



11-1-2017

Contextual Conditions for Acculturation and Adjustment of Adolescent Immigrants – Integrating Theory and Findings

Maja K. Schachner

University of Potsdam, Germany, maja.schachner@uni-potsdam.de

Fons J. R. van de Vijver

Tilburg University, the Netherlands; North-West University, South Africa; University of Queensland, Australia, fons.vandevijver@uvt.nl

Peter Noack

Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Germany, peter.noack@uni-jena.de

This study was funded by the federal program “ProExzellenz” of the Free State of Thuringia, which also provided a scholarship to the first author at the Graduate School of Human Behavior in Social and Economic Change at Friedrich Schiller University Jena.

Recommended Citation

Schachner, M. K., van de Vijver, F. J., & Noack, P. (2017). Contextual Conditions for Acculturation and Adjustment of Adolescent Immigrants – Integrating Theory and Findings. *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.9707/2307-0919.1142>

Contextual Conditions for Acculturation and Adjustment of Adolescent Immigrants – Integrating Theory and Findings

Abstract

In this paper we review the literature on contextual conditions related to the school, the family, and ethnic or immigrant-group membership, and their association with adjustment outcomes of adolescent immigrants in Europe. Drawing on theories in the areas of acculturation and development, the first aim was to develop a conceptual framework, which integrates acculturative and developmental processes in early adolescence and can guide the literature review in this area. The second aim was to identify the most important conditions for adolescent immigrants' acculturation and adjustment outcomes in school and the family, and related to immigrant-group membership.

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

Introduction

Adolescents of immigrant background have to accomplish both developmental and acculturative tasks. These tasks include establishing an integrated sense of identity and positive interethnic relations and doing well in school. The accomplishment of both types of tasks contributes to adjustment (Motti-Stefanidi, Berry, Chrysochoou, Sam, & Phinney, 2012). School-related outcomes are a particularly important part of a successful acculturation process for immigrant children and adolescents, and they facilitate long-term integration in a society (Vedder & Horenczyk, 2006). In this review, we therefore specifically focus on adjustment outcomes that are relevant for “doing well” (sociocultural outcomes) and “feeling well” (psychological outcomes; Ward, 2001) at school. Even second- and third-generation immigrant youth score lower on various outcomes than their mainstream peers. These include psychological outcomes, such as well-being and mental health, but also sociocultural outcomes, such as educational attainment (e.g., Frankenberg, Kupper, Wagner, & Bongard, 2013). In addition to individual differences in adjustment, there appear to be differences between ethnic groups and receiving societies, with some ethnic groups and immigrants in some receiving societies being better adjusted than others (Berry, Phinney, Sam, & Vedder, 2006).

Research in the area of acculturation (Arends-Tóth & Van de Vijver, 2006; Berry, 1997; Bourhis, Moise, Perrault, & Senecal, 1997; Ward & Geeraert, 2016) and development (Bronfenbrenner, 1979; García Coll et al., 1996) suggests that individual and group differences in adjustment can be understood by looking at contextual conditions and how they shape the acculturation and adjustment of immigrant students. Contexts vary in the proximity to the individual and include the family, the school, ethnic-group membership and also the wider societal context in the receiving country (Motti-Stefanidi, Berry, et al., 2012).

We propose a conceptual framework to guide our literature review, which integrates acculturation and developmental processes. Our framework links some of the most important conditions in school and in the family, as well as conditions related to ethnic or immigrant-group membership, with adjustment. These conditions, in turn, are nested in the context of the mainstream society. We define adjustment in terms of the accomplishment of normative developmental and acculturative tasks (Motti-Stefanidi, Berry, et al., 2012). Focussing on adolescent immigrants, this includes positive interethnic relations, as well as socio-emotional and academic outcomes. Based on this framework, we then provide an overview of relevant research findings. Much of the research in this area has been carried out in traditional immigrant-receiving countries such as the United States (e.g., Frisby & Reynolds, 2005; Fuligni, Witkow, & Garcia, 2005; García Coll et al., 1996; Garcia Coll & Marks, 2012). In this review, our main focus is on research in the European context, which differs from a North American context in many respects, such as migration history, immigration and integration policies, the societal climate, and ethnic groups concerned. Yet, we also include other international research, especially where there was no European research available.

Building a Conceptual Framework

Adolescence is an important developmental period and is characterised by changes on various levels, including school transitions, redefining social roles, and physiological changes due to puberty. Gaining greater independence from parents, dealing with extended peer relationships, and developing a sense of one's identity are important developmental tasks in this period (Eccles, Lord, & Roeser, 1996). For adolescent immigrants, age-related changes and developmental tasks can interact with acculturation and adjustment processes (e.g., Fuligni, 2001; Michel, Titzmann, & Silbereisen, 2012b; Titzmann & Silbereisen, 2012). It is therefore a critical period to study the acculturation process and specifically the role of different contextual conditions in shaping acculturation orientations and adjustment. Some scholars have argued that acculturative processes amongst immigrant children and adolescents should be regarded as part of regular development (Oppedal, 2006; Sam, 2006). Other scholars argued that acculturative and developmental processes both make unique contributions to explaining psychological outcomes of adolescent immigrants and should be regarded as separate and potentially interacting processes (Fuligni, 2001; Michel et al., 2012b; Titzmann & Silbereisen, 2012). We support the second position, although we acknowledge that these processes may be hard to disentangle. In order to disentangle developmental and acculturative processes, Fuligni (2001) recommends that immigrant youth should be studied longitudinally, compared to non-immigrant youth, and subgroups (such as different age groups) should be investigated within each group. However, very few studies apply such rigorous methods.

The framework we propose to guide our review integrates contextual theories of acculturation (Berry, 1997; Bourhis et al., 1997) and development (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). Both types of theories suggest that individual acculturative and developmental processes are influenced by context varying in proximity to the individual, from micro- (e.g., the family) to macro-level (e.g., society). Our conceptual framework is suited specifically to study contextual conditions for acculturation orientations and adjustment of adolescent immigrants against the backdrop of developmental processes. We therefore start from two recently developed frameworks, namely the acculturation framework by Arends-Tóth and Van de Vijver (2006) and the framework for immigrant youth adaptation by Motti-Stefanidi and colleagues (2012).

In the acculturation framework it is proposed that the acculturation process can be divided into acculturation conditions, orientations, and outcomes (Arends-Tóth & Van de Vijver, 2006). Acculturation conditions can be personal characteristics (e.g., personality traits, coping styles) as well as attitudes and opportunity structures in particular contexts (receiving society, society of origin, and immigrant group), and are seen as antecedents in the acculturation process. Acculturation contexts can be differentiated into public and private life domains (Arends-Tóth & Van de Vijver, 2004). The public life domain refers to contexts outside the family home, such as the school or workplace, which are mainly characterised by the mainstream culture, and the ethnic or immigrant group as a context mainly




Figure 1. Acculturation framework by Arends-Tóth and Van de Vijver (2006).

characterised by the ethnic culture. The private life domain includes the home and the immediate family environment.

The effect of the acculturation conditions on acculturation outcomes is mediated by an individual's acculturation orientations, which refer to an individual's attitudes towards maintaining one's ethnic culture and towards adopting the mainstream culture and the respective components of their identity (Berry, 1997). The two orientations are expected to be largely independent, allowing different combinations or acculturation profiles (both high -

integration, both low – marginalisation, higher mainstream orientation – assimilation, and higher ethnic orientation – separation).

Psychological and sociocultural outcomes are usually viewed as distinct outcomes of the acculturation process. Psychological outcomes can be well-being, life satisfaction, and mental health, whereas sociocultural outcomes refer to an individual's competence in mastering everyday life in a particular cultural context (e.g., Ward, 2001). Both types of outcomes are related and can influence each other concurrently and over time, but they often differ in terms of their antecedent processes (effects of specific acculturation conditions and orientations).

Acculturation orientations and outcomes are often tapping into similar constructs. Yet, acculturation orientations are conceptualised as attitudes towards certain behaviour, whereas acculturation outcomes refer to the actual performance of behaviour. For example, the motivation to learn the mainstream language would be reflecting an orientation towards the mainstream culture whereas actual language skills would be considered an acculturation outcome (Arends-Tóth & Van de Vijver, 2006; Celenk & Van de Vijver, 2011).

Although the acculturation framework by Arends-Tóth and Van de Vijver (2006) proposes that the main direction of effects goes from conditions to orientations to outcomes, there are also feedback loops (going from right to left), suggesting that changes in dependent variables can also affect what are presumably mostly independent variables in the process (Figure 1).

In their integrative framework for immigrant youth adaptation (Figure 2), Motti-Stefanidi and colleagues (2012) highlight the importance of three specific contexts for the adaptation of adolescent immigrants, namely the school, the family, and the ethnic group. All three form part of what they call the level of interaction and are nested in the mainstream society. Distinguishing between different (nested) contexts with varying proximity to the individual, the authors draw on Bronfenbrenner's (1979) bio-ecological model of human development. The theory suggests that individual development is influenced by microsystems, such as the family or the school (Bronfenbrenner, 1986), which are nested in a macrosystem, such as a particular society. The macrosystem is expected to affect individual development mainly indirectly through the microsystems. Microsystems can interact and influence each other and developmental outcomes in a so-called mesosystem. They are also influenced by external systems not immediately experienced by the child or adolescent, which are called exosystems. These can be the parents' work – or for second or third generation adolescent immigrants – their country of origin, which affects them via their family or ethnic group. Finally, there is the chronosystem, referring to temporal and developmental changes as an additional context that should be taken into account.

Motti-Stefanidi and colleagues (2012) distinguish between the school context as a predominantly mainstream context and the family and ethnic group as predominantly ethnic contexts. Contextual antecedents are expected to affect adaptation via characteristics of the individual.

To adequately reflect acculturation and adjustment processes amongst adolescent immigrants, we propose a combination of both frameworks to guide this review on contextual conditions for acculturation and adjustment, which integrates acculturation and

developmental processes (Figure 3). The original acculturation framework (Arends-Tóth & Van de Vijver, 2006) provides a good overview of different components of the acculturation process and how they work together more generally. The framework by Motti-Stefanidi et al. (2012) is more specific for the study of adolescent immigrants (focusing on the most relevant contextual conditions for this group), but is less clear with regard to the processes that link contextual conditions to adjustment. The two frameworks therefore complement each other and both shed light on important aspects that are relevant for studying the acculturation and adjustment of immigrant youth in context. Yet, neither framework explicitly addresses developmental processes. For the purpose of this review, we therefore decided to merge the two original frameworks so as to be able to consider both developmental and acculturation processes.




