiovascular disease continues to account for approximately one-third of female deaths in the United States and elevated hypertension in midlife has also been associated with increased risk of dementia (Garcia, Mulvagh, Merz, Buring, & Manson, 2016; Nishtala et al., 2015). Premenopausal women on average have a lower incidence of cardiovascular disease than postmenopausal women; while some of this difference in risk is due to changes in estrogen levels, a large component is also due to diet (Stice, Lee, Pechenino, & Knowlton, 2014). Studies have demonstrated the efficacy of the Mediterranean diet in reducing and preventing the development of cardiovascular disease, as well as diabetes, obesity and other conditions (Widmer, Flammer, Lerman, & Lerman, 2015).

Previous work has considered the relationship between neighborhood food environment and fruit/vegetable intake in southeast Michigan; these studies were primarily conducted before the 2007-2008 economic recession (Izumi, Zenk, Schulz, Mentz, & Wilson, 2011; Zenk et al., 2009, 2014). The ripples of the recession significantly affected the local economy of southeast Michigan and culminated in Detroit filing for bankruptcy (Associated Press, 2014; Davey, 2013; Klinefelter, 2015). Since that time, studies have considered neighborhood environment role in psychosocial stress-diet relationships but information on food access challenges faced by women in midlife in southeast Michigan is limited (Zenk et al., 2013).

This study addressed two primary questions: (1) What demographic factors are correlated with limited food access among late mid-life women in southeast Michigan? and (2) What is the relationship between food access and food consumption patterns?

METHODS

Study Sample

This study was conducted among participants at the Michigan site of the Study of Women's Health Across the Nation (SWAN), a multiethnic, multisite longitudinal cohort study initiated in 1996 (Sowers et al., 2000). Only the Michigan SWAN site conducted a site-specific ancillary study to assess food availability and eating behaviors as part of the Visit 15 Follow-up protocol in 2015-2016. A full description of recruitment and study design for all 7 clinical sites of the national SWAN has been previously published (Sowers et al., 2000). The baseline eligibility criteria included being aged 42–52 years, having an intact uterus and at least one ovary, no use of exogenous hormones affecting ovarian function within the 3 months prior to recruitment, menses in the past 3 months, and self-identification with a site's designated racial/ethnic group (African American or White at the Michigan site). The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at each site, and written informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

The Michigan cohort comprises a population-based sample of African-American and White women living in southeast Michigan. By the 2015-2016 visit, 57 women had died and 79.8%

(n=388) of the still-living participants remained active in the cohort. Of the active cohort, 329 women (84.8%) participated in the 2015-2016 follow-up visit, 13 of whom had missing data for the Site-Specific Food and Technology Environment Questionnaire leaving 316 women as the analytic sample for this manuscript.

Outcome Measures and Covariates

The primary outcome of interest was perceived neighborhood access to adequate food shopping alternatives. Food access was assessed using the following question, adapted from a validated food environment questionnaire (Ma et al., 2013): "How much of a problem would you say that a lack of access to adequate food shopping is in your neighborhood? Please think of your neighborhood as the area within a 20-minute walk or about a 5-mile car ride from your home. Would you say it is a very serious problem, somewhat serious problem, minor problem or not really a problem?" For analysis, responses were dichotomized as food access is a problem, incorporating any level of reported problem, and food access is not a problem.

Participants were also asked to indicate how frequently they consumed specific foods (fresh fruits, fresh vegetables, whole grains, fresh beans, fresh nuts & seeds, lean meats, low-fat dairy, fried foods, and sweets/desserts) and meal types; (home-made meals, fast food meals, pre-packaged meals, take-out meals, and eat-in restaurant meals). Responses were: never, very rarely, rarely, occasionally, frequently, very frequently or always. Based on preliminary descriptive analyses of response frequencies, the responses were collapsed as follows: (1) very rarely or less (2) rarely or occasionally, and (3) frequently or more. Finally, participants

indicated what type of stores were present in their neighborhood and among those, where they did the majority of their food shopping.

Covariates included self-reported race/ethnicity and educational attainment, age, perceived economic stress, body mass index (BMI), marital status, employment status, and total physical activity. To assess perceived economic stress, women were asked whether it was very hard, somewhat hard or not hard at all to pay for basics such as food, housing, and medical care. Body mass index (BMI, kg/m^2) was calculated from weight and height measurements obtained by calibrated balance beam scale and stadiometer, respectively. BMI was classified into three groups based on the World Health Organization categories: underweight (<18.50 kg/m²), normal weight (18.50-24.99 kg/m²), overweight (25.00-29.99 kg/m²), and obese (\geq 30 kg/m²) (World Health Organization, n.d.). Total physical activity was measured at the prior visit by using a modified Baecke et al. questionnaire based on frequency of engaging in activities in the following domains: sports and exercise activity, non-sport leisure activity, and household and childcare activity (Baecke, Burema, & Frijters, 1982). Each domain has a score ranging from 1 to 5, with higher scores indicating more physical activity. Domain-specific scores were determined based on the average of individual items within each domain. The total physical activity score (range 3-15) is the sum of the domain-specific scores. The total physical activity score used in the analyses was dichotomized based on median-split.

