The Foundation Review

Volume 7
Issue 2 *Open Access*

6-30-2015

Back Matter

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr>

Part of the [Nonprofit Administration and Management Commons](#), and the [Public Affairs, Public Policy and Public Administration Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

(2015). Back Matter. *The Foundation Review*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.9707/1944-5660.1245>

Copyright © 2015 Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University. The Foundation Review is reproduced electronically by ScholarWorks@GVSU. <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr>

VOL. 7 ISSUE 2

TOOLS

6 Strategy Design Amid Complexity: Tools for Designing and Implementing Adaptive Funding Strategies

Erica Snow, M.S., M.P.A., Colorado Health Foundation; Jewlya Lynn, Ph.D., Spark Policy Institute; and Tanya Beer M.P.A., M.A., Center for Evaluation Innovation

Increasingly, foundations recognize the importance of designing adaptive strategies that can respond to complex environments and problems. Foundations now need processes and tools to create and implement adaptive strategies while also addressing the core dilemmas such strategies create: managing accountability in the context of adaptation, adapting at the right level, and responding to changes in context without creating too much instability for grantees. Using a case study from the Colorado Health Foundation's advocacy funding strategy to increase health care coverage, this article presents a set of tools to help foundations design adaptive strategies and ideas for balancing accountability for achieving goals with adaptability throughout the course of an initiative.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1246

22 Network Evaluation in Practice: Approaches and Applications

Madeleine Taylor, Ph.D., and Anne Whatley, M.S., Network Impact; Julia Coffman, M.S., Center for Evaluation Innovation

As more funders support networks as a mechanism for social change, new and practical knowledge is emerging about how to build and support effective networks. Based on extensive review of different types of networks and their evaluations, and on interviews with funders, network practitioners, and evaluation experts, the authors have developed an accessible framework for evaluating networks. This article describes the evaluation framework and its three pillars of network assessment: network connectivity, network health, and network results. Also presented are case examples of foundation-funded network evaluations focused on each pillar, which include practical information on evaluation designs, methods, and results, as well as a final discussion of areas for further attention.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1247

SECTOR

38 Grey Matter(s): Embracing the Publisher Within

Lisa Brook, B.A. and Gabriela Fitz, M.A., Foundation Center

Many foundations act as publishers, making information available to the public by funding research and publications, or by authoring their own. While there are some common obstacles preventing foundations from moving toward shared systems and practices, the authors describe a number of publishing practices being widely adopted, such as open licensing, digital object identifiers, open access repositories, and Schema.org, that together address most of those obstacles and represent a set of shared practices around which the social sector might coalesce and coordinate.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1248

REFLECTIVE PRACTICE

51 Building an Organizational Culture That Supports Philanthropy in Indian Country: A Funder's Story

Adam Kintopf, B.A., Nicole Toves Villaluz, B.A., Jaime Martínez, M.Ed., Barbara Schillo, Ph.D., ClearWay Minnesota; Y. Elaine Rasmussen, M.S., Native Americans in Philanthropy

Organizations working to create positive change in American Indian communities soon learn that one size does not fit all. Issues of sovereignty, historical trauma, poverty, epidemic disease, isolation, and mistrust means that what works in the general population may not in Indian Country. ClearWay Minnesota works to eliminate smoking and improve health among all Minnesotans – including those living on tribal lands. Native peoples regard tobacco as a sacred medicine given by their creator, and traditionally use it spiritually and ceremonially. This article examines ClearWay's project to overcome challenges around its work in Indian Country and to build support for that work among its leadership. Outcomes from this project could be useful to organizations attempting to reduce disparities for American Indians in health, substance abuse, economic equity, and more.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1249

REFLECTIVE PRACTICE

65 Catalytic Funding, Partnership, Evaluation, and Advocacy: Innovation Strategies for Community Impact

Lora Warner, Ph.D., University of Wisconsin-Green Bay

With long-term commitments to concentrated geographic regions, community foundations are in a unique position to highlight problems and stimulate other nonprofit organizations and funders to develop local solutions. This article describes how the Community Foundation for the Fox Valley Region combined catalytic funding, partnership with grantees, creative use of evaluation, and design of advocacy tools to promote and strengthen youth arts programming. The partnership approach gave rise to very different working relationships with grantees, moving the foundation away from its traditional role to one that led to shared ownership among all the collaborative partners. The author offers eight insights for others interested in using innovative methods to lead large initiatives designed for broad community impact.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1250

This publication is printed with soy ink.

Printed in USA

Call for Papers

FOR VOLUME 8, ISSUE 2

Abstracts of up to 250 words are being solicited for Volume 8, Issue 2 of *The Foundation Review*. This issue will be an open (unthemed) issue. Papers on any topic relevant to organized philanthropy are invited.