Figure 2. Integrative framework for immigrant youth adaptation by Motti-Stefanidi, Berry, Chrysoschoou, Sam and Phinney (2012).




Figure 3. Conceptual framework of contextual conditions for adolescent immigrants' acculturation and adjustment.

In this framework, school and family form the most important proximal acculturation conditions for adolescent immigrants. As conceptualised by Motti-Stefanidi and colleagues (2012), these contexts are nested in the mainstream society. The immigrant group is also a broader and more abstract context compared to family and school. We have therefore conceptualised it as between mainstream society and the more proximal context of the family. In the model by Motti-Stefanidi et al. (2012), the ethnic group is predominantly treated as a social context (interactions with co-ethnics). In our model, however, we treat it as a more abstract concept related to psychological group membership and its consequences. Adopting a risk and resilience perspective as advocated by Motti-Stefanidi and colleagues (2012), each context may provide resources (such as parental school involvement) and risks (such as ethnic discrimination or conflicting acculturation preferences).

Borrowing from the acculturation framework (Arends-Tóth & Van de Vijver, 2006), these conditions are expected to affect psychological and sociocultural outcomes partly indirectly via adolescents' acculturation orientations and ethnic and mainstream identity. The relative strength of direct and indirect effects may vary for different contexts, different aspects of each context, and different outcomes. There are also feedback loops as in the original framework by Arends-Tóth and Van de Vijver (2006). For example, adolescents doing well at school and having more mainstream friends may also become more oriented towards the mainstream culture. However, as the main direction of effects is expected to go from acculturation conditions to orientations to outcomes, the feedback loops are drawn as thinner, dotted lines. In order to accommodate empirical findings of direct effects of conditions on outcomes, which may be moderated by acculturation orientations, we have also included (thinner) arrows to allow for these possibilities.

Motti-Stefanidi et al. (2012) further suggest that, specifically for adolescents, peer groups within predominantly ethnic and mainstream contexts play an important role and can work as a filter for each context. Our intention in this review is to give an overview of associations between contextual acculturation conditions, acculturation orientations, and outcomes along the lines of our conceptual framework. As peers within each context are broad enough as a topic to warrant a separate review, we will not dedicate a separate section to peer effects in the present review. The specific role of same- and cross-ethnic peers at school is considered in the section of the school context.

Developmental processes and transitions can interact with different components of the acculturation process. For example, the effect of contextual conditions can be affected by changes in the relative importance of different contexts (such as the family becoming less important in adolescence), acculturation orientations can be affected by processes of ethnic identity development (such as increased identity exploration in adolescence), and psychological outcomes may be affected by physiological processes in puberty (such as a heightened sensitivity to stress in adolescence). We consider the main components of the framework and the role of developmental processes in each of them in more detail below.

School forms the most important mainstream context for adolescent immigrants, where they interact with mainstream teachers and peers (Horenczyk & Tatar, 2012). The school climate and approach to dealing with diversity may reflect integration policies and attitudes of the wider society. The school also reflects the ethnic composition of the

neighbourhood and may be influenced by the most prominent ethnic groups. In the family, parental school involvement and the parents' attitudes towards the mainstream culture and use of the national language may affect the socialisation of their children in the mainstream culture. The family is also an important micro-context transmitting values, norms, and traditions of the ethnic culture (Schönpflug, 2009). Experiences in the family and as a member of one's ethnic group may be influenced by the relative status of the ethnic group in the mainstream society and by the degree of perceived differences from the mainstream culture (Hagendoorn, 1995; Ward & Searle, 1991). The size of their ethnic group in the mainstream society also determines the opportunities for immigrants to engage with their ethnic culture and community (Suanet & Van de Vijver, 2008). In the present review, we are mainly interested in explaining why the acculturation process may look different for members of some groups than for others. We therefore mainly focus on conditions that are related to being a member of a particular group (compared to others) as opposed to interactions within the ethnic community.

These three main contexts can change in importance in adolescence which marks a period of ecological transitions (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). With increasing independence, the role of parents and family in shaping children's development decreases and contexts outside of the family home, such as the school, become more important. Negotiating their ethnic identity may be particularly salient for adolescent immigrants (García Coll et al., 1996; Phinney, 1989). A misfit between the developmental needs in adolescence and a particular context can have negative consequences for adjustment (stage-environment fit hypothesis; Eccles et al., 1996). For adolescent immigrants, a misfit could arise between a secondary school context which does not pay much tribute to students' ethnic background and their needs in relation to ethnic identity development, or between a high expectation for ethnic maintenance at home and the need to become independent from parents.

Acculturation orientations, including ethnic and mainstream identity (Liebkind, 2006) as one of several indicators, form the core of the acculturation process (Berry, 1997) and of our framework. They mediate effects of acculturation conditions on psychological and sociocultural outcomes but are also important outcomes in themselves. Ethnic and mainstream orientations are usually relatively independent concerning their relationship with both, conditions and outcomes (Arends-Tóth & Van de Vijver, 2004; Ward, 2001). Often, conditions in the public domain, such as the school, are more relevant for the mainstream orientation which in turn is more important for sociocultural outcomes. Conditions in the private domain, such as the family, are more relevant for the ethnic orientation which in turn is more predictive of psychological outcomes.

Identity development, including ethnic identity development (Phinney, 1989), is an important task in adolescence (Erikson, 1968). Ethnic identity development can be described as a sequence of several stages, from diffusion or foreclosure through a period of exploration to an achieved identity (Phinney, 1989). Notably during exploration, adolescents may be more sensitive towards relevant contextual cues, such as showing stronger reactions to perceived ethnic discrimination. During exploration they may also seek contexts that are relevant for their ethnic identity, such as their ethnic or religious group. An achieved ethnic identity has been linked to a better psychological adjustment, whereas an

ethnic identity crisis, where adolescents are unsure about their ethnic identity and unable to commit to any group, has been linked with externalising and internalising problems (Oppedal, Røysamb, & Heyerdahl, 2005).

The distinction between psychological and sociocultural outcomes in acculturation research (Ward, 2001) can also be applied to acculturation outcomes related to feeling well and doing well at school, often referred to as school adjustment (Vedder & Horenczyk, 2006). Relevant sociocultural outcomes include competence in the mainstream language, academic achievement and friendships with mainstream peers in the classroom. Mainstream language competence is particularly important as the key to all areas of life in the mainstream society (e.g., Michel, Titzmann, & Silbereisen, 2012a). Access to the labour market depends on academic achievement, which is therefore an important step to long-term adaptation. Adolescent immigrants can learn about mainstream cultural norms and values and practice their mainstream language skills through friendships with mainstream peers (Horenczyk & Tatar, 1998). Although mainstream language skills, achievement and mainstream friends are conceptualised as separate domains of sociocultural adjustment, better adjustment in one domain is usually associated with better adjustment in other domains (Sam, Vedder, Ward, & Horenczyk, 2006).

School-relevant psychological outcomes include school engagement and well-being, but also mental health issues and behavioural problems as negative outcomes (Berry et al., 2006; Liebkind, Jasinskaja-Lahti, & Solheim, 2004; Titzmann, Raabe, & Silbereisen, 2008). We treat behavioural problems as a psychological outcome as it is often an expression of underlying psychological issues, particularly amongst boys (Schachner, Van de Vijver, & Noack, in press).

Psychological and sociocultural outcomes seem to be more connected in the school context than what is usually found for more generic outcomes in adult samples. For example, academic self-concept is considered a psychological outcome which reflects and evolves from more general self-views and self-esteem (Marsh & Ayotte, 2003). Yet, it has also been strongly linked to achievement (e.g., Denissen, Zarrett, & Eccles, 2007; Marsh, Trautwein, Lüdtke, Köller, & Baumert, 2005), which is a manifestation of sociocultural adjustment.

In adolescence, psychological outcomes can also be affected by physiological and emotional changes marking puberty. Everyday hassles may induce higher stress levels than before (Stroud et al., 2009), and the number of potentially stressful life events increases (Garber, Keiley, & Martin, 2002). Consequently, negative psychological outcomes, such as depression, increase (Angold, Costello, & Worthman, 1998), whereas positive psychological outcomes, such as life satisfaction (Goldbeck, Schmitz, Besier, Herschbach, & Henrich, 2007) and self-esteem (Robins & Trzesniewski, 2005), decrease over the course of adolescence. The school transition from primary to secondary school is also often accompanied by a temporary decline in general and school-related self-esteem (e.g., Watt, 2004; Zimmerman, Copeland, Shope, & Dielman, 1997). For immigrant students it may be particularly difficult to integrate in the new context, as they may experience discrimination and language issues, and their parents may be less able to assist them in finding their way at the new school (Turney & Kao, 2009). Indeed, immigrant students are more likely to have adjustment problems after the school transition, which may negatively affect achievement

(Gutman & Midgley, 2000). Immigrant students are also more at risk of moving to a lower track school following the transition (e.g., Bellenberg & Forell, 2012).

The negative developmental trend outlined above contradicts the positive trajectory with increasing adjustment over time which is expected amongst first-generation immigrants in the acculturation process. Even when starting out lower than their native peers, such as in educational achievement, adolescent immigrants should catch up with more time spent in the country of settlement and/or exposure to the mainstream culture. As a result of this contradiction, false conclusions may be reached when studying trajectories in psychological adjustment amongst adolescent immigrants (Fulgini, 2001; Michel et al., 2012b; Titzmann & Silbereisen, 2012). For example, when disregarding age-typical decreases in psychological adjustment, one might conclude that newly arrived adolescent immigrants do not adapt. In reality, age-typical decreases may attenuate acculturation-related increases in adjustment (Michel et al., 2012b). In adolescent immigrants who arrived earlier or belong to the second or third generation, on the other hand, changes in psychological outcomes may be better explained by age-related than acculturation-related trajectories and might not necessarily be a sign of increasing maladjustment to the new society. Taken together, developmental processes can interact with the acculturation process at different stages (by affecting acculturation conditions, orientations, and outcomes as well as their associations), as our model in Figure 3 suggests, and have to be taken into account when explaining contextual effects on acculturation and adjustment amongst adolescent immigrants.

The study by Michel and colleagues (2012b) also suggests that the strength of this link can vary as a function of length of residence and immigrant generation. Whereas acculturative processes were more predictive of psychological outcomes among newly arrived immigrants, with an increasing number of years spent in the country of settlement the trajectory more closely resembled that of mainstream adolescents in the course of normal development. Acculturation-related increases in positive psychological outcomes are strongest in the first five years after migration (Birman & Trickett, 2001). Besides age and developmental stage, length of residence in the country of settlement and generational status are important additional moderators. In the International Comparative Study of Ethnocultural Youth (ICSEY; Berry et al., 2006), which investigated adolescent immigrants and adolescent children of immigrants in 13 countries, a longer period of residence was mainly associated with an increasing mainstream orientation, whereas the ethnic orientation remained stable. Overall, adjustment in the second generation was better than in the first generation, but there seem to be differences between ethnic groups, which are discussed later on.