Statistical Analyses

Analyses were conducted using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., 2012). Descriptive statistics and bivariate associations between food access and all covariates were examined using chi-squared tests or Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables or t-tests for continuous variables. Multiple logistic regression analysis was used with forward selection to estimate the adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals for correlates of food access. Variables that were suggestive of a bivariate association (p < 0.05) were included in the initial multivariable models. The forward variable selection procedure was used to identify the most suitable multivariable models by including variables that were statistically significant correlates of food access after adjusting for other factors.

Demographics and food consumption variables were entered into separate logistic regression models, to assess likelihood of having lack of access to quality food. Then food consumption variables that were significantly associated with food access were added to the final demographic model, again using forward model procedures. The Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness-of-fit index was examined to check overall model fit (Hosmer, Jr., Lemeshow, & Sturdivant, 2013).

RESULTS

Overall, 20.9% of respondents indicated that lack of access to adequate food shopping in their neighborhood was a problem and 39.5% reported experiencing economic strain, finding it either somewhat or very hard to pay for basics. Women's median age at the 2015-2016 visit was 65 years and 60% of the women were obese (Table 1). Underweight women were excluded from subsequent analyses, as only two women met the underweight guideline.

Lack of access to adequate food shopping in neighborhood

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study sample and the results of the bivariate relationship between participant characteristics and lack of food access. Among women who reported lack of food access, two-thirds (62.7%) experienced economic stress, while only one-third (33.3%) of those who reported no food access issues experienced economic stress (p<0.0001). Further, race/ethnic differences in food access were observed; 73% of women who reported lack of food access were African American (p=0.02). In Michigan-SWAN women, lack of food access also varied with BMI and was most common among women who were obese (p=0.037). Among women who reported no food access issues, 57.4% were obese, while 64.9% of those who reported having food access issues were obese.

Lack of food access was also more common among women who were ever married (p=0.015), but there was no statistically significant association between lack of food access and age, employment status, education, or total physical activity.

Food Consumption characteristics

Table 2 gives information on the distribution of food consumption characteristics by lack of food access and, given its relationship to food access, economic strain.

Women who reported lack of access to adequate food in their neighborhood consumed fresh fruits, fresh vegetables, and lean meats less frequently than those who did not report having a lack of access (p=0.04, p=0.001, p=0.048, respectively). Homemade meals were associated with lack of food access and economic strain (p=0.02 and p=0.004, respectively). Eighty-seven percent of respondents ate homemade meals 'frequently or more', however those who reported

lack of food access were more likely to report eating homemade meals 'rarely or occasionally' (15.2 % vs 8.0%) and 'very rarely or less' (7.6% vs 2.4%) compared to women who did not have food access issues (p=0.02). Fried food frequency was associated with lack of food access (p=0.02) and economic strain (p=0.04). Women who reported lack of access ate fried foods more frequently than women without access issues (12.1% vs 3.6%). Contrary to the stereotype (Fleischhacker, Evenson, Rodriguez, & Ammerman, 2011), the likelihood of consuming fast food meals did not differ between those who experienced economic strain and those who did not. However, dining at eat-in restaurants was less common among women who reported a lack of food access (p=0.04) and economic strain (p=0.03) where women who did not report access issues or economic strain were more likely to dine at eat-in restaurants. Economic strain also associated with less frequent consumption of fruits (p=0.007), vegetables (p=0.016), fresh nuts/seeds (p=0.012), and lean meats (p=0.048), (Table 2).

Lack of access and economic strain were not associated with differences in consumption of whole grains, fresh beans, low-fat dairy, or sweets/desserts. The majority of respondents reported eating pre-packaged meals and takeout meals 'rarely or occasionally' (Table 2). However, eating these types of meals was not associated with lack of food access or economic strain.

Risk factors for lack of access to adequate food shopping in neighborhood

Table 3 shows the final multivariable models including demographics only and demographics and eating behaviors. In the final demographic model, economic stress was associated with more than a 2.5 times higher odds of lack of food access (OR 2.86; 95% CI 2.53-5.33), as was being

African American (OR 2.49; 95% CI 1.20-5.17). For both of these variables, the odds of lack of food access was attenuated when adjusting for eating behavior variables (Table 3, Model 2).

When controlling for demographics and eating behavior variables, lack of food access was strongly association with a lack of eating fresh vegetables (p=0.0001). Individuals who ate vegetables occasionally or less or frequently had higher odds of lack of food access than those who ate fresh vegetables very frequently (OR 8.55; 95% CI 2.82-25.92) or always (OR 3.22; 95% CI: 1.33-8.29). However, those who reported eating fresh fruit occasionally or less were less likely to experience food access issues (OR 0.33; 95% CI 0.11-0.99). Women who ate at eat-in restaurants frequently or more were less likely to report lack of food access compared to women who ate at such restaurants occasionally (OR 0.40; 95% CI: 0.19-0.84) or less (OR 0.25; 95% CI: 0.07-0.86).

