The Foundation Review

Volume 8
Issue 5 *Open Access*

12-2016

Back Matter

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr>

Part of the [Nonprofit Administration and Management Commons](#), and the [Public Affairs, Public Policy and Public Administration Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

(2016). Back Matter. *The Foundation Review*, 8(5). <https://doi.org/10.9707/1944-5660.1336>

Copyright © 2017 Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University. The Foundation Review is reproduced electronically by ScholarWorks@GVSU. <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr>

RESULTS

7

Aiming High: Foundation Support for State Advocates Brings Universal Children's Health Coverage Within Reach

Sheila Dunleavy Hoag, M.A., Debra J. Lipson, M.H.S.A., and Victoria Peebles, M.S.W., Mathematica Policy Research Inc.

To help close the children's health insurance coverage gap in the United States, the Atlantic Philanthropies created the KidsWell Campaign, a nearly \$29 million, six-year initiative to promote universal children's coverage through coordinated state and federal advocacy efforts. This article presents the major results of the KidsWell evaluation, which found substantial progress in achieving interim policy changes and coverage outcomes. While other funders may not be able to make investments as big or as long as Atlantic's was in KidsWell, the amount required may be lower. Children's-advocacy networks and capacities have already been built, and valuable knowledge and experience have been gained.

DOI:

SECTOR

23

Through the Looking Glass: Foundation Evaluation and Learning and the Quest for Strategic Learning

Suzanne Kennedy Leahy, Ph.D.; Sandra Wegmann, M.P.A., and Lexi Nolen, M.P.H., Ph.D., Episcopal Health Foundation

Learning is among the most difficult kind of work organizations do. Strategic learning is neither simple nor efficient to institutionalize or practice, and foundations are still figuring out how to do it well. This article addresses different models that foundations use to establish and staff evaluation and learning functions, what other organizational considerations they should take into account in order to prioritize strategic-learning work, and what tools and approaches can be used to initiate it. Interviews with officers from more than a dozen foundations revealed that strategic learning does not require wholesale structural and cultural change — an incremental approach, instead, can phase in greater complexity as foundations expand staff capacity.

DOI:

38

Lessons About Evaluating Health-Coverage Advocacy Across Multiple Campaigns and Foundations

Leslie Foster, M.P.A.; Mary Harrington, M.P.P.; Sheila Hoag, M.A.; and Debra Lipson, M.H.S.A., Mathematica Policy Research

This article adds more than a dozen years' experience in evaluating major consumer health advocacy initiatives to existing knowledge about advocacy evaluation. Since 2002, Mathematica has evaluated four health insurance coverage advocacy programs sponsored by three foundations. The authors explain how their evaluations were strengthened by articulating a detailed theory of change and emphasizing assessment of interim outcomes from many perspectives and methods. Even with comprehensive data and integrated analysis, however, some ambiguity in the results is inevitable — there is no completely objective way to determine the effectiveness of an advocacy initiative. Moreover, sometimes solid or even exceptional advocacy efforts do not lead to desired policy outcomes. Advocacy initiatives that fail initially may be groundwork for future opportunities.

DOI:

53

Laying the Groundwork for a National Impact Investing Marketplace

Stephanie L. Grippe, Ph.D., Impact Finance Center; Joanne Kelley, M.S., Colorado Association of Funders; and Kathy Merchant, M.S.W., Impact Finance Center

The practice of impact investing is rapidly gaining momentum, but foundations are among the most reluctant investors and represent the smallest share of current activity. Developing cost-effective ways to “prime the pump” for social ventures to become investor-ready — through a capacity-building process that includes outreach, education, and technical assistance — is an essential part of growing the industry. The Impact Finance Center partnered with foundations and other investors in Colorado to create CO Impact Days and Initiative to demonstrate how to address this need for a more efficient and effective marketplace.

DOI:

REFLECTIVE PRACTICE

68

Designing Technical-Assistance Programs: Considerations for Funders and Lessons Learned

Jennifer Lyons, M.A., Sheila Dunleavy Hoag, M.A., Cara Orfield, M.P.P., and Sonya Streeter, M.P.H.,
Mathematica Policy Research Inc.