In the next sections, we review empirical research on (1) the link between adolescent immigrants' acculturation orientations and adjustment, and on (2) how both acculturation orientations and adjustment can be affected by conditions in the school, the family, ethnic-group membership, and the mainstream society.

Acculturation Orientations and Adjustment amongst Adolescent Immigrants

The relative strength of ethnic and mainstream orientation and how these orientations mediate the associations of acculturation conditions and relate to outcomes has attracted considerable attention in acculturation research (e.g., Berry, 1997). Ethnic and mainstream orientations complement each other in their importance for psychological and sociocultural outcomes (Ward, 2001) and can therefore be expected to be equally important for overall adjustment. A large research base confirms that an integrated orientation towards both cultures is most beneficial for adjustment (see Nguyen & Benet-Martínez, 2013, for a meta-analysis). However, in particular circumstances and for particular groups, integration may not be possible and the preference of one culture over the other may be more beneficial (Ward, 2013). In the face of discrimination, a heightened ethnic orientation can alleviate the negative effects on psychological outcomes (rejection-identification model; Branscombe, Schmitt, & Harvey, 1999), whereas integration is not adaptive (Baysu, Phalet, & Brown, 2011)

In the ICSEY study, an orientation towards both cultures was most prevalent and associated with the most positive outcomes (Berry et al., 2006). This was followed by adolescents who were mainly oriented towards their ethnic culture (sometimes referred to as separation or ethnic profile), with equally positive psychological outcomes. Fewer adolescents were mainly oriented towards the mainstream culture (sometimes referred to as assimilation or national profile), with relatively poor psychological and sociocultural outcomes. Adolescents who did not show a strong orientation towards either culture (sometimes referred to as marginalisation or diffuse profile) were the smallest group and showed the poorest adjustment. These associations with outcomes resemble what has been found for different stages of ethnic identity development mentioned in the previous section (Phinney, 1989), notably concerning the positive associations with a high ethnic orientation (in the integration and separation profile) and the overall negative associations with the diffuse profile.

Immigrant students' educational aspirations are often higher than those of their mainstream peers (e.g., Jonsson & Rudolphi, 2011). In the ICSEY study, immigrant students generally scored as high as their national peers, and in some countries even higher, on school commitment and engagement. This complements findings from the U.S., suggesting that some first-generation immigrant students outperform mainstream students – a phenomenon known as the immigrant paradox (Garcia Coll & Marks, 2012). In subsequent generations, achievement decreases until it has reached the level of mainstream students. Yet, a recent meta-analysis confirmed that this pattern is not very common in Europe (Dimitrova, Chasiotis, & Van de Vijver, 2016). School grades and other test measures of achievement show that immigrant students perform below the national average in most countries (OECD, 2010, 2012). These mixed findings suggest that the link between psychological variables related to achievement, such as school engagement and motivation, and grades may vary across ethnic groups and countries.

Especially when they experience discrimination at school, adolescent immigrants can experience psychological problems related to school life, such as low levels of academic or social self-concept, and school-related mental health or psychosomatic issues. They may also show behavioural problems and school-related deviant behaviours, which are more salient for boys (Titzmann et al., 2008; Titzmann, Silbereisen, & Mesch, 2014) and in older adolescents (Dimitrova et al., 2016). There is some evidence that adolescent immigrants are as well-adjusted in psychological terms as their mainstream peers or even better (Berry et al., 2006). However, the meta-analysis by Dimitrova and colleagues (2016) found that the immigrant paradox in psychological adjustment is not very common in Europe, that immigrant groups often show lower levels of well-being than dominant groups, and that second-generation immigrants often show higher levels of well-being than first-generation immigrants. Both studies suggest that there is considerable variation in psychological outcomes between countries of settlement.

Taken together, many adolescent immigrant students are doing relatively well, finding ways of acculturating that allow them to integrate ethnic and mainstream culture. Where this is not possible, most of them still seem to manage to draw on their ethnic culture as an important resource for adjustment, at least in psychological terms (Berry et al., 2006).

Context Effects on Acculturation Orientations and Adjustment

School-Related Conditions for Acculturation and Adjustment

For adolescent immigrants, the school forms an important acculturative context since, for many, it is the place where they are most exposed to mainstream culture (Horenczyk & Tatar, 2012). Structural characteristics, such as the ethnic composition of a school, have been shown to affect different adjustment outcomes amongst immigrant students (for a review, see Thijs & Verkuyten, 2013). At the same time, the school or classroom climate, defined as the experience of norms, goals, values, interpersonal relationships, teaching and learning practices, and organizational structures in everyday school life, has been associated with a wide range of (school) adjustment outcomes (for a review, see Thapa, Cohen, Guffey, & Higgins-D'Alessandro, 2013). Yet, the number of studies specifically focussing on school diversity climate is still limited (for exceptions, see Brand, Felner, Shim, Seitsinger, & Dumas, 2003; Brown & Chu, 2012; Haenni Hoti, Heinzmann Agten, Müller, Buholzer, & Künzle, 2013; Schachner, Noack, Van de Vijver, & Eckstein, 2016; Verkuyten & Thijs, 2001).

A higher share of immigrant students implies fewer opportunities for contact with mainstream students. Adolescent immigrants in such schools were therefore found to have a lower mainstream orientation (Schachner, Noack, et al., 2016), which may also impair their adjustment. Indeed, a higher share of immigrant students was associated negatively with the acquisition of the mainstream language (Rumberger & Palardy, 2005) and with achievement of immigrant students (Hanushek, Kain, & Rivkin, 2009; Van Ewijk & Slegers, 2010). Yet, controlling for their overall proportion, a higher level of heterogeneity within the

immigrant students in a classroom was associated with a higher mainstream orientation amongst immigrant students (Schachner, Noack, et al., 2016). In this case, the mainstream culture may provide a common ground for students from many different ethnic groups.

A school's ethnic composition was also studied in relation to interethnic relations amongst students, with better interethnic relations and more interethnic friendships observed in ethnically heterogeneous schools (Schachner, Brenick, Noack, Van de Vijver, & Heizmann, 2015; Thijs & Verkuyten, 2013). On the other hand, teachers may have lower expectations in schools in which there are more immigrant students and in which students perceive more stereotypes and discrimination (Brenick, Titzmann, Michel, & Silbereisen, 2012). This can further reduce their mainstream orientation and lead to a decrease in school belonging and engagement, eventually resulting in lower levels of achievement (Wong, Eccles, & Sameroff, 2003). Friendships with mainstream peers were found to mediate the relationship between a lower proportion of immigrants in class and better academic outcomes and buffered the negative effects of perceived discrimination (Baysu, Phalet, & Brown, 2014).

In countries with a tracked school system such as in Germany, Austria, or Belgium, the proportion of immigrant students is usually highest in schools representing the lower tracks (i.e., vocational as opposed to academic tracks). Such a system may exacerbate the negative effects of school segregation mentioned above. Large-scale educational surveys have repeatedly shown that a tracked school system disadvantages immigrant students (OECD, 2006, 2012).

Concerning effects of the school climate, most of the literature investigated direct effects on adjustment. Effects on acculturation orientations were only investigated for specific aspects of the climate concerned with cultural diversity. A review on general school climate effects confirmed that a positive school or classroom climate, which promotes safety and positive relationships amongst students and between students and teachers, fosters school adjustment of all students (Thapa et al., 2013). A positive general climate was found beneficial for a wide range of outcomes, such as promoting students' well-being and reducing psychological and behavioural problems. Such a climate seems to be particularly important for immigrant students, who have to cross cultural and linguistic boundaries and often have to face stereotypes, prejudices, and discrimination (Haenni Hoti et al., 2013; Thapa et al., 2013). A school climate characterized by fairness and justice can buffer effects of perceived discrimination and victimization on school adjustment of immigrant students (Bayram Özdemir & Stattin, 2013; Morin, Maïano, Marsh, Nagengast, & Janosz, 2013). Perceived inequality and exclusion at school can have very negative consequences for school adjustment of immigrant or ethnic minority students (Eccles & Roeser, 2011; Horenczyk & Tatar, 2012) such as a decline in academic self-concept, an increase of psychological problems (Bayram Özdemir & Stattin, 2013; Wong et al., 2003), and an increase in delinquent behaviour (Park, Schwartz, Lee, Kim, & Rodriguez, 2013).

Some studies specifically investigated aspects of the school climate that reflect how issues of cultural diversity are dealt with (for a review, see Schachner, 2017). Some of this research focused on norms for interethnic contact as specified by Allport (1954), namely to what extent the climate is characterised by principles of equality, non-discrimination, and

inclusion of all ethnic groups. Other studies also looked at how the cultural background of students is valued and actively incorporated as a resource in curricular and extracurricular activities as well as general school life. This latter approach is characterised by principles of pluralism or multiculturalism.

Perceived norms of equality and inclusion were associated with a higher mainstream orientation and thereby better adjustment amongst immigrant students (Schachner, Noack, et al., 2016). However, at the classroom level, equality and inclusion appears to promote assimilation by suppressing students' ethnic orientation. This resembles a so-called colour-blind approach to diversity, which neglects cultural differences in order to create homogeneity. A colour-blind approach is very common in educational settings, notably in the U.S. (Schofield, 2001), and has been linked with assimilationist attitudes (Plaut, Thomas, & Goren, 2009). Besides the risk of promoting assimilation, a climate of equality and inclusion was found to be associated with better interethnic relations amongst students by reducing prejudices or promoting friendships between immigrant and non-immigrant students, for example (Jugert, Noack, & Rutland, 2011; Schachner et al., 2015; Verkuyten & Thijs, 2013).

Valuing multiculturalism and promoting cultural pluralism and diversity as an asset and something that can enrich the learning experience at school is still relatively new in some non-traditional immigrant-receiving countries such as Germany, where there is a strong preference for assimilation (Dietz, 2007). A climate characterised by cultural pluralism was found to be beneficial for students' ethnic orientation, thereby promoting psychological adjustment (Schachner, Noack, et al., 2016). Concerning direct effects on adjustment, research from North America shows that a curriculum that is meaningful to students' cultural background and involves under-represented groups can promote academic motivation and interest, and it can support school belonging amongst ethnic minority students (Graham & Taylor, 2002; Roeser, Eccles, & Sameroff, 2000). Perceived support for multiculturalism at school was also associated with lower levels of perceived discrimination and delinquency, higher academic aspirations and achievement, and better socio-emotional adjustment amongst immigrant and ethnic minority students (Brand et al., 2003; Brown & Chu, 2012; Byrd, 2015). European studies showed that intercultural education can reduce racial bias (Verkuyten & Thijs, 2001) and promote the psychological adjustment (general life satisfaction and self-efficacy) of adolescent immigrants (Haenni Hoti et al., 2013).

