The Foundation Review

Volume 8

Issue 3 *Special Issue - The Future of Community- Open Access*

9-2016

Front Matter

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr>

Part of the [Nonprofit Administration and Management Commons](#), and the [Public Affairs, Public Policy and Public Administration Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

(2016). Front Matter. *The Foundation Review*, 8(3). <https://doi.org/10.9707/1944-5660.1320>

Copyright © 2016 Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University. The Foundation Review is reproduced electronically by ScholarWorks@GVSU. <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr>

THE
FoundationReview®

The Future
of Community

RESULTS _____

Youth Leadership	9
Community Innovation	23

SECTOR _____

Immigrant Communities	38
Grantmaking for Community and Economic Development	51
Knowledge as Leadership	66
Partnering for Impact	81

REFLECTIVE PRACTICE _____

Economic Development Philanthropy	92
--	----

Executive Summaries	106
---------------------------	-----

SPONSORED BY:

The Peer-Reviewed Journal of Philanthropy

COUNCIL *on* FOUNDATIONS

2017 ANNUAL CONFERENCE

APRIL 23-26, 2017 | DALLAS, TX

cof.org/2017Annual

THE FoundationReview®

PUBLISHED QUARTERLY

VOL. 8 SPECIAL ISSUE | SEPTEMBER 2016

The Foundation Review is the first peer-reviewed journal of philanthropy, written by and for foundation staff and boards and those who work with them implementing programs. Each quarterly issue of *The Foundation Review* provides peer-reviewed reports about the field of philanthropy, including reports by foundations on their own work.

Our mission: To share evaluation results, tools, and knowledge about the philanthropic sector in order to improve the practice of grantmaking, yielding greater impact and innovation.

The Foundation Review is a proud product of the Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University.

Photo Credits

Front cover:
GVSU students volunteering in the community as part of "Make a Difference Day,"
Rex Larsen

Page 106: Amanda Pitts (L),
Experience Grand Rapids (R)

Pages 107–108:
Amanda Pitts

Page 109: Amanda Pitts (L),
Experience Grand Rapids (R)

Editor in Chief

Teresa R. Behrens

Associate Editor

Pat Nanzer

Assistant Editor

Michael Pratt

Art Director

Karen Hoekstra

Editorial Advisory Board

Robert F. Ashcraft, Ph.D.
Lodestar Center for Philanthropy
and Nonprofit Innovation
Arizona State University

Kathy Palumbo, Ph.D.
The Community Foundation
for Greater Atlanta

Patricia Patrizi
Patrizi Associates

Michael Quinn Patton, Ph.D.
Utilization-Focused Evaluation

Hallie Preskill, Ph.D.
FSG Social Impact Consultants

Patrick Rooney, Ph.D.
Lilly Family School of Philanthropy
Indiana University

Statement of the Editorial Advisory Board

We believe that the forthright sharing of information among foundations and nonprofits builds a knowledge base that strengthens their ability to effectively address critical social issues. We encourage foundation donors, boards, and staff to honor this transparency in their own practices and to support others who do so.

JOHNSON CENTER
AT GRAND VALLEY STATE UNIVERSITY

GRAND VALLEY
STATE UNIVERSITY

Copyright © 2016 Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University. *The Foundation Review* is a registered trademark of Grand Valley State University.

editorial

Dear Readers,

In 2016, the Council on Foundations themed its conference around **The Future of Community** in order to elevate the changing nature of our global communities. More than 1,400 philanthropic leaders examined the field's ability to meet both collective and individual community needs. Following the conference, The Council on Foundations supported the development of a special issue of *The Foundation Review* to encourage deeper exploration of the topics that the conference programming raised.

This special issue focuses on philanthropy's adaptation to changing communities. The seven articles each explore an aspect of the relationship between foundations and communities, addressing topics such as the redefinition of community, the effect of evolving demographics, and potential solutions to climate change.