Funders will likely continue to use technical assistance (TA) to expand organizations' capacity, identify solutions to problems, and develop strategies for long-term change. As the demand for TA grows, so does the importance of understanding what works well, for whom, and in what circumstances. In this article, the authors documented some considerations funders can keep in mind to develop strong TA programs, as well as some lessons based on their evaluations of two state-based TA programs. Thoughtful program development, implementation, and evaluation will be essential to better understand how to deliver successful TA that is a worthwhile investment for funders. The recommendations offered in this article aim to promote conversation among funders about effective ways to invest their resources in TA programming.

DOI:

BOOK REVIEW

79

American Generosity: Who Gives and Why, Patricia Snell Herzog & Heather E. Price

Reviewed by Jason Franklin, Ph.D., Grand Valley State University

American Generosity explores two core behaviors — whether people give and how much they give — and then tries to understand how and why these behaviors vary across a wide range of factors. At the center of this work is the analysis of SciGen's nationally representative, cross-sectional survey — one of the largest and most rigorous surveys on the topic of generosity ever conducted. Herzog and Price examine the patterns and causes of generosity in the United States and offer a thoughtful development and testing of new and refined frameworks to understand the phenomenon of generosity in general. While geared primarily to scholars exploring these issues, *American Generosity* will also prove of interest for fundraisers and other nonprofit leaders looking to strengthen and deepen their resource development strategies. But for its primary academic audience, it capably achieves what it set out to do — to provide a rigorous, data-driven grounding for future research into the science of generosity.

DOI:

call for papers

FOR VOLUME 9, ISSUE 4

Abstracts of up to 250 words are being solicited for Volume 9, Issue 4 of *The Foundation Review*. This issue will be an open (unthemed) issue. Papers on any topic relevant to organized philanthropy are invited.

Submit abstracts to submissions@foundationreview.org by March 15, 2017. If a full paper is invited, it will be due June 30, 2017 for consideration for publication in December 2017.

Abstracts are solicited in four categories:

- **Results.** Papers in this category generally report on findings from evaluations of foundation-funded work. Papers should include a description of the theory of change (logic model, program theory), a description of the grant-making strategy, the evaluation methodology, the results, and discussion. The discussion should focus on what has been learned both about the programmatic content and about grantmaking and other foundation roles (convening, etc.).
- **Tools.** Papers in this category should describe tools useful for foundation staff or boards. By “tool” we mean a systematic, replicable method intended for a specific purpose. For example, a protocol to assess community readiness for a giving circle would be considered a tool. The actual tool should be included in the article where practical. The paper should describe the rationale for the tool, how it was developed, and available evidence of its usefulness.
- **Sector.** Papers in this category address issues that confront the philanthropic sector as whole, such as diversity, accountability, etc. These are typically empirically based; literature reviews are also considered.
- **Reflective Practice.** The reflective practice articles rely on the knowledge and experience of the authors, rather than on formal evaluation methods or designs. In these cases, it is because of their perspective about broader issues, rather than specific initiatives, that the article is valuable.

Book Reviews: *The Foundation Review* publishes reviews of relevant books. Please contact the editor to discuss submitting a review. Reviewers must be free of conflicts of interest.

Questions? Contact Teri Behrens, editor of *The Foundation Review*, at behrenst@foundationreview.org or call 734-646-2874.

thanks to our reviewers!

We'd like to thank our peer reviewers for Volume 8 of *The Foundation Review* for their time, expertise, and guidance. The peer-review process is essential in ensuring the quality of our content. Thank you for your contributions to building the field of philanthropy!

If you are interested in peer reviewing for Volume 9, send an email to Teri Behrens, Editor in Chief, at behrenst@foundationreview.org.