Family-Related Conditions for Acculturation and Adjustment

The family is the most proximal context for adolescent immigrants which affects many developmental and psychological outcomes including school adjustment (e.g., García Coll & Szalacha, 2004). Specific to immigrant families, cultural transmission processes may affect their children's acculturation process (Schönpflug, 2009). Parental acculturation orientations and ethnic identity as well as cultural practices in the family have been associated with their children's acculturation orientations and cultural behaviours (e.g., Hughes et al., 2006; Schachner, Van de Vijver, & Noack, 2014a; Schönpflug, 2009). Parental school involvement, which to some extent also symbolises the bridge between the

predominantly ethnic context of the family and the predominantly mainstream context of the school, has been associated with better achievement-related outcomes (Hill & Tyson, 2009; Seginer, 2006).

Perceived acculturation expectations are a strong predictor of individual acculturation orientations and outcomes (Ouarasse & Van de Vijver, 2004). Parents' acculturation expectations and ethnic identity, in particular, have been found to be closely linked with their children's acculturation orientations and ethnic identity in a variety of settings, age, and ethnic groups (Dimitrova, Ferrer-Wreder, & Trost, 2015; Nauck, 2001a; Sabatier, 2008; Schachner et al., 2014a). Further, a higher parental mainstream orientation predicted better language and cultural skills amongst Turkish immigrant children (Becker, Klein, & Biedinger, 2013) and better psychological and sociocultural (school) adjustment amongst early adolescent immigrants (Schachner et al., 2014a) in Germany. The perceived expectation for ethnic maintenance and a higher ethnic identity of the parents were associated primarily with better psychological outcomes of adolescents (Dimitrova, Chasiotis, Bender, & Van de Vijver, 2014; Dimitrova et al., 2015; Schachner, Van de Vijver, & Noack, 2014a). These effects were mediated by adolescents' own ethnic identity and acculturation orientations. Immigrant parents also tended to have higher ethnic and lower mainstream orientations than their adolescent children. Such discrepancies are known as the acculturation gap in immigrant families (Ward, Bochner, & Furnham, 2001). A larger gap between parents and their adolescent children is often associated with lower levels of adolescent well-being (e.g., Pfafferott & Brown, 2006). Yet, a recent review suggests that effects may differ between different types of gaps (Telzer, 2010).

Socialization with (heritage) cultural practices in the family is associated with children's ethnic identity, sociocultural, and psychological (school) adjustment (for a review, see Hughes et al., 2006). Religious practices, in particular, are one of the most important ways of maintaining one's culture of origin (thereby fostering an ethnic orientation). These practices can facilitate psychological adjustment, especially when facing ethnic discrimination (Güngör, Fleischmann, Phalet, & Maliepaard, 2013; Ward, 2013). A higher importance of religion in the family of origin was linked with a stronger ethnic orientation and better psychological adjustment amongst adolescent immigrants (Schachner et al., 2014a). Similarly, a higher retention of the ethnic language in the family is associated with a stronger ethnic identity amongst adolescent immigrants. Mainstream and ethnic language use in the family of origin are often inversely related. A higher retention of the ethnic language is therefore associated with lower levels of national language acquisition (Nauck, 2001a) and overall academic achievement amongst immigrant children and adolescents (OECD, 2010, 2012). However, the negative effects of ethnic language retention in the family on mainstream language and achievement can be reduced by pre-school education in the mainstream language (Magnuson, Lahaie, & Waldfogel, 2006).

Finally, parental school involvement can compensate for disadvantages that children and adolescents may experience in their educational trajectories (Dearing, Kreider, Simpkins, & Weiss, 2006). By showing interest in their children's well-being at school, immigrant parents also show an interest in and appreciation of their children's adjustment in school as a predominantly mainstream context. This can increase their children's

mainstream orientation and sociocultural outcomes such as achievement. Due to its affective nature, parents' personal school involvement can also be seen as a form of social support, which has been associated with a higher ethnic orientation and better psychological well-being (Schachner et al., 2014a; Ward, 2001). Yet, immigrant parents often face barriers to school involvement, such as language issues and status differences (Turney & Kao, 2009). As a consequence, they are not involved in their children's education in the same way as mainstream parents; for example, they seek less contact with teachers (Kao, 2004; Seginer, 2006). Immigrant parents' personal involvement (i.e., showing interest in their child's activities and experiences at school) is therefore even more important for their children's school adjustment (Fuligni, 1997; Lazarides & Ittel, 2013). However, when immigrant parents are actively seeking contact with the school and teachers, this has a beneficial effect on achievement both cross-sectionally and over time, which is comparable in strength to the effect that is found in non-immigrant families (Motti-Stefanidi, Asendorpf, & Masten, 2012).

Conditions for Acculturation and Adjustment Related to Ethnic or Immigrant Group Membership

Acculturation orientations and adjustment outcomes often differ across ethnic groups (e.g., Berry et al., 2006). The acculturation literature suggests that these differences may be associated with differences in cultural distance between the country of origin and the receiving society (Ward & Searle, 1991). Although adolescent immigrants of the second and third generation may have had little exposure to their country of origin, they may have experienced it indirectly, as a so-called exo-context (Bronfenbrenner, 1979), through their parents or other members of their ethnic group in the receiving society. The magnitude of cultural differences also seems to be reflected in status differences between ethnic groups in the receiving society (Hagendoorn, 1995). In addition, differences in acculturation orientation and adjustment outcomes may reflect differences in group size and vitality of the ethnic community (e.g., Suanet & Van de Vijver, 2008). Increasing attention has been paid to Muslim immigrant groups, which are amongst the largest in many countries across Europe, but are often not well integrated into the mainstream society, for example showing a preference for separation and less optimal socio-cultural outcomes (Güngör et al., 2013; Ward, 2013).

From the perspective of immigrants, it is more difficult to identify with and adjust to a country that is perceived to be more different from one's country of origin (e.g., Galchenko & Van de Vijver, 2007; Ward & Searle, 1991). From the perspective of members of the mainstream society, immigrants with a cultural background that is more different from the mainstream culture are likely to be perceived as more "strange" and therefore evaluated more negatively and excluded more. Hagendoorn (1995) proposed that different ethnic groups can be ranked in terms of their status in a particular society. Usually the national group has the top rank and groups that are considered to be more similar to the national group rank higher in terms of status. This is called the "ethnic hierarchy" in a particular society. The ethnic hierarchy in a country also seems to be perceived by members of

minority groups, and groups at the bottom are typically also rejected by other, higher ranking minority groups (Verkuyten, Hagendoorn, & Masson, 1996).

Even second- and third-generation immigrants in early adolescence have a fairly good idea of cultural differences, which correlate with core country-level characteristics, such as cultural norms and values and the level of economic and political development (Schachner, Van de Vijver, & Noack, 2014b). A higher perceived cultural distance has been associated with a higher ethnic and lower mainstream orientation (Schachner et al., in press). Cultural distance and ethnic hierarchy were also found to already underlie intergroup relations amongst minority and majority early adolescents (Schachner et al., 2015; Verkuyten et al., 1996) and even children, with those from lower ranking groups reporting higher levels of peer discrimination (Verkuyten, 2002). In their integrative model of child development, García Coll and colleagues (1996; García Coll & Szalacha, 2004) suggest that the social status of a child's ethnic group in a society is one of the most important factors in predicting psychological and developmental outcomes. Children and adolescents from lower status groups experience more ethnic segregation, prejudices, and discrimination, which may negatively affect adjustment outcomes.

The size and vitality of a particular ethnic group also plays a role in the acculturation and adjustment of group members (e.g., Suanet & Van de Vijver, 2008). A larger ethnic community makes it easier to maintain one's orientation towards the ethnic culture and - depending on the degree of segregation of the community - may hinder a mainstream orientation. Amongst adolescent Muslim immigrants, a more vital ethnic community was associated with more religious socialization, ethnic maintenance, and a stronger ethnic network (Güngör et al., 2013). The ICSEY study (Berry et al., 2006) revealed similar findings with a broader sample of adolescent immigrants. A more vital ethnic community in the neighbourhood was also associated with lower competence in the national language and fewer national friends. In an ethnically heterogeneous classroom setting, larger ethnic groups displayed higher levels of friendship homophily (Schachner, Van de Vijver, Brenick, & Noack, 2016), which refers to the tendency to seek friends within their own circles and separate themselves from other ethnic groups (McPherson, Smith-Lovin, & Cook, 2001). Yet, a larger ethnic community can provide social support and promote psychological adjustment. Especially when opportunities to engage with the mainstream society are restricted and there is a high level of prejudice, a larger immigrant community can be a valuable alternative (Nauck, 2001b). For adolescent immigrants with a Turkish background – one of the largest groups in the countries included in the ICSEY study – a high ethnic in combination with a low mainstream orientation was the most common pattern and associated with the most favourable (psychological) outcomes (Berry et al., 2006).

At almost 8% of the overall population, Muslims are a relatively large group within Europe (Central Intelligence Agency, 2012). However, Muslim immigrants rank at the bottom of the ethnic hierarchy in many countries (Hagendoorn, 1995) and Islamophobia has become an increasing problem in Western Europe (Güngör et al., 2013; Zick & Küpper, 2009). Particularly, Turkish immigrants are a visible and easily targeted minority, which is often stigmatized and generally has a low status in mainstream society. Religious maintenance is usually connected to high ethnic maintenance in Muslim immigrant groups

(e.g., Schachner et al., 2014a). When confronted with Islamophobia in the mainstream society, a higher ethnic and religious identity is sometimes accompanied by a weaker orientation towards or even a disenfranchisement from the mainstream culture (Fleischmann & Phalet, 2011; Verkuyten & Yildiz, 2007). This contributes to the separation of Muslim immigrant adolescents that takes place in many Western societies. Contrary to the overall trend observed across ethnic groups, second-generation adolescents with a Muslim immigrant background have been found to follow a pattern of ethnic and religious re-affirmation (Güngör et al., 2013; Maliepaard, Lubbers, & Gijsberts, 2009). Adolescents with a Muslim background have also been found to be most isolated from other groups in multi-ethnic classrooms (Schachner, Van de Vijver, et al., 2016). Being the most segregated group, Muslim immigrant students are also most at risk of academic underachievement in many countries (OECD, 2010).