DISCUSSION AND KEY FINDINGS

This study presented several key findings related to nutrition and food access for women in late midlife.

 In this Southeast Michigan cohort, women who had difficulty paying for the very basics, were African American, or were overweight or obese were significantly more likely to report lack of access to adequate food shopping in their neighborhood, even after adjusting for other demographic characteristics including age, education, and employment status. Our finding of a correlation between lack of food access, economic strain, and lack of eating fresh vegetables is consistent with previous findings that have found that individuals who live in areas without supermarkets pay more for food (Dubowitz et al., 2017; Walker, Keane, & Burke, 2010). In contrast, a prior study found that low-income neighborhoods had fourfold as many grocery stores as the wealthiest neighborhoods (Moore & Roux, 2006). Several studies have shown an association between race and lack of food access or food insecurity, which supports our finding that African American women were more likely to report lack of access to quality food in their neighborhood (Bodor, Rice, Farley, Swalm, & Rose, 2010; Dubowitz et al., 2017; Fleischhacker et al., 2011; Freedman, Western, & Liese, 2013; Moore & Roux, 2006; Stuff et al., 2004). Similarly, our finding that obese women were significantly more likely to report that lack of food access was a problem is consistent with previous work that found obesity more prevalent in food insecure women (Adams, Grummer-strawn, & Chavez, 2003). Our finding also complements prior studies which have found associations between obesity and lack of food access in rural communities (Adams et al., 2003; Calancie et al., 2015; Johnson et al., 2014; Sharkey, Johnson, Dean, & Horel, 2011).

2. The frequency of homemade meals is significantly associated with both lack of access to food shopping in participants' neighborhoods and perceived economic stress. There is a distinct paucity of studies exploring the association of homemade meals and food access among women in midlife, particularly late midlife. One prior study found that older adults tended to eat more fruits and vegetables than the general population, but that study focused on geriatric individuals and found that the reported habits were heterogeneous and that the most nutritious foods were under-consumed (Nicklett & Kadell, 2013). Thus, our study furthers knowledge of how frequently women in late midlife report eating fruits and vegetables, which has been shown to significantly reduce cardiovascular disease incidence and all-cause mortality (Sharkey et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014; Widmer et al., 2015).

3. We found in bivariate analysis that marital status was correlated with whether women had a perceived problem with lack of food access. In one previous study marital status was not significantly associated with limited access to healthy foods in low-income African-American households (Dubowitz et al., 2017). Marital status has been correlated with overall better health, including improved sleep for women in midlife (Nicklett & Kadell, 2013; Robles, Slatcher, Trombello, & McGinn, 2014; Troxel et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2014). Thus, more work will need to be conducted to further elucidate the relationship between marital status and food access, especially among minority women.

Strengths and limitations

This study had several strengths. No prior studies have sought to determine the characteristics of women in late midlife who have issues with food access in the southeastern Michigan area. The longitudinal sample included a relatively high prevalence of overweight and obese individuals. Additionally, since these women had been recruited and retained for multiple SWAN visits, they may have felt more comfortable sharing personal details about food access and economic stress with the interviewers. Study participant height and weight were measured in the office, which reduced bias from under-reporting weight and over-reporting height.

Limitations included the cross-sectional nature of the study and the relatively small sample size. Information on food access, economic stress, and food/meal type and frequency was collected via questionnaire, which relies on participant recall of sensitive information and estimated portions. Additionally, we could not assess food access and its association with being underweight, given that only two participants were underweight. Limited evidence suggests that weight decline in midlife may be associated with lower cognitive abilities and osteopenia (Dahl et al., 2013; Tatsumi et al., 2016); however, there is a dearth of data regarding the relationship between being underweight in late midlife and lack of food access in high resource countries. Finally, the results may not be generalizable to populations dissimilar to this cohort. Nonetheless, these data enabled us to explore important differences within a small regional area.