Wardrip, Lambe, and de Zeeuw's work addresses the geography of funding. They challenge the perception among some in the field of community and economic development that small and socioeconomically distressed metro areas do not attract a proportional share of grant capital from the nation's largest foundations. The authors reviewed nearly 169,000 community and economic development grants that the largest foundations made between 2008 and 2013 to identify metro area characteristics associated with higher levels of grant receipt. Rather than poverty rates, it is the density of nonprofit organizations and the presence of large foundations in the locale that best predict who receives grants.

Two articles focus on the effects of changing community demographics. As younger generations seek greater connection to their work, finding ways to engage youth meaningfully in community is critical. **Richards-Schuster and Brisson** examine the Community Foundation of Southeast Michigan's launch of a broad-based, multilayered strategy to promote youth leadership in the region. The foundation helped develop comprehensive programs aimed at building the capacity of youth-serving organizations to engage youth as leaders, support a youth-driven research assessment and social-justice project, and provide funds for youth-run efforts aimed at strengthening the region's schools and communities.

Martin-Rogers, Evans, and Mattessich provide insight into the needs of immigrant communities and offer suggestions for how foundations can consider immigrant and refugee communities in their work. While immigrants, and especially refugees, are often viewed from a deficit model, the cultural strengths in their communities are often integral parts of the solution.

TERI BEHRENS

VIKKI SPRUILL

One article details the development of new funding mechanisms. **Peterson** shares a case study in which the McKnight Foundation entered into a unique cross-sector partnership to develop market-driven solutions to global climate change. The case represents a trend in which impact investing is drawing a new pool of funders — beyond traditional grantmakers — into innovative social change solutions. As next-gen family funders move away from place-based communities to issue-based communities — and as global issues such as climate change begin to have local impact — this funding model may appeal to a broader range of funders.

Finally, three articles in this issue address the relationship of foundations to the communities in which they work. **Phillips, Bird, Carlton, and Rose** focus on how the concept of “community” in community foundations is being reframed not only as a place, but as a *process* of engagement and a resulting sense of belonging. Their article explores the Canadian network of community foundations’ pivot to a knowledge-driven approach to leadership and how they are using this knowledge in more inclusive, engaged models of community to drive change agendas.

Moore, Klem, Holmes, Holley, and Houchen report on the REACH Healthcare Foundation’s initiative to encourage the development of innovative strategies to improve access to health care and reduce health inequities in three rural counties in Missouri and Kansas. The intent was to develop a systematic, sustainable, and coordinated approach to community change that would increase the odds of breaking through the persistent barriers to health care access for the rural poor and medically underserved in these counties. The focus was on changing how the healthcare system worked rather than on funding new services, which made the foundation’s strategy one of network and capacity building.

Markley, Macke, Topolsky, Green, and Feierabend suggest that *economic development philanthropy* is a new way for place-based foundations to support their local economies by filling gaps that other organizations and agencies are not addressing. To ensure that a foundation is playing this value-added role requires identifying what others are doing and the outcomes they are seeking or achieving — thereby clarifying the gaps and leverage points in the system. Playing this role requires different skills for foundation staff — especially systems thinking and analysis skills.

A crucial take-away from these articles is that in order to be relevant and effective, foundations must be *connected* to the communities they seek to serve. In the face of ongoing and rapid change — whether it be changes in the climate, in community demographics, or in economic conditions — it is the connection to community that enables foundations to gain a deep understanding of today’s toughest challenges and potential responses to them. Connections to young people, immigrants and refugees, to new funders and to the current players — all are part of how foundations gain a systems-level perspective that lets them be effective. As communities change, foundations must change themselves in response, whether by offering new funding mechanisms or by rethinking the role they play in the overall community system.

editorial

This issue has made clear that there is no shortage of work that needs to be done to ensure a vibrant future for communities. To drive meaningful impact, funders will need to leverage the best thinking from practitioners, scholars, and other community leaders. That's why it is so important that foundations of all types — private, corporate, and community — contribute to the strategies that will ensure that The Future of Community is bright.

We are grateful that the contributors to this issue have contributed in such significant ways to moving this thinking forward, and we look forward to continuing our joint efforts to develop and elevate the ideas that will strengthen philanthropy.