Conditions for Acculturation and Adjustment Related to the Receiving Society

Internationally comparative studies, such as the ICSEY study (Berry et al., 2006), revealed that there are host-country specific differences in the acculturation orientations and adjustment of adolescent immigrants. These differences have been associated with the societal and political climate concerning acculturation and cultural pluralism, but also with the migration history and ethnic composition of immigrant-receiving countries.

Several typologies for the societal climate in receiving societies have been proffered, which mainly differentiate between countries on the basis of how much it is acceptable or even supported for immigrants to maintain elements of their ethnic culture and if it is possible to combine ethnic maintenance with the adoption of the mainstream culture (Berry, 1997; Bourhis et al., 1997). If cultural maintenance is accepted or even supported, and immigrants are at the same time invited to participate in the mainstream culture, this can be described as supporting cultural pluralism, with a typical example being Canada. If, however, the adoption of the mainstream culture is expected in combination with dropping one's ethnic culture, the climate can be described as assimilative. The US used to be a classic example of this kind of policy, recently also the Netherlands (Yağmur & Van de Vijver, 2012). Assimilationist policies put immigrants into the situation where they should choose one culture over the other – if they are not willing to assimilate, they become segregated (Zick, Wagner, Van Dick, & Petzel, 2001).

In the typology by Bourhis and colleagues (1997), some societies are also described as ethnist, typical examples being Germany and Israel. In an ethnist society, it is difficult to become a full member of society without national ancestors, no matter how much immigrants assimilate. This increases the risk of separation in these countries, and immigrants may be excluded from the mainstream society altogether and become marginalised (Berry, 1997). Bourhis and colleagues (1997) also identified a civic ideology, which emphasizes citizenship as opposed to ethnicity or culture. A typical example of a civic nation is France. Ethnist and civic societies are also characterised by an overall preference for assimilation.

The societal climate around acculturation and cultural pluralism is also manifested in immigration and integration policies in a particular country. The Migrant Integration Policy

Index (MIPEX; Huddleston, Niessen, Chaoimh, & White, 2011) was a recent attempt to classify these policies. The aim was to rate a country's immigration and integration policies in how immigrant friendly they are, allowing meaningful links to acculturation and adjustment of immigrants in that country. The main policy areas covered included labour market mobility, access to citizenship, long-term residency and education, as well as family reunion. Countries scoring high in one area usually also score high in others.

The MIPEX study includes countries in Europe and North America. Most countries were rated just halfway favourable in terms of the immigration and integration policies. This means that policies create as many obstacles as opportunities for immigrants to become equal members of society. Access to education is amongst the three biggest obstacles immigrants experience when settling in another country. Scandinavian and North-American countries were rated highest, meaning access was most possible, together with Belgium, the Netherlands, and some southern European countries. Countries in central Europe were rated medium, followed by Switzerland and Austria and countries in Eastern Europe. Baltic countries were rated lowest.

Interactive models of acculturation, such as the one by Bourhis and colleagues (1997), suggest that a country's immigration and integration policies affect acculturation attitudes of immigrants and mainstream society and their interaction in a particular country. These attitudes and relational outcomes feed back into government decision-making and policy-making processes. There is indeed evidence for such interactions. A study with adult Turkish immigrants in Australia, France, Germany, and the Netherlands revealed a stronger mainstream orientation and better adjustment in countries with a climate paying more tribute to cultural pluralism and exerting less assimilation pressure (Yağmur & Van de Vijver, 2012). Similar findings were obtained in adolescent samples: In their comparative study of Muslim adolescents in Western Europe, Güngör and colleagues (2013) found that religious and ethnic maintenance was higher in countries with a less welcoming intergroup climate. The ICSEY study (Berry et al., 2006) obtained similar findings. Associations with characteristics of the country of settlement were largest for national orientation, explaining 7% of the variance, followed by ethnic orientation. Direct associations with adjustment outcomes were weaker.

Overall, a higher orientation towards both cultures, which is considered the most adaptive, was found more often in more diverse countries with a longer immigration history and more pluralistic immigration policies. However, if the national context was less attuned to accommodating cultural diversity and the simultaneous orientation towards national and ethnic cultures, separation (i.e., an orientation only towards the ethnic culture) was found to be the next best choice for adolescent immigrants (Berry et al., 2006). The immigrant paradox, where immigrant students outperform native students, is also more likely to be found in countries with more immigrant-friendly climate and policies as rated on the MIPEX (Dimitrova et al., 2016).

Conclusion

Individual psychological processes do not take place in an empty space, but in a particular sociocultural context. Processes and outcomes are affected by the attitudes and opportunity structures within that context. By integrating an acculturation framework (Arends-Tóth & Van de Vijver, 2006) and a framework for immigrant youth adaptation (Motti-Stefanidi, Berry, et al., 2012), we proposed a conceptual framework for the study of contextual conditions for acculturation and adjustment of adolescent immigrants that also takes into account developmental processes. Based on this framework, we reviewed conditions for adolescent immigrants' acculturation and adjustment in three of the most important contexts, namely school, family, and ethnic or immigrant-group membership, which are nested in the mainstream society. Most of the studies reviewed are based on data from a European context, which differs from the North American context in many ways, such as migration history, immigration and integration policies, the societal climate, and the ethnic groups concerned. The most important findings were:

1. Adolescents' acculturation orientations and adjustment are associated with the acculturation expectations and multicultural climate in family, school, ethnic group, and mainstream society. Associations are stronger with contexts that are more proximal to the individual (notably family and school). The transmission from parents to children is of particular importance. Predominantly mainstream contexts such as the school or mainstream society are more strongly associated with adolescents' mainstream orientation, whereas predominantly ethnic contexts like the family or the immigrant group are more strongly associated with their ethnic orientation.
2. Rejection and exclusion in the mainstream context are met with a heightened orientation towards the ethnic culture and sometimes separation tendencies amongst adolescent immigrants. In Europe, a case in point is the situation of Muslim immigrants, where the rise of Islamophobia among the mainstream population is associated with a movement of ethnic and religious re-affirmation amongst adolescent immigrants.
3. Although assimilation is promoted in many immigrant-receiving societies and schools, it does not always lead to the best outcomes amongst adolescent immigrants – in fact, separation can be a better option, especially for psychological adjustment and if there is a large and vital ethnic community.
4. Opportunity structures in different contexts can have immediate effects on outcomes. For example, restricted opportunities for contact with mainstream peers in the school context are associated with a range of undesirable outcomes, such as lower mainstream language skills, fewer mainstream friends, lower grades, and higher levels of perceived discrimination. This can contribute to the feeling of being excluded from the mainstream society.

Suggestions for Future Research

Despite the wealth of findings, there are many open questions remaining, which would require further research. First and foremost, our assumption which is also laid out in the

conceptual model was that effects of contextual conditions on adjustment outcomes would be mediated by adolescents' acculturation orientations (including ethnic identity). This assumption was grounded in previous theoretical models of the acculturation process (Arends-Tóth & Van de Vijver, 2006; Berry, 1997; Motti-Stefanidi, Berry, et al., 2012). In the review of the empirical literature, we found some support for this assumption. Yet, there are still only few studies which explicitly test a mediation hypothesis (e.g., Berry et al., 2006; Dimitrova et al., 2015; Schachner et al., 2014a; Suanet & Van de Vijver, 2008), whereas in most studies acculturation orientations and adjustment are just considered as alternative outcomes or effects on just one of the two are investigated.

Second, we suggested in our conceptual framework that developmental processes should be considered when studying contextual effects on the acculturation and adjustment of adolescent immigrants. However, this is done only in very few studies and there is still a remarkable shortage of longitudinal studies (for exceptions, see Motti-Stefanidi, Asendorpf, et al., 2012; Schachner, Noack, et al., 2016) and of studies explicitly including different age groups or looking at age effects (for exceptions, see Berry et al., 2006; Sabatier, 2008). Out of these examples listed, hardly any includes a non-immigrant control group (Motti-Stefanidi, Asendorpf, et al., 2012), which – according to Fuligni (2001) and Titzmann and Silbereisen (2012) – is a prerequisite of disentangling acculturative and developmental processes. There is therefore a need for more longitudinal studies including a non-immigrant control group in order to draw solid conclusions about the unique role of acculturative and developmental processes in explaining the adjustment of immigrant youth.

Finally, different contexts may interact with each other. For example, the school climate to some extent reflects the wider societal climate around acculturation and cultural pluralism, and the family may put more emphasis on ethnic maintenance when there is a larger ethnic community. It is therefore difficult to predict relationships between a particular context and outcomes without at least considering the potential role of other relevant proximal and distal contexts. These can also be contexts in addition to those covered in the review, such as the peer group. Although we did tap into peer relations in the classroom, out-of-school peers may also be important for acculturation and adjustment.

Potential interactions of one context with other contexts should therefore be taken into account when interpreting findings. Ideally, effects of these contexts should be studied simultaneously. Yet, such studies are rare and require large resources. One exception is a study by Sabatier (2008), who looked at family and school related antecedents of acculturation and adjustment amongst second-generation adolescent immigrants from five different ethnic groups in France. Schachner, Van de Vijver, and Noack (in press) also studied family and school-related conditions of acculturation and adjustment in a diverse sample of early adolescents in Germany. The ICSEY study (Berry et al., 2006) is probably to date the most comprehensive study in this area. The authors looked at antecedents of acculturation, psychological and sociocultural adjustment (including school adjustment) in the context of family and country of settlement, also comparing specific ethnic groups.