CONCLUSION

Midlife provides a key opportunity to intervene and reduce cardiovascular disease and improve health. This study, in conjunction with prior literature, finds that women in late midlife in southeastern Michigan who are economically stressed, African American, or overweight are at increased risk for reporting lack of food access. Thus, future state and local policies and interventions should work toward mitigating food access issues.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics for all SWAN-MI participants by Lack of Food Access (n=316) and How Hard to Pay for Very Basics (n=281), 2016

Lack of Food Access

Demographic

| Characteristics, n (%) | All Respondents | Is a problem | Is not a problem | p-value |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Total | 316 | 66 | 250 | |
| How hard to pay for very | | | | 0.0001 |
| basics ¹ | | | | <0.0001 |
| Somewhat or very hard | 111 (39.50) | 37 (62.71) | 74 (33.33) | |
| Not hard at all | 170 (60.50) | 22 (37.29) | 148 (66.67) | |
| | | | | |
| Age calculated for V15 | | | | 0.9804 |
| <65 years | 168 (53.16) | 35 (53.03) | 133 (53.20) | |
| ≥65 years | 148 (46.84) | 31 (46.97) | 117 (46.80) | |
| Median \pm SD | 64.84 ± 2.80 | 64.95 ± 2.73 | 64.75 ± 2.83 | |

| Eth | nicity | | | | 0.0218 |
|-----|---------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------|
| | African American | 191 (60.44) | 48 (72.73) | 143 (57.20) | |
| | White | 125 (39.56) | 18 (27.27) | 107 (42.80) | |
| | | | | | |
| Edu | ication ¹ | | | | 0.2671 |
| | Less than or some high | 00 (20 51) | 10 (20.22) | 71 (20 50) | |
| | school | 90 (29.51) | 19 (29.23) | /1 (29.58) | |
| | High school | 137 (44.92) | 34 (52.31) | 103 (42.92) | |
| | ≥ College | 78 (25.57) | 12 (18.46) | 66 (27.50) | |
| | | | | | |
| Em | ployment ¹ | | | | 0.0652 |
| | Not working | 177 (62.54) | 43 (72.88) | 134 (59.82) | |
| | Currently working | 106 (37.46) | 16 (27.12) | 90 (40.18) | |
| | | | | | |
| Mai | rital status ¹ | | | | 0.0154 |
| | Single/never married | 46 (14.84) | 16 (24.24) | 30 (12.30) | |

| Married/post-married | 264 (85.16) | 50 (75.76) | 214 (87.70) | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| | | | | |
| BMI ¹ | | | | 0.0365 |
| Underweight (<18.5)* | 2 (0.71) | 1 (1.75) | 1 (0.45) | |
| Normal (18.5-24.9) | 43 (15.36) | 12 (21.05) | 31 (13.90) | |
| Overweight (25.0-29.9) | 70 (25.00) | 7 (12.28) | 63 (28.25) | |
| Obese (≥30.0) | 165 (58.93) | 37 (64.91) | 128 (57.40) | |
| | | | | |
| Total physical activity, | | | | 0.0702 |
| range 3-15 ¹ | | | | 0.9702 |
| <6.95 | 138 (48.94) | 29 (49.15) | 109 (48.88) | |
| ≥6.95 | 144 (51.06) | 30 (50.85) | 114 (51.12) | |
| Median \pm SD | 6.95 ± 1.88 | 6.95 ± 2.10 | 6.95 ± 1.82 | |

¹Missing for Lack of Food Access: How hard to pay for very basics, n=35; Education, n=11; Employment, n=33; Marital status, n=6; BMI, n=36; Total physical activity, n=34

*Underweight individuals not included in further analyses due to n=2; Fisher's exact test p=0.0284 including underweight

 Table 2: Meal and Food Type Frequency by lack of Food Access (n=316) and How Hard to Pay for Very Basics (n=316), 2016

| | | | How Hard to Pay for | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------|--|
| | | | | | Very | Very Basics | | |
| Meal Type Frequency, n (%) | All | Is a problem | Is not a problem | p-value | Somewhat or very hard | Not hard at all | p-value | |
| | 316 | 66 | 250 | | 66 | 250 | | |
| Homemade meals | | | | 0.0208 | | | 0.0041 | |
| Very rarely or less | 11 (3.48) | 5 (7.58) | 6 (2.40) | | 8 (7.21) | 2 (1.18) | | |
| Rarely or occasionally | 30 (9.49) | 10 (15.15) | 20 (8.00) | | 15 (13.51) | 12 (7.06) | | |
| Frequently or more | 275 (87.03) | 51 (77.27) | 224 (89.60) | | 88 (79.28) | 156 (91.76) | | |
| Fast food meals | | | | 0.2034 | | | 0.1818 | |
| Very rarely or less | 135 (42.72) | 32 (48.48) | 103 (41.20) | | 46 (41.44) | 73 (42.94) | | |
| Rarely or occasionally | 149 (47.15) | 25 (37.88) | 124 (49.60) | | 49 (44.14) | 84 (49.41) | | |
| Frequently or more | 32 (10.13) | 9 (13.64) | 23 (9.20) | | 16 (14.41) | 13 (7.65) | | |