Teresa R. Behrens, Ph.D.
Editor in Chief
The Foundation Review

Vikki Spruill
President and CEO
Council on Foundations

Co-edited by Jason Franklin, Ph.D., W.K. Kellogg Chair for Community Philanthropy, Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University.

contents

VOL. 8 SPECIAL ISSUE

RESULTS

9 Strengthening Youth Leadership in a Metropolitan Region: Examining Lessons From a Multiyear Community Foundation Initiative

Katie Richards-Schuster, Ph.D., University of Michigan, and Katie G. Brisson, M.A., Community Foundation for Southeast Michigan

23 Community Innovation Network Framework: A Model for Reshaping Community Identity

William P. Moore, Ph.D., REACH Healthcare Foundation; Adena M. Klem, Ph.D., Klem Consulting; Cheryl L. Holmes, M.P.A., University of Kansas; June Holley, M.A., M.Ed., Network Weaving Institute; and Carlie Houchen, B.S., M.P.H., Kansas Health Institute, Graduate Student, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, and Intern with REACH Healthcare Foundation

SECTOR

38 Foundation Support of Immigrant Communities: Insights From a Survey of Immigrants in Minnesota's Twin Cities

Nicole MartinRogers, Ph.D., Ryan Evans, B.A., and Paul Mattessich, Ph.D., Wilder Research

51 Following the Money: An Analysis of Foundation Grantmaking for Community and Economic Development

Keith Wardrip, M.A., Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia; William Lambe, M.P.P., and Mels de Zeeuw, M.A., Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta

66 Knowledge as Leadership, Belonging as Community: How Canadian Community Foundations Are Using Vital Signs for Social Change

Susan D. Phillips, Ph.D., Carleton University; Ian Bird, Laurel Carlton, M.P.A., and Lee Rose, GradD, Community Foundations of Canada

81 Partnering for Impact: Developing The McKnight Foundation's Carbon Efficiency Strategy

Gayle Peterson, M.A., M.Sc., pfc Social Impact Advisors

REFLECTIVE PRACTICE

92 A New Domain for Place-Rooted Foundations: Economic Development Philanthropy

Deborah Markley, Ph.D., and Don Macke, M.A., Center for Rural Entrepreneurship, and Janet Topolsky, M.P.P., Travis Green, M.C.R.P., and Kristin Feierabend, M.A., Aspen Institute Community Strategies Group

106 Executive Summaries

The Institute for Foundation and Donor Learning

Philanthropy is evolving quickly, presenting new opportunities and challenges for effective grantmaking. The Institute for Foundation and Donor Learning at the Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy helps grantmakers adopt best practices and interact with other practitioners to strengthen their daily work.

Our programs are designed to meet the learning needs of grantmakers and donors: **The Foundation Review**, **The Grantmaking School**, **LearnPhilanthropy.org**, **OurStateofGenerosity.org**, the **Frey Foundation Chair for Family Philanthropy**, and the **W.K. Kellogg Community Philanthropy Chair**.

Sponsorship

Are you interested in sponsoring an issue on a particular topic? Call (616) 331-7585 or send an email to behrenst@foundationreview.org.

Permissions

Abstracting is permitted with credit to the source. Permission may be requested to photocopy or reproduce materials published in this journal by contacting the Copyright Clearance Center at www.copyright.com, or by sending an email to info@copyright.com.

Email nanzerp@foundationreview.org for more information.

The Foundation Review Partners

If you are a member of the following organizations, please use the discount code below when subscribing:

- American Evaluation Association (AEA16) www.eval.org
- Association of Black Foundation Executives (ABFE16) www.abfe.org
- The Communications Network (CN16) www.comnetwork.org
- Emerging Practitioners in Philanthropy (EPIP16) www.epip.org
- Forum of Regional Associations of Grantmakers (FRA16) www.givingforum.org
- Funders' Network (FN16) www.fundersnetwork.org
- Grantmakers for Education (GFE16) www.edfunders.org
- Grantmakers for Effective Organizations (GEO16) www.geofunders.org
- Grant Managers Network (GMN16) www.gmnetwork.org
- National Network of Consultants to Grantmakers (NNCG16) www.nncg.org

This publication is printed with soy ink. Printed in USA