Practical and Policy Implications

There are also some practical and policy implications of the findings gathered in this review. We would like to highlight three important points:

1. There is the argument that secondary school tracking into ability groups, which still takes place in different forms in many European countries, can enhance the academic self-concept and motivation of low-achieving students (Trautwein, Lüdtke, Marsh, Köller, & Baumert, 2006). However, there are risks that come with tracking, which are inflated amongst students with an immigrant background. Recent evidence from PISA shows that between-school tracking is linked with a greater achievement gap between immigrant and non-immigrant students (Teltemann & Schunck, 2016). At the time of assigning students to different ability tracks, they often have only had a few years of schooling and a limited time to compensate for any disadvantages they may experience due to coming from an immigrant family, such as language issues. This puts them particularly at risk of being placed in a low-achievement track, together with many other immigrant children. The lack of opportunities to interact and make friends with mainstream peers and the feeling of being excluded from mainstream society may by far outweigh the benefits that such a system may have, especially in terms of long-term integration into the mainstream society. Indeed, the PISA study has repeatedly picked this up as a major risk factor for poor educational outcomes amongst immigrant students (OECD, 2006, 2010).
5. Schools are important micro-contexts within the mainstream society, which to some extent transmit the overall societal climate around acculturation and multiculturalism. In addition, mainstream language education is clearly a core task when teaching immigrant students, and there is a strong motivation to promote equality in schools. This makes them prone to favour inclusive but assimilative policies, which encourage adoption of the mainstream culture but may not be overly supportive of ethnic maintenance. It is time for educators and policy makers to acknowledge students' ethnic culture as an important additional resource for immigrant students' adjustment and well-being, rather than seeing it as a risk of disintegration from mainstream society. The empirical findings in this review suggest that if mainstream society allows ethnic maintenance, adolescents and their families do not have to choose separation but can opt for integration instead.
6. Compared to other immigrant groups, adolescents with a Muslim immigrant background seem to be particularly at risk, both in terms of societal disintegration and educational outcomes. This is also a reflection of strong anti-Islamic sentiments in many countries. With almost one in ten European residents being Muslim, Islam has long become part of European societies. Initiatives to better integrate Islam in Europe are therefore needed. Such initiatives can include establishing a more European form of Islam, perhaps by educating Muslim immigrants to become religious teachers and leaders instead of recruiting them from abroad, but also teaching (more) about Islam in schools in order to reduce prejudices in the mainstream society in the long run.

References

- Allport, G. W. (1954). *The nature of prejudice*. Cambridge, MA: Perseus Books.
- Angold, A., Costello, E. J., & Worthman, C. M. (1998). Puberty and depression: the roles of age, pubertal status and pubertal timing. *Psychological Medicine*, 28, 51-61. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S003329179700593X>
- Arends-Tóth, J., & Van de Vijver, F. J. R. (2004). Domains and dimensions in acculturation: Implicit theories of Turkish-Dutch. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 28, 19-35. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2003.09.001>
- Arends-Tóth, J., & Van de Vijver, F. J. R. (2006). Issues in the conceptualization and assessment of acculturation. In M. H. Bornstein & L. R. Cote (Eds.), *Acculturation and parent-child relationships: Measurement and development* (pp. 33-62). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Bayram Özdemir, S., & Stattin, H. (2013). Why and when is ethnic harassment a risk for immigrant adolescents' school adjustment? Understanding the processes and conditions. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 43, 1-14. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10964-013-0038-y>
- Baysu, G., Phalet, K., & Brown, R. (2011). 'Dual'Identity as a two edged sword: Identity threat and minority school performance. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 74, 121-143. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0190272511407619>
- Baysu, G., Phalet, K., & Brown, R. (2014). Relative group size and minority school success: The role of intergroup friendship and discrimination experiences. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 53, 328-349. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12035>
- Becker, B., Klein, O., & Biedinger, N. (2013). The development of cognitive, language, and cultural skills from age 3 to 6: A comparison between children of Turkish origin and children of native-born German parents and the role of immigrant parents' acculturation to the receiving society. *American Educational Research Journal*, 50, 616-649. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3102/0002831213480825>
- Bellenberg, G., & Forell, M. (2012). *Schulformwechsel in Deutschland - Durchlässigkeit und Selektion in den 16 Schulsystemen der Bundesländer innerhalb der Sekundarstufe I* [Student mobility: Ascending and descending in the German multi-track school system]. Bielefeld, Germany: Bertelsmann Stiftung.
- Berry, J. W. (1997). Immigration, acculturation, and adaptation. *Applied Psychology - An International Review*, 46, 5-34. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.1997.tb01087.x>
- Berry, J. W., Phinney, J. S., Sam, D. L., & Vedder, P. (Eds.). (2006). *Immigrant youth in cultural transition: Acculturation, identity and adaptation across national contexts*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Birman, D., & Trickett, E. J. (2001). Cultural transitions in first-generation immigrants: Acculturation of Soviet Jewish refugee adolescents and parents. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 32, 456-477. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022022101032004006>

- Bourhis, R. Y., Moise, L. C., Perrault, S., & Senecal, S. (1997). Towards an interactive acculturation model: A social psychological approach. *International Journal of Psychology*, 32, 369-386. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/002075997400629>
- Brand, S., Felner, R., Shim, M., Seitsinger, A., & Dumas, T. (2003). Middle school improvement and reform: Development and validation of a school-level assessment of climate, cultural pluralism, and school safety. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 95, 570-588. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.95.3.570>
- Branscombe, N. R., Schmitt, M. T., & Harvey, R. D. (1999). Perceiving pervasive discrimination among African Americans: Implications for group identification and well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77, 135-149. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.77.1.135>
- Brenick, A., Titzmann, P. F., Michel, A., & Silbereisen, R. K. (2012). Perceptions of discrimination by young diaspora migrants. *European Psychologist*, 17, 105-119. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040/a000118>
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Cambridge, MA, US: Harvard University Press.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1986). Ecology of the family as a context for human development: Research perspectives. *Developmental Psychology*, 22, 723-742. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.22.6.723>
- Brown, C. S., & Chu, H. (2012). Discrimination, ethnic identity, and academic outcomes of Mexican immigrant children: The importance of school context. *Child Development*, 83, 1477-1485. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2012.01786.x>
- Byrd, C. M. (2015). The associations of intergroup interactions and school racial socialization with academic motivation. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 108, 10-21. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2013.831803>
- Celenk, O., & Van de Vijver, F. J. (2011). Assessment of acculturation: Issues and overview of measures. *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture*, 8, 10.
- Central Intelligence Agency. (2012). *The World Fact Book*. Retrieved 14/02/2012, from <https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/>
- Dearing, E., Kreider, H., Simpkins, S., & Weiss, H. B. (2006). Family involvement in school and low-income children's literacy: Longitudinal associations between and within families. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 98, 653-664. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.98.4.653>
- Denissen, J. J. A., Zarrett, N. R., & Eccles, J. S. (2007). I like to do it, I'm able, and I know I am: Longitudinal couplings between domain-specific achievement, self-concept, and interest. *Child Development*, 78, 430-447. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2007.01007.x>
- Dietz, G. (2007). Keyword: Cultural diversity. *Zeitschrift für Erziehungswissenschaft*, 10, 7-30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11618-007-0003-1>
- Dimitrova, R., Chasiotis, A., Bender, M., & Van de Vijver, F. J. R. (2014). Collective identity and well-being of Bulgarian Roma adolescents and their mothers. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 43, 375-386. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10964-013-0043-1>

- Dimitrova, R., Chasiotis, A., & Van de Vijver, F. J. R. (2016). Adjustment outcomes of immigrant children and youth in Europe: A meta-analysis. *European Psychologist*, 21, 150–162. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040/a000246>
- Dimitrova, R., Ferrer-Wreder, L., & Trost, K. (2015). Intergenerational transmission of ethnic identity and life satisfaction of Roma minority adolescents and their parents. *Journal of Adolescence*, 45, 296-306. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2015.10.014>
- Eccles, J. S., Lord, S. E., & Roeser, R. W. (1996). Round holes, square pegs, rocky roads, and sore feet: The impact of stage-environment fit on young adolescents' experiences in schools and families. In D. Cicchetti & S. L. Toth (Eds.), *Adolescence: Opportunities and challenges* (pp. 47-92). Rochester, NY: University of Rochester Press.
- Eccles, J. S., & Roeser, R. W. (2011). Schools as developmental contexts during adolescence. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 21, 225-241. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-7795.2010.00725.x>
- Erikson, E. H. (1968). *Identity: Youth and crisis*. New York, NY: W. W. Norton & Company Inc.
- Fleischmann, F., & Phalet, K. (2011). Integration and religiosity among the Turkish second generation in Europe: A comparative analysis across four capital cities. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 35, 320-341. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2011.579138>
- Frankenberg, E., Kupper, K., Wagner, R., & Bongard, S. (2013). Immigrant youth in Germany: Psychological and sociocultural adaptation. *European Psychologist*, 18, 158-168.
- Frisby, C., & Reynolds, C. R. (Eds.). (2005). *Comprehensive handbook of multicultural school psychology*. Hoboken, NJ, US: John Wiley & Sons Incorporated.
- Fuligni, A. (2001). A comparative longitudinal approach to acculturation among children from immigrant families. *Harvard Educational Review*, 71, 566-579. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17763/haer.71.3.i7046h63234441u3>
- Fuligni, A. J. (1997). The academic achievement of adolescents from immigrant families: The role of family background, attitudes, and behavior. *Child Development*, 68, 351-363. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.1997.tb01944.x>
- Fuligni, A. J., Witkow, M., & Garcia, C. (2005). Ethnic Identity and the Academic Adjustment of Adolescents From Mexican, Chinese, and European Backgrounds. *Developmental Psychology*, 41, 799-811. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.41.5.799>
- Galchenko, I., & Van de Vijver, F. J. R. (2007). The role of perceived cultural distance in the acculturation of exchange students in Russia. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 31, 181-197. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2006.03.004>
- Garber, J., Keiley, M. K., & Martin, N. C. (2002). Developmental trajectories of adolescents' depressive symptoms: Predictors of change. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 70, 79-95. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-006x.70.1.79>
- García Coll, C., Lamberty, G., Jenkins, R., McAdoo, H. P., Crnic, K., Wasik, B. H., & Vázquez García, H. (1996). An integrative model for the study of developmental

- competencies in minority children. *Child Development*, 67, 1891-1914.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.ep9706060144>
- Garcia Coll, C., & Marks, A. K. (Eds.). (2012). *The immigrant paradox in children and adolescents: Is becoming American a developmental risk?* Washington, DC, US: APA books.
- García Coll, C., & Szalacha, L. A. (2004). The multiple contexts of middle childhood. *The Future of Children*, 14, 81-97.
- Goldbeck, L., Schmitz, T. G., Besier, T., Herschbach, P., & Henrich, G. (2007). Life satisfaction decreases during adolescence. *Quality of Life Research*, 16, 969-979.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11136-007-9205-5>
- Graham, S., & Taylor, A. Z. (2002). Ethnicity, gender, and the development of achievement values. In A. Wigfield & J. S. Eccles (Eds.), *Development of achievement motivation. A volume in the educational psychology series* (pp. 121-146). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Güngör, D., Fleischmann, F., Phalet, K., & Maliepaard, M. (2013). Contextualizing religious acculturation: Cross-cultural perspectives on Muslim minorities in Western Europe. *European Psychologist*, 18, 203-214.
- Gutman, L., & Midgley, C. (2000). The role of protective factors in supporting the academic achievement of poor African American students during the middle school transition. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 29, 223-249.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/a:1005108700243>
- Haenni Hoti, A., Heinzmann Agten, S., Müller, M., Buholzer, A., & Künzle, R. (2013). *Akkulturation, psychosoziale Adaptation und Bildungserfolg von Jugendlichen mit Migrationshintergrund* [Acculturation, psycho-social adaptation and educational success of immigrant youth]. Luzern, Switzerland: Pädagogische Hochschule Luzern.
- Hagendoorn, L. (1995). Intergroup biases in multiple group systems: The perception of ethnic hierarchies. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 6, 199-228.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14792779443000058>
- Hanushek, E. A., Kain, J. F., & Rivkin, S. G. (2009). New evidence about Brown v. Board of Education: The complex effects of school racial composition on achievement. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 27, 349-383. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/600386>
- Hill, N. E., & Tyson, D. F. (2009). Parental involvement in middle school: A meta-analytic assessment of the strategies that promote achievement. *Developmental Psychology*, 45, 740-763. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0015362>
- Horenczyk, G., & Tatar, M. (1998). Friendship expectations among immigrant adolescents and their host peers. *Journal of Adolescence*, 69-82.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jado.1997.0130>
- Horenczyk, G., & Tatar, M. (2012). Conceptualising the school acculturative context. School, classroom and the immigrant student. In A. S. Masten, K. Liebkind & D. J. Hernandez (Eds.), *Realizing the potential of immigrant youth* (pp. 359-375). Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.