| Pre | -packaged meals | | | | 0.1908 | | | 0.7009 |
|-----|------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------|------------|------------|--------|
| | Very rarely or less | 110 (34.81) | 21 (31.82) | 89 (35.60) | | 37 (33.33) | 60 (35.39) | |
| | Rarely or occasionally | 132 (41.77) | 24 (36.36) | 108 (43.20) | | 45 (40.54) | 73 (42.94) | |
| | Frequently or more | 74 (23.42) | 21 (31.82) | 53 (21.20) | | 29 (26.13) | 37 (21.76) | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Tal | xe-out meals | | | | 0.1259 | | | 0.8395 |
| | Very rarely or less | 103 (32.59 | 24 (36.36) | 79 (31.60) | | 37 (33.33) | 54 (31.76) | |
| | Rarely or occasionally | 170 (53.80) | 29 (43.94) | 141 (56.40) | | 59 (53.15) | 96 (56.47) | |
| | Frequently or more | 43 (13.61) | 13 (19.70) | 30 (12.00) | | 15 (13.51) | 20 (11.76) | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Eat | -in restaurant meals | | | | 0.0386 | | | 0.0344 |
| | Very rarely or less | 81 (25.63) | 24 (36.36) | 57 (22.80) | | 32 (28.83) | 41 (24.12) | |
| | Rarely or occasionally | 184 (58.23) | 36 (54.55) | 148 (59.20) | | 69 (62.16) | 94 (55.29) | |
| | Frequently or more | 51 (16.14) | 6 (9.09) | 45 (18.00) | | 10 (9.01) | 35 (20.59) | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Food Type Frequency, n (%)

| | | 316 | 66 | 250 | | 66 | 250 | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------|------------|-------------|--------|
| Fresh fruits ² | | | | | 0.0414 | | | 0.0071 |
| Occasionally | or less | 69 (21.84) | 19 (28.79) | 50 (20.00) | | 35 (31.53) | 27 (15.88) | |
| Frequently | | 65 (20.57) | 18 (27.27) | 47 (18.80) | | 22 (19.82) | 36 (21.18) | |
| Very frequent | ly or always | 182 (57.59) | 29 (43.94) | 153 (61.20) | | 54 (48.65) | 107 (62.94) | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Fresh vegetables ² | | | | | 0.0006 | | | 0.0159 |
| Occasionally of | or less | 69 (21.84) | 24 (36.36) | 45 (18.00) | | 35 (31.53) | 30 (17.65) | |
| Frequently | | 75 (23.73) | 19 (28.79) | 56 (22.40) | | 28 (25.23) | 42 (24.71) | |
| Very frequent | ly or always | 172 (54.43) | 23 (34.85) | 149 (59.60) | | 48 (43.24) | 98 (57.65) | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Whole grains ² | | | | | 0.6022 | | | 0.1206 |
| Occasionally of | or less | 106 (33.54) | 25 (37.88) | 81 (32.40) | | 44 (39.64) | 50 (29.41) | |
| Frequently | | 89 (28.16) | 19 (28.79) | 70 (29.00) | | 31 (27.93) | 46 (27.06) | |
| Very frequent | ly or always | 121 (38.29) | 22 (33.33) | 99 (39.60) | | 36 (32.43) | 74 (43.53) | |

| Fr | esh beans ^{2,3} | | | | 0.4917 | | | 0.7827 |
|----|---------------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------|------------|-------------|--------|
| | Occasionally or less | 206 (65.40) | 47 (71.21) | 159 (63.85) | | 73 (65.77) | 113 (66.86) | |
| | Frequently | 68 (21.59) | 11 (16.67) | 57 (22.89) | | 25 (22.52) | 33 (19.53) | |
| | Very frequently or always | 41 (13.02) | 8 (12.12) | 33 (13.25) | | 13 (11.71) | 23 (13.61) | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Fr | esh nuts and seeds ² | | | | 0.0605 | | | 0.0124 |
| | Occasionally or less | 176 (55.70) | 45 (68.18) | 131 (52.40) | | 73 (65.77) | 86 (50.59) | |
| | Frequently | 76 (24.05) | 10 (15.15) | 66 (26.40) | | 16 (14.41) | 49 (28.82) | |
| | Very frequently or always | 64 (20.25) | 11 (16.67) | 53 (21.20) | | 22 (19.82) | 35 (20.59) | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Le | an meats ² | | | | 0.0477 | | | 0.0244 |
| | Occasionally or less | 110 (34.81) | 31 (46.97) | 79 (31.60) | | 47 (42.34) | 46 (27.06) | |
| | Frequently | 98 (31.01) | 19 (28.79) | 79 (31.60) | | 28 (25.23) | 60 (35.29) | |
| | Very frequently or always | 108 (34.18) | 16 (24.24) | 92 (36.80) | | 36 (32.43) | 64 (37.65) | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Low-fat dairy²