Submit abstracts to submissions@foundationreview.org by August 30, 2015. If a full paper is invited, it will be due January 30, 2016 for consideration for publication in June 2016.

Abstracts are solicited in four categories:

- **Results.** Papers in this category generally report on findings from evaluations of foundation-funded work. Papers should include a description of the theory of change (logic model, program theory), a description of the grant-making strategy, the evaluation methodology, the results, and discussion. The discussion should focus on what has been learned both about the programmatic content and about grantmaking and other foundation roles (convening, etc.).
- **Tools.** Papers in this category should describe tools useful for foundation staff or boards. By “tool” we mean a systematic, replicable method intended for a specific purpose. For example, a protocol to assess community readiness and standardized facilitation methods would be considered a tool. The actual tool should be included in the article where practical. The paper should describe the rationale for the tool, how it was developed, and available evidence of its usefulness.
- **Sector.** Papers in this category address issues that confront the philanthropic sector as whole, such as diversity, accountability, etc. These are typically empirically based; literature reviews are also considered.
- **Reflective Practice.** The reflective practice articles rely on the knowledge and experience of the authors, rather than on formal evaluation methods or designs. In these cases, it is because of their perspective about broader issues, rather than specific initiatives, that the article is valuable.

BOOK REVIEWS: *The Foundation Review* publishes reviews of relevant books. Please contact the editor to discuss submitting a review. Reviewers must be free of conflicts of interest.

Please contact Teri Behrens, Editor of *The Foundation Review*, with questions at behrenst@foundationreview.org or 734-646-2874.

Grantmakers in the Arts
Supporting a Creative America

A national network of private, public, and corporate arts funders, Grantmakers in the Arts provides leadership and service that advances the use of philanthropic and governmental resources to support the growth of arts and culture.

GIA provides valuable professional development for arts grantmakers through conferences and forums, publications, research and policy work, and communication tools on our website.

Join our community at giarts.org.

2015 GIA Conference
October 18-21
Los Angeles, CA

Join more than 400 arts funders to share knowledge and ideas in Los Angeles, one of America's model cultural and funding communities with a breadth of artists, organizations, and systems of support.

Register at conference.giarts.org.

Place your ad in *The Foundation Review* today!

THE **FoundationReview**

ADVERTISING OFFICE

Pat Nanzer
Phone: 616-331-7593
Email: nanzerp@gvsu.edu

MECHANICAL SPECIFICS FOR PRINT

Width and Height

Full Page: 6" x 9"

Full Page Bleed: 7.25" x 10.25"

Half Page (H): 6" x 4.25"

Half Page (V): 2.75" x 9"

Quarter Page: 2.75" x 4.25"

Business Card: 3.5" x 2" approx.

Readers of The Foundation Review include:

- Foundation program officers,
- Foundation trustees,
- Other foundation, government, and nonprofit staff, and
- Others working with foundations and grantmakers.

Place a full page, half page, quarter page, or business card sized ad to reach journal readers with your advertising message.

THE FoundationReview

ORDER YOUR SUBSCRIPTION

DON'T MISS A SINGLE ISSUE!

ONLINE

Individual: \$80

Institution: \$290

Library: \$584

PRINT AND ONLINE

Individual: \$98

Institution: \$325

Library: \$649

EASY WAYS TO ORDER

ONLINE

www.thefoundationreview.org

EMAIL

turpinna@turpin-distribution.com

PHONE

toll free 844-232-3707

The Foundation Review is published quarterly by the Johnson
Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University
in Grand Rapids, Mich.

JOHNSON CENTER
AT GRAND VALLEY STATE UNIVERSITY

AN ACADEMIC CENTER OF

**GRAND VALLEY
STATE UNIVERSITY**
COLLEGE OF COMMUNITY
AND PUBLIC SERVICE

DOROTHY A. JOHNSON CENTER FOR PHILANTHROPY

Philanthropy is changing faster than ever. We believe learning curves shouldn't get in the way of impact.

We guide foundations, nonprofits, professionals, and donors to strengthen their work and navigate the field.

With original research, tools, trainings, and more, we can help you find trusted guidance for doing good.

JOHNSON CENTER
AT GRAND VALLEY STATE UNIVERSITY

Trusted Guidance for Doing Good

AN ACADEMIC CENTER OF:
**GRAND VALLEY
STATE UNIVERSITY**
www.gvsu.edu

THE
FoundationReview
www.thefoundationreview.org

Our mission: To share evaluation results, tools, and knowledge about the philanthropic sector in order to improve the practice of grantmaking, yielding greater impact and innovation.

JOHNSON CENTER
AT GRAND VALLEY STATE UNIVERSITY

**GRAND VALLEY
STATE UNIVERSITY**
www.gvsu.edu