- Huddleston, T., Niessen, J., Chaoimh, E. N., & White, E. (2011). *Migrant Integration Policy Index III*. Retrieved from http://issuu.com/mipex/docs/migrant_integration_policy_index_mipexiii_2011?e=2578332/3681189#search
- Hughes, D., Rodriguez, J., Smith, E. P., Johnson, D. J., Stevenson, H. C., & Spicer, P. (2006). Parents' ethnic-racial socialization practices: A review of research and directions for future study. *Developmental Psychology, 42*, 747-770. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.42.5.747>
- Jonsson, J. O., & Rudolphi, F. (2011). Weak performance - strong determination: School achievement and educational choice among children of immigrants in Sweden. *European Sociological Review, 27*, 487-508. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/esr/jcq021>
- Jugert, P., Noack, P., & Rutland, A. (2011). Friendship preferences among German and Turkish preadolescents. *Child Development, 82*, 812-829. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01528.x>
- Kao, G. (2004). Parental influences on the educational outcomes of immigrant youth. *International Migration Review, 38*, 427-449. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/27645384>
- Lazarides, R., & Ittel, A. (2013). Effekte fachbezogener Wertschätzung und Unterstützung der Familie auf Mathematikinteresse in der Sekundarstufe I. Welche Rolle spielt der Migrationshintergrund? [Effects of subject specific values and family support on secondary school children's interest in mathematics. What is the role of migration background]. Manuscript in preparation.
- Liebkind, K. (2006). Ethnic identity and acculturation. In D. L. Sam & J. W. Berry (Eds.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Acculturation Psychology* (pp. 78-96). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Liebkind, K., Jasinskaja-Lahti, I., & Solheim, E. (2004). Cultural identity, perceived discrimination, and parental support as determinants of immigrants' school adjustments: Vietnamese Youth in Finland. *Journal of Adolescent Research, 19*, 635-656. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0743558404269279>
- Magnuson, K., Lahaie, C., & Waldfogel, J. (2006). Preschool and school readiness of children of immigrants. *Social Science Quarterly, 87*, 1241-1262. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6237.2006.00426.x>
- Maliepaard, M., Lubbers, M., & Gijsberts, M. (2009). Generational differences in ethnic and religious attachment and their interrelation. A study among Muslim minorities in the Netherlands. *Ethnic and Racial Studies, 33*, 451-472. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01419870903318169>
- Marsh, H. W., & Ayotte, V. (2003). Do multiple dimensions of self-concept become more differentiated with age? The differential distinctiveness hypothesis. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 95*, 687-706. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.95.4.687>
- Marsh, H. W., Trautwein, U., Lüdtke, O., Köller, O., & Baumert, J. (2005). Academic self-concept, interest, grades, and standardized test scores: Reciprocal effects models of causal ordering. *Child Development, 76*, 397-416. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2005.00853.x>

- McPherson, M., Smith-Lovin, L., & Cook, J. M. (2001). Birds of a feather: Homophily in social networks. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 27, 415-444.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2678628>
- Michel, A., Titzmann, P. F., & Silbereisen, R. K. (2012a). Language shift among adolescent ethnic German immigrants: Predictors of increasing use of German over time. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 36, 248-259.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2011.10.002>
- Michel, A., Titzmann, P. F., & Silbereisen, R. K. (2012b). Psychological adaptation of adolescent immigrants from the former Soviet Union in Germany: Acculturation versus age-related time trends. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 43, 59-76.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022022111416662>
- Morin, A. J. S., Maïano, C., Marsh, H. W., Nagengast, B., & Janosz, M. (2013). School life and adolescents' self-esteem trajectories. *Child Development*, 84, 1967–1988.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12089>
- Motti-Stefanidi, F., Asendorpf, J. B., & Masten, A. S. (2012). The adaptation and well-being of adolescent immigrants in Greek schools: A multilevel, longitudinal study of risks and resources. *Development and Psychopathology*, 24, 451-473.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0954579412000090>
- Motti-Stefanidi, F., Berry, J. W., Chrysoschoou, X., Sam, D. L., & Phinney, J. S. (2012). Positive youth adaptation in context. Developmental, acculturation and social psychological perspectives. In A. S. Masten, K. Liebkind & D. J. Hernandez (Eds.), *Realizing the potential of immigrant youth* (pp. 117-158). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Nauck, B. (2001a). Intercultural contact and intergenerational transmission in immigrant families. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 32, 159-173.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022022101032002004>
- Nauck, B. (2001b). Social capital, intergenerational transmission and intercultural contact in immigrant families. *Journal of Comparative Family Studies*, 32, 465-488.
- Nguyen, A.-M. D., & Benet-Martínez, V. (2013). Biculturalism and adjustment: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 44, 122-159.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022022111435097>
- OECD. (2006). *Where immigrant students succeed: A comparative review of performance and engagement in PISA 2003*. Retrieved from
<http://www.oecd.org/education/school/programme-for-international-student-assessment-pisa/where-immigrant-students-succeed-a-comparative-review-of-performance-and-engagement-in-pisa-2003.htm>
- OECD. (2010). *PISA 2009 Results: Overcoming Social Background. Equity in Learning Opportunities and Outcomes*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264091504-en>
- OECD. (2012). *Untapped skills: Realising the potential of immigrant students*.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264172470-en>
- Oppedal, B. (2006). Development and acculturation. In D. L. Sam & J. W. Berry (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of acculturation psychology* (pp. 97-112). New York, NY, US: Cambridge University Press.

- Oppedal, B., Røysamb, E., & Heyerdahl, S. (2005). Ethnic group, acculturation, and psychiatric problems in young immigrants. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 46, 646-660. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2004.00381.x>
- Ouarasse, O. A., & Van de Vijver, F. J. R. (2004). Structure and function of the perceived acculturation context of young Moroccans in the Netherlands. *International Journal of Psychology*, 39, 190-204. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00207590344000367>
- Park, I. J., Schwartz, S. J., Lee, R. M., Kim, M., & Rodriguez, L. (2013). Perceived racial/ethnic discrimination and antisocial behaviors among Asian American college students: Testing the moderating roles of ethnic and American identity. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 19, 166-176. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0028640>
- Pfafferott, I., & Brown, R. (2006). Acculturation preferences of majority and minority adolescents in Germany in the context of society and family. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 30, 703-717. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2006.03.005>
- Phinney, J. S. (1989). Stages of ethnic identity development in minority group adolescents. *The Journal of Early Adolescence*, 9, 34-49. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0272431689091004>
- Plaut, V. C., Thomas, K. M., & Goren, M. J. (2009). Is multiculturalism or color blindness better for minorities? *Psychological Science*, 20, 444-446. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2009.02318.x>
- Robins, R. W., & Trzesniewski, K. H. (2005). Self-esteem development across the lifespan. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 14, 158-162. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.0963-7214.2005.00353.x>
- Roeser, R. W., Eccles, J. S., & Sameroff, A. J. (2000). School as a context of early adolescents' academic and social-emotional development: A summary of research findings. *The Elementary School Journal*, 100, 443-471. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/499650>
- Rumberger, R. W., & Palardy, G. J. (2005). Does segregation still matter? The impact of student composition on academic achievement in high school. *Teachers College Record*, 107, 1999-2045.
- Sabatier, C. (2008). Ethnic and national identity among second-generation immigrant adolescents in France: The role of social context and family. *Journal of Adolescence*, 31, 185-205.
- Sam, D. L. (2006). Adaptation of children and adolescents with immigrant background: Acculturation or development. In M. H. Bornstein & L. R. Cote (Eds.), *Acculturation and parent-child relationships: Measurement and development* (pp. 97-112). Mahwah, NJ, US: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Sam, D. L., Vedder, P., Ward, C., & Horenczyk, G. (2006). Psychological and sociocultural adaptation of immigrant youth. In J. W. Berry, J. S. Phinney, D. L. Sam & P. Vedder (Eds.), *Immigrant youth in cultural transition – Acculturation, identity and adaptation across national contexts* (pp. 117-141). New Jersey, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