0.3027 0.0696

| | Occasionally or less | 172 (54.43) | 36 (54.55) | 136 (54.40) | | 68 (61.26) | 81 (47.65) | |
|----|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------|------------|-------------|--------|
| | Frequently | 59 (18.67) | 16 (24.24) | 43 (17.20) | | 16 (14.41) | 38 (22.35) | |
| | Very frequently or always | 85 (26.90) | 14 (21.21) | 71 (28.40) | | 27 (24.32) | 51 (30.00) | |
| Fr | ied foods ² | | | | 0.0233 | | | 0.0437 |
| | Occasionally or less | 261 (82.59) | 50 (75.76) | 211 (84.40) | | 91 (81.98) | 141 (82.94) | |
| | Frequently | 38 (12.03) | 8 (12.12) | 30 (12.00) | | 9 (8.11) | 23 (13.53) | |
| | Very frequently or always | 17 (5.38) | 8 (12.12) | 9 (3.60) | | 11 (9.91) | 6 (3.53) | |
| Sw | veets/desserts ² | | | | 0.1153 | | | 0.176 |
| | Occasionally or less | 180 (56.96) | 45 (68.18) | 135 (54.00) | | 63 (56.76) | 95 (55.88) | |
| | Frequently | 87 (27.53) | 13 (19.70) | 74 (29.60) | | 26 (23.42) | 53 (31.18) | |
| | Very frequently or always | 49 (15.51) | 8 (12.12) | 41 (16.40) | | 22 (19.82) | 22 (12.94) | |

²Missing for How Hard to Pay for Very Basics: Fresh beans, n=36; All other variables, n=35

³Missing for Lack of Food Access: Fresh beans, n=1

Table 3: Characteristics associated with lack of access to quality food after multivariate logistic regression modelling

| | Model | 1, demograp | hics only | Model 2, demographics + | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------|--|
| Risk Factor | | | · | foo | od/meal type fi | requency | |
| | OR | 95 % CI | P-value | OR | 95 % CI | P-value | |
| How hard to pay for very basics | | | | | | | |
| Not hard at all | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | |
| Somewhat or very hard | 2.86 | 2.53-5.33 | 0.001 | 2.71 | 1.37-5.35 | 0.004 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Ethnicity | | | | | | | |
| White | 1.00 | | | 1.00 | | | |
| African American | 2.49 | 1.20-5.17 | 0.014 | 2.33 | 1.08-5.03 | 0.032 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Fresh vegetables | | | | | | | |
| Very frequently or always | - | - | - | 1.00 | | | |
| Frequently | - | - | - | 3.32 | 1.33-8.29 | .0103 | |

| Occasionally or less | - | - | - | 8.55 | 2.82-25.92 | 0.0001 |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|-------|------------|--------|
| | | | | | | |
| Fresh fruit | | | | | | |
| Very frequently or always | - | - | - | 1.00 | | |
| Frequently | - | - | - | 1.261 | 0.51-3.12 | 0.616 |
| Occasionally or less | - | - | - | 0.330 | 0.11-0.99 | 0.048 |
| | | | | | | |
| Eat-in restaurant meals | | | | | | |
| Occasionally or less | - | - | - | 1.00 | | |
| Frequently | - | - | - | 0.396 | 0.19-0.84 | 0.015 |
| Very frequently or always | - | - | _ | 0.252 | 0.07-0.86 | 0.028 |

REFERENCES

- Adams, E. J., Grummer-strawn, L., & Chavez, G. (2003). Community and International Nutrition Food Insecurity Is Associated with Increased Risk of Obesity in California. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 133(4), 1070–1074. Retrieved from http://jn.nutrition.org/content/133/4/1070.long
- Associated Press. (2014). Timeline of Detroit's financial crisis. Retrieved January 1, 2017, from http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2014/nov/7/timeline-of-detroits-financial-crisis/
- Baecke, J., Burema, J., & Frijters, J. (1982). A short questionnaire for the measurement of habitual physical activity in epidemiological studies. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 36(5), 936–942. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7137077
- Bodor, J. N., Rice, J. C., Farley, T. A., Swalm, C. M., & Rose, D. (2010). Disparities in food access : Does aggregate availability of key foods from other stores offset the relative lack of supermarkets in African-American neighborhoods ? *Preventive Medicine*, *51*(1), 63–67. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2010.04.009
- Calancie, L., Leeman, J., Pitts, S. B. J., Khan, L. K., Fleischhacker, S., Evenson, K. R., ...
 Ammerman, A. (2015). Nutrition-Related Policy and Environmental Strategies to Prevent
 Obesity in Rural Communities : A Systematic Review of the Literature, 2002-2013. *Preventing Chronic DIsease*, *12*(E57), 1–16.
 http://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.5888/pcd12.140540
- Dahl, A. K., Hassing, L. B., Fransson, E. I., Gatz, M., Reynolds, C. A., & Pedersen, N. L.
 (2013). Body mass index across midlife and cognitive change in late life. *International Journal of Obesity*, *37*(2), 296–302. http://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2012.37.Body

