Grand Valley State University
ScholarWorks@GVSU

Presentations | University Libraries

10-2019

Assessing Students' Critical Thinking about Digital Information via Statistical Analysis

Kim L. Ranger
Grand Valley State University, rangerk@gvsu.edu

Original Citation:
Ranger, K. L. (2019). *Assessing Students' Critical Thinking about Digital Information via Statistical Analysis.* Lilly Conference on Advancing Teaching and Learning, Traverse City, MI.

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/library_presentations

Part of the Library and Information Science Commons

ScholarWorks Citation
Ranger, Kim L., "Assessing Students' Critical Thinking about Digital Information via Statistical Analysis" (2019). *Presentations*. 117.
https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/library_presentations/117

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the University Libraries at ScholarWorks@GVSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Presentations by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@GVSU. For more information, please contact scholarworks@gvsu.edu.

Grand Valley State University

From the SelectedWorks of Kim L. Ranger

October 19, 2019

Assessing Students' Critical Thinking about Digital Information via Statistical Analysis

Kim L. Ranger

Creative Commons License This work is licensed under a Creative Commons CC_BY-ND International License.

Available at: https://works.bepress.com/rangerk/29/

bepress™

Assessing Students' Critical Thinking about Digital Information via Statistical Analysis

Welcome!

Kim L. Ranger

Faculty Librarian, GVSU

rangerk@gvsu.edu



Maybee:

- informed learning design
- informed learning outcomes

Statistical analysis 1

Odds Ratio and Relative Risks

Statistic	Value	95% Confidence Limits (range)	
Odds Ratio (likeliness) Website fit criteria: company & product reliable	**6**	3	14
Relative Risk (probability) incorrect answer: incorrect answer	**3**	2	4

Statistical analysis 2

Students do better critical thinking when fact checking.

Improve your course activities: Teach students to fact check.



”

Fact check means verify **externally**—

- **Plan**
- **Search in tabs**
- **Skim**
- **Double-check**

Solar Botanic

WHO WHAT HOW WHY GET IN TOUCH BLOG

THE NATURAL SHAPE OF ENERGY

Saving the world with the Energy Tree, a natural-looking, energy generator that looks like a real tree.

Snopes, Politifact, FactCheck

Think of yourself as a professional fact checker from the [X] **field/discipline**.

Before exploring an information source when you're inexperienced in that discipline, make a plan.

Fact check means verify **externally**—

- **What** to fact check**?**
- **Open tabs** for searching
- **Skim before clicking**
- **Double check**

Solar Botanic

60 seconds



libguides.gvsu.edu/cap115/eval

Truthworthy? Reliable? Reputable?

Yes

No

libguides.gvsu.edu/cap115/post-activity

Truthworthy?	Reliable?	Reputable?
Yes		No

Statistical analysis 3

62% correctly answered last question in post-test

McNemar's test for agreement	
Exact Pr >= S	**0.0018**

Students treated 2nd & 3rd questions as dependent (scaffolded)

Monte Carlo Estimate for the Exact Test	
Pr <= P	**0.0034**

“Source **criticism**: **start somewhere**….”
”

Adapt for your discipline: Think/pair/share

Plan, search in **lateral** tabs

Skim: practice **click restraint**

Double check (verify)

To evaluate is to question!

	Lateral tabs	Click Restraint	Double check
Search			
Skim			
Verify			

Critical thinking about **digital information**:

- **Teach** students to **fact check**
- Use **statistical analysis** to **assess learning**
- **Improve teaching**

Questions?

Thanks!

Kim L. Ranger

rangerk@gvsu.edu

Credits 1

Breakstone, Joel, Sarah McGrew, Mark Smith, Teresa Ortega, and Sam Wineburg. (2018). Why we need a new approach to teaching digital literacy. Phi Delta Kappan, 99(6), 27.

Dobbin, Kourtney J. & Mitch A. Hoezee. (2019). Journal/Article Credibility Analysis for: Kim Ranger [Faculty Librarian at Grand Valley State University]. Analysis done for STA 419: Professor Gabrosek.

GVSU Statistical Consulting Center. Director Sango Otieno and collaborators (Statistics Majors/Graduate Students in Biostatics/Data Science Aubree Batchelor, Forrest Chase, and Abigail Zysk: 1st analysis)
(Graduate students Dan Weglarz and Kylie Springer: 3rd analysis)

Johannessen, H. (2017). “Teaching source criticism to students in Higher Education: A practical approach.” In Siri Ingvaldsen & Dianne Oberg (Eds.), Media and information literacy in Higher Education: Educating the educators (89-105). Cambridge: Chandos Publishing.

Credits 2

Maybee, C. (2018). IMPACT learning: Librarians at the forefront of change in Higher Education. Cambridge: Chandos Publishing.

Wineburg, Sam, and Sarah McGrew. (2017). Lateral Reading: Reading Less and Learning More When Evaluating Digital Information. Stanford History Education Group Working Paper No. 2017-A1. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3048994

Special thanks to those who made and released these resources for free:

- Presentation template by SlidesCarnival
- Plant illustrations from Köhler's Medizinal-Pflanzen in naturgetreuen at BHL