- Schachner, M. K. (2017). From equality and inclusion to cultural pluralism – evolution and effects of cultural diversity perspectives in schools. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17405629.2017.1326378>
- Schachner, M. K., Brenick, A., Noack, P., Van de Vijver, F. J. R., & Heizmann, B. (2015). Structural and normative conditions for interethnic friendships in multiethnic classrooms. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 47, 1-12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2015.02.003>
- Schachner, M. K., Noack, P., Van de Vijver, F. J. R., & Eckstein, K. (2016). Cultural diversity climate and psychological adjustment at school – Equality and inclusion versus cultural pluralism. *Child Development*, 87, 1175-1191. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12536>
- Schachner, M. K., Van de Vijver, F. J. R., Brenick, A., & Noack, P. (2016). Who is friends with whom? –Patterns of inter- and intraethnic friendships of mainstream and immigrant early adolescents in Germany. In P. Denoux, C. Roland-Lévy & C. Sabatier (Eds.), *Unity, diversity and culture*. Reims, France: International Association of Cross-Cultural Psychology.
- Schachner, M. K., Van de Vijver, F. J. R., & Noack, P. (2014a). Family-related antecedents of early adolescent immigrants' psychological and sociocultural school adjustment in Germany. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 45, 1606-1625. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022022114543831>
- Schachner, M. K., Van de Vijver, F. J. R., & Noack, P. (2014b). Characteristics of the country of origin and immigrant children's psychological and sociocultural school adjustment. In L. T. B. Jackson, D. Meiring, F. J. R. Van de Vijver, E. S. Idemudia & W. K. Gabrenya Jr. (Eds.), *Toward sustainable development through nurturing (or appreciating) diversity* (pp. 47-64). Melbourne, FL: International Association of Cross-Cultural Psychology. Retrieved from <http://www.iaccp.org/drupal/ebooks>.
- Schachner, M. K., Van de Vijver, F. J. R., & Noack, P. (in press). Acculturation and school adjustment of early-adolescent immigrant boys and girls in Germany – Conditions in school, family and ethnic group. *Journal of Early Adolescence*.
- Schofield, J. W. (2001). The colorblind perspective in school: Causes and consequences. In J. A. Banks & C. A. McGeeBanks (Eds.), *Multicultural education. Issues & perspectives* (4th ed., pp. 247–267). New York, NY: Wiley.
- Schönpflug, U. (Ed.). (2009). *Cultural transmission - Psychological, developmental, social, and methodological aspects*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Seginer, R. (2006). Parents' educational involvement: A developmental ecology perspective. *Parenting: Science and Practice*, 6, 1-48. http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15327922par0601_1
- Stroud, L. R., Foster, E., Papandonatos, G. D., Handwerger, K., Granger, D. A., Kivlighan, K. T., & Niaura, R. (2009). Stress response and the adolescent transition: Performance versus peer rejection stressors. *Development and Psychopathology*, 21, 47-68. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0954579409000042>

- Suanet, I., & Van de Vijver, F. J. R. (2008). The role of ethnic vitality in acculturation among Russian emigrants to France, Germany, and the Netherlands. *Russian Journal of Communication*, 1, 412-435.
- Teltemann, J., & Schunck, R. (2016). Education systems, school segregation, and second-generation immigrants' educational success: Evidence from a country-fixed effects approach using three waves of PISA. *Journal of Comparative Sociology*, 57, 1-24. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0020715216687348>
- Telzer, E. H. (2010). Expanding the acculturation gap-distress model: An integrative review of research. *Human Development*, 53, 313-340. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000322476>
- Thapa, A., Cohen, J., Guffey, S., & Higgins-D'Alessandro, A. (2013). A review of school climate research. *Review of Educational Research*, 83, 357-385. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3102/0034654313483907>
- Thijs, J., & Verkuyten, M. (2013). School ethnic diversity and students' interethnic relations. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 84, 1-21. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12032>
- Titzmann, P. F., Raabe, T., & Silbereisen, R. K. (2008). Risk and protective factors for delinquency among male adolescent immigrants at different stages of the acculturation process. *International Journal of Psychology*, 43, 19-31. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00207590701804305>
- Titzmann, P. F., & Silbereisen, R. K. (2012). Acculturation or development? Autonomy expectations among ethnic German immigrant adolescents and their native German age-mates. *Child Development*, 83, 1640-1654. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2012.01799.x>
- Titzmann, P. F., Silbereisen, R. K., & Mesch, G. (2014). Minor delinquency and immigration: A longitudinal study among male adolescents. *Developmental Psychology*, 50, 271-282. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0032666>
- Trautwein, U., Lüdtke, O., Marsh, H. W., Köller, O., & Baumert, J. (2006). Tracking, grading, and student motivation: Using group composition and status to predict self-concept and interest in ninth-grade mathematics. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 98, 788-806. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.98.4.788>
- Turney, K., & Kao, G. (2009). Barriers to school involvement: Are immigrant parents disadvantaged? *The Journal of Educational Research*, 102, 257-271. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3200/joer.102.4.257-271>
- Van Ewijk, R., & Sleegers, P. (2010). Peer ethnicity and achievement: A meta-analysis into the compositional effect. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 21, 237-265. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09243451003612671>
- Vedder, P., & Horenczyk, G. (2006). Acculturation and the school. In D. L. Sam & J. W. Berry (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of acculturation psychology* (pp. 419-438). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Verkuyten, M. (2002). Perceptions of ethnic discrimination by minority and majority early adolescents in the Netherlands. *International Journal of Psychology*, 37, 321-332. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00207590244000142>

- Verkuyten, M., Hagendoorn, L., & Masson, K. (1996). The ethnic hierarchy among majority and minority youth in the Netherlands. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 26*, 1104-1118. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1996.tb01127.x>
- Verkuyten, M., & Thijs, J. (2001). Ethnic and gender bias among Dutch and Turkish children in late childhood: The role of social context. *Infant and Child Development, 10*, 203-217. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/icd.279>
- Verkuyten, M., & Thijs, J. (2013). Multicultural education and inter-ethnic attitudes: An intergroup perspective. *European Psychologist, 18*, 179-190. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040/a000152>
- Verkuyten, M., & Yildiz, A. A. (2007). National (dis)identification and ethnic and religious identity: A study among Turkish-Dutch Muslims. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 33*, 1448-1462. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0146167207304276>
- Ward, C. (2001). The A, B, Cs of acculturation. In D. Matsumoto (Ed.), *The handbook of culture and psychology* (pp. 411-445). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Ward, C. (2013). Probing identity, integration and adaptation: Big questions, little answers. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 37*, 391-404. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2013.04.001>
- Ward, C., Bochner, S., & Furnham, A. (2001). *The psychology of culture shock* (2nd ed.). Hove, UK: Routledge.
- Ward, C., & Geeraert, N. (2016). Advancing acculturation theory and research: The acculturation process in its ecological context. *Current Opinion in Psychology, 8*, 98–104. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.09.021>
- Ward, C., & Searle, W. (1991). The impact of value discrepancies and cultural identity on psychological and sociocultural adjustment of sojourners. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 15*, 209-224. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0147-1767\(91\)90030-k](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0147-1767(91)90030-k)
- Watt, H. M. G. (2004). Development of adolescents' self-perceptions, values, and task perceptions according to gender and domain in 7th- through 11th-grade Australian students. *Child Development, 75*, 1556-1574. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2004.00757.x>
- Wong, C. A., Eccles, J. S., & Sameroff, A. (2003). The influence of ethnic discrimination and ethnic identification on African American adolescents' school and socioemotional adjustment. *Journal of Personality, 71*, 1197-1232. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1467-6494.7106012>
- Yağmur, K., & Van de Vijver, F. J. R. (2012). Acculturation and language orientations of Turkish immigrants in Australia, France, Germany, and the Netherlands. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 43*, 1110-1130. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022022111420145>
- Zick, A., & Küpper, B. (2009). Meinungen zum Islam und Muslimen in Deutschland und Europa [Opinions on Islam and Muslims in Germany and Europe] *Ausgewählte Ergebnisse der Umfrage Gruppenbezogene Menschenfeindlichkeit in Europa [Selected results of the Group-Focussed Enmity survey in Europe]*. Bielefeld, Germany: Universität Bielefeld.

- Zick, A., Wagner, U., Van Dick, R., & Petzel, T. (2001). Acculturation and prejudice in Germany: Majority and minority perspectives. *Journal of Social Issues*, 57, 541-557. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00228>
- Zimmerman, M., Copeland, L., Shope, J., & Dielman, T. E. (1997). A Longitudinal Study of Self-Esteem: Implications for Adolescent Development. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 26, 117-141. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/a:1024596313925>

Further Reading

- Berry, J. W., Phinney, J. S., Sam, D. L., & Vedder, P. (Eds.). (2006). *Immigrant youth in cultural transition: Acculturation, identity and adaptation across national contexts*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Berry, J. W., Phinney, J. S., Sam, D. L., & Vedder, P. (2006). Immigrant youth: Acculturation, identity, and adaptation. *Applied Psychology*, 55, 303-332. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2006.00256.x>
- Bronfenbrenner, U., & Morris, P. A. (2006). The bioecological model of human development. In W. Damon & R. M. Lerner (Eds.), *Handbook of child psychology*. (6th ed., Vol. 1). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons Incorporated.
- Masten, A. S., Liebkind, K., & Hernandez, D. J. (Eds.) (2012). *Realizing the potential of immigrant youth*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Sam, D. L., & Berry, J. W. (Eds.) (2016). *The Cambridge handbook of acculturation psychology* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Discussion Questions

1. Think about your own highschool classroom. Were there many people from your own ethnic group or just a few? How diverse was it? How did you deal with this diversity? Did the diversity of your classroom affect who your friends were? Did you have friends from different ethnic groups?
2. What are the implications when developmental processes are not considered when studying acculturation in immigrant youth?
3. How is the macro-system of the mainstream society reflected in more proximal contexts like family, school and immigrant group?
4. What are the most important contextual conditions for the school achievement of immigrant youth?
5. What would be an interaction between immigrant families and schools in what Bronfenbrenner would call the meso-system? How could you test effects of such an interaction on acculturation and adjustment of immigrant students in a psychological study?

6. What are the three key messages for teachers in diverse schools that you would derive from this paper? What could be barriers for teachers to engage more strongly with diversity issues?
7. If you were the minister of education in your country, what would you change to improve the situation of immigrant students and why?
8. What could be successful measures to increase parental involvement in schools and why?

About the Authors

Maja K. Schachner (1982) is a postdoctoral researcher in Inclusive Education at the University of Potsdam, Germany, as well as a fellow in the College for Interdisciplinary Educational Research. She is also the president-elect of the Early Researchers' Union of the European Association of Developmental Psychology (EADP). Her main research interests include cultural diversity in schools, cross-ethnic friendships, acculturation and school-related outcomes of adolescents of immigrant background. She is the recipient of the 2015 George Butterworth Young Scientist Award of the EADP as well as the 2017 Early Career Award of the International Academy for Intercultural Research.

E-Mail: maja.schachner@uni-potsdam.de; maja.schachner@googlemail.com

Phone: +49-331-977 6388

Fons J. R. Van de Vijver (1952) is Professor of Cross-Cultural Psychology at Tilburg University, the Netherlands, and has extraordinary chairs at North-West University, South Africa, and the University of Queensland, Australia. He has authored more than 400 publications and he is the recipient of the 2013 International Award of the American Psychological Association. He is Past-President of the European Association of Psychological Assessment and President of the International Association for Cross-Cultural Psychology.

Peter Noack (1957) is Professor for Educational Psychology at Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Germany. He has published extensively on a wide range of topics in the area of adolescent development and was the President of the European Association for Research on Adolescence from 2006 to 2008. His main research interests include development and socialization in family and friendship relations; attitudes, learning behavior, and school achievement of students; and civic socialization.