- Davey, M. (2013). Financial Crisis Just a Symptom of Detroit's Woes. Retrieved January 1, 2017, from http://www.nytimes.com/2013/07/09/us/financial-crisis-just-a-symptom-ofdetroits-woes.html
- Dubowitz, T., Zenk, S. N., Ghosh-dastidar, B., Cohen, D. A., Beckman, R., Hunter, G., ... Collins, R. L. (2017). Healthy food access for urban food desert residents : examination of the food environment , food purchasing practices , diet and BMI, *18*(12), 2220–2230. http://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980014002742
- Fleischhacker, S. E., Evenson, K. R., Rodriguez, D. A., & Ammerman, A. S. (2011). A systematic review of fast food access studies. *Obesity Reviews*, *12*(501), 460–471. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-789X.2010.00715.x
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2003). Chapter 2. Food security: concepts and measurement. Retrieved January 1, 2017, from http://www.fao.org/docrep/005/y4671e/y4671e06.htm
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2006). *Policy Brief: Food Security*. Retrieved from http://www.fao.org/forestry/13128-0e6f36f27e0091055bec28ebe830f46b3.pdf
- Freedman, D. A., Western, C., & Liese, A. D. (2013). Developing a Multicomponent Model of Nutritious Food Access and Related Implications for Community and Policy Practice. *Journal of Community Practice*, 21(4), 379–409. http://doi.org/10.1080/10705422.2013.842197.Developing
- Garcia, M., Mulvagh, S. L., Merz, C. N. B., Buring, J. E., & Manson, J. A. E. (2016).Cardiovascular disease in women: Clinical perspectives. *Circulation Research*, 118(8),

1273-1293. http://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.307547

- Hosmer, Jr., D. W., Lemeshow, S., & Sturdivant, R. X. (2013). *Applied Logistic Regression*. (D. J. Balding, N. A. C. Cressie, G. M. Fitzmaurice, H. Goldstein, I. M. Johnstone, G. Molenberghs, ... S. Weisberg, Eds.) (3rd ed.). Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Retrieved from http://www.wiley.com/WileyCDA/WileyTitle/productCd-0470582472.html
- Huang, D. L., Rosenberg, D. E., Simonovich, S. D., & Belza, B. (2012). Food access patterns and barriers among midlife and older adults with mobility disabilities. *Journal of Aging Research*, 2012. http://doi.org/10.1155/2012/231489
- Izumi, B. T., Zenk, S. N., Schulz, A. J., Mentz, G. B., & Wilson, C. (2011). Associations between Neighborhood Availability and Individual Consumption of Dark-Green and Orange Vegetables among Ethnically Diverse Adults in Detroit. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 111(2), 274–279. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2010.10.044
- Johnson, D. B., Quinn, E., Sitaker, M., Ammerman, A., Byker, C., Dean, W., ... Sharkey, J. (2014). Developing an agenda for research about policies to improve access to healthy foods in rural communities: a concept mapping study. *BMC Public Health*, 14(592), 1–12. http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-592
- Klinefelter, Q. (2015). The Story of Detroit's Bankruptcy: A "Takeover" or an Inevitable Financial Crisis? Retrieved January 1, 2017, from http://wdet.org/posts/2015/11/08/81881the-story-of-detroits-bankruptcy-a-takeover-or-an-inevitable-financial-crisis/
- Kushel, M. B., Gupta, R., Gee, L., & Haas, J. S. (2006). Housing instability and food insecurity as barriers to health care among low-income Americans. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 21(1), 71–77. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1497.2005.00278.x

- Lachman, M. E. (2015). Mind the Gap in the Middle : A Call to Study Midlife. Research in Human Development, 12(September), 327–334. http://doi.org/10.1080/15427609.2015.1068048
- Lachman, M. E., Teshale, S., & Agrigoroaei, S. (2015). Midlife as a Pivotal Period in the Life Course: Balancing Growth and Decline at the Crossroads of Youth and Old Age. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, *39*(1), 20–31. http://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-011-9767-z.Plastid
- Ma, X., Barnes, T. L., Freedman, D. A., Bell, B. A., Colabianchi, N., & Liese, A. D. (2013).
 Test–retest reliability of a questionnaire measuring perceptions of neighborhood food environment. *Health & Place*, *21*, 65–69. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2013.01.008
- Moore, L. V, & Roux, A. V. D. (2006). Associations of Neighborhood Characteristics With the Location and Type of Food Stores. *American Journal of Public Health*, 96(2), 325–331. http://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2004.058040
- Nicklett, E. J., & Kadell, A. R. (2013). Fruit and vegetable intake among older adults : A scoping review. *Maturitas*, 75(4), 305–312. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2013.05.005
- Nishtala, A., Himali, J. J., Beiser, A., Murabito, J. M., Seshadri, S., Wolf, P. A., & Au, R. (2015). Midlife Hypertension Risk and Cognition in the Non-Demented Oldest Old:
 Framingham Heart Study. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 47(1), 197–204.
 http://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-141881
- Robles, T. F., Slatcher, R. B., Trombello, J. M., & McGinn, M. M. (2014). Marital quality and health: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140(1). http://doi.org/10.1037/a0031859

SAS Institute Inc. (2012). SAS. Cary.

- Sharkey, J. R., Johnson, C. M., Dean, W. R., & Horel, S. A. (2011). Association between proximity to and coverage of traditional fast-food restaurants and non- traditional fast-food outlets and fast-food consumption among rural adults. *International Journal of Health Geographics*, 10(37), 1–11. http://doi.org/10.1186/1476-072X-10-37
- Sowers, M. F. R., Crawford, S. L., Sternfeld, B., Morganstein, D., Gold, E. B., Greendale, G.
 A., ... Kelsey, J. L. (2000). SWAN: A Multicenter, Multiethnic, Community-Based Cohort
 Study of Women and the Menopausal Transition. In R. A. Lobo, J. Kelsey, & R. Marcus
 (Eds.), *Menopause: Biology and Pathobiology* (pp. 175–188). San Diego: Academic Press.
 Retrieved from http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/wfc_pp/505/
- Stice, J. P., Lee, J. S., Pechenino, A. S., & Knowlton, A. A. (2014). Estrogen, aging and the cardiovascular system. *Future Cardiology*, 5(1), 93–103.
- Stuff, J. E., Casey, P. H., Szeto, K. L., Gossett, J. M., Robbins, J. M., Simpson, P. M., ... Bogle,
 M. L. (2004). Community and International Nutrition Household Food Insecurity Is
 Associated with Adult Health Status. *The Journal of Nutrition*, *134*(9), 2330–2335.
 Retrieved from http://jn.nutrition.org/content/134/9/2330.full
- Tatsumi, Y., Higashiyama, A., Kubota, Y., Sugiyama, D., Nishida, Y., Hirata, T., ... Okamura,
 T. (2016). Underweight Young Women Without Later Weight Gain Are at High Risk for
 Osteopenia After Midlife : The KOBE Study. *Journal of Epidemiology*, 26(11), 572–578.
 http://doi.org/10.2188/jea.JE20150267
- Troxel, W. M., Buysse, D. J., Matthews, K. A., Kravitz, H. M., Bromberger, J. T., Sowers, M., & Hall, M. H. (2010). Marital/Cohabitation Status and History in Relation to Sleep in Midlife

Women. *Sleep*, *33*(7), 973–981. Retrieved from

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20614858

- United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service. (2016). Key Statistics & Graphics. Retrieved January 1, 2017, from https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-nutrition-assistance/food-security-in-the-us/key-statistics-graphics/
- Walker, R. E., Keane, C. R., & Burke, J. G. (2010). Health & Place Disparities and access to healthy food in the United States : A review of food deserts literature. *Health & Place*, *16*(5), 876–884. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2010.04.013
- Wang, X., Ouyang, Y., Liu, J., Zhu, M., Zhao, G., Bao, W., & Hu, F. B. (2014). Fruit and vegetable consumption and mortality from all causes , cardiovascular disease , and cancer : systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *BMJ*, 349(g4490). http://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g4490
- Weiser, S. D., Fernandes, K. A., Brandson, E. K., Lima, V. D., Anema, A., Bangsberg, D. R., ... Hogg, R. S. (2009). The association between food insecurity and mortality among HIVinfected individuals on HAART. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 52(3), 342–9. http://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0b013e3181b627c2
- Widmer, R. J., Flammer, A. J., Lerman, L. O., & Lerman, A. (2015). The Mediterranean diet, its components, and cardiovascular disease. *American Journal of Medicine*, 128(3), 229–238. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2014.10.014
- World Health Organization. (n.d.). Body mass index BMI. Retrieved January 1, 2017, from http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/disease-prevention/nutrition/a-healthy-lifestyle/body-mass-index-bmi

- World Hunger Education Service. (2016). 2016 World Hunger and Poverty Facts and Statistics. Retrieved January 1, 2017, from http://www.worldhunger.org/2015-world-hunger-and-poverty-facts-and-statistics/
- Zenk, S. N., Lachance, L. L., Schulz, A. J., Mentz, G., Kannan, S., & Ridella, W. (2009).
 Neighborhood Retail Food Environment and Fruit and Vegetable Intake in a Multiethnic
 Urban Population. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 23(4), 255–264.
 http://doi.org/10.4278/ajhp.071204127.Neighborhood
- Zenk, S. N., Schulz, A. J., Israel, B. A., Mentz, G., Miranda, P. Y., Opperman, A., & Odoms-Young, A. M. (2014). Food Shopping Behaviors and Exposure to Discrimination. *Public Health Nutrition*, 17(5), 1167–1176.
- Zenk, S. N., Schulz, A. J., Izumi, B. T., Mentz, G., Israel, B. A., & Lockett, M. (2013).
 Neighborhood food environment role in modifying psychosocial stress-diet relationships. *Appetite*, 65, 170–177. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2013.02.008