Thomas Adams, M. Div.
Missouri Foundation for Health

Ivye L. Allen, Ph.D.
Foundation for the Mid South

Lester Baxter, Ph.D.
The Pew Charitable Trusts

Tanya Beer, M.P.A., M.A.
Center for Evaluation Innovation

Gale Berkowitz, Dr.P.H.
Gale Berkowitz Associates

Karl Besel, Ph.D.
Indiana University Northwest

A.J. Borrell, Ph.D.
Shippensburg University

Sheri Brady
Aspen Forum for Community Solutions

Prudence Brown, Ph.D.
Prudence Brown Consulting

Eric Brown, M.L.A.
Brownbridge Strategies

Hanh Cao Yu, Ph.D.
The California Endowment

Joanne G. Carman, Ph.D.
University of North Carolina, Charlotte

Julia Coffman, M.S.
Center for Evaluation Innovation

Whitney Gustin Connor, M.P.A.
Rose Community Foundation

Nancy Baughman Csuti, Dr.P.H.
The Colorado Trust

Erika Davies, B.A.
ABFE

Jara Dean-Coffey, M.P.H.
jdcPartnerships

Douglas Easterling, Ph.D.
Wake Forest School of Medicine

Lorna Escoffery, Ph.D.
Escoffery Consulting Collaborative, Inc.

Gabriela Fitz
The Foundation Center

Jason Franklin, Ph.D.
Dorothy A. Johnson Center for
Philanthropy

Angela K. Frusciante, Ph.D.
Knowledge Designs to Change LLC

Kristina Gray-Akpa, M.S.W.
Grantmakers In Health

Beth Greeley, M.S.
DCA

Ericka Harney, M.A., C.F.R.E., G.P.C., C.V.A.
Accounting & Financial Women's Alliance

Lucas Held, B.A.
The Wallace Foundation

Mae Hong, A.M.
Rockefeller Philanthropy Advisors

Della M. Hughes, M.S.S.W., M.Div.
Brandeis University

Tania Jarosewich, Ph.D.
Censeo Group

Patricia Jessup, Ph.D.
Jessup & Associates, LLC

Deidre Johnson, M.P.P.M.
Center for African American Health

Thomas Kelly, M.P.H.
Hawai'i Community Foundation

Kien Lee, Ph.D.
Community Science

Sally Leiderman, B.A.
Center for Assessment and Policy
Development

Dara Major
Dara Major Consulting

Adrienne Mansanares
Colorado Nonprofit Association

Deena Margolis, M.P.A.
Focali Consulting, LLC

Maureen Martin, A.B., M.B.A.
University of Michigan

Debbie Mason, A.P.R., C.P.R.C.,
Fellow PRSA
Strategists, Inc.

Kelly C. Medinger, M.N.A.
The Marion I. & Henry J. Knott Foundation

Dorothy Meehan, M.B.A. C.P.A.
Meehan Consulting Associates

Adin Miller, M.P.A.
Jewish Community Federation and
Endowment Fund

Michael Moon, Ph.D.
California State University, East Bay

Rachel Mosher-Williams, M.P.A.
Community Wealth Partners

Ottis Murray, Ed.D.
University of North Carolina at Pembroke

Alyce Myatt B.S.
Alyce Myatt Consulting

Pam Nippolt, Ph.D.
University of Minnesota

Clare Nolan, M.P.P.M.
Harder+Company Community Research

Joseph Palus, M.P.A.
Indiana Housing and Community
Development Authority

Michael Quinn Patton, Ph.D.
Utilization Focused Evaluation

Helen Davis Picher, M.P.P.
National Philanthropic Trust

Justin Piff, M.S.
Equal Measure

Hallie Preskill, Ph.D.
FSG

Nicholas G. Randell, M.A., M.B.A.
The Peter & Elizabeth C. Tower Foundation

Janet Rechtman, Ph.D.
The Fanning Institute

Eileen Salinsky, M.B.A.
Grantmakers In Health

Michael Robert Shalett, B.F.A.
The Nussbaum Group

John E. Sherman, M.P.H.
pfc Social Impact Advisors

Erica Snow, M.S., M.P.A.
The Colorado Health Foundation

Mohammad Valipour
Payame Noor University

Gwen Walden
Arabella Advisors

Lili Wang, Ph.D.
Arizona State University

Wendy C. Wehr, M.A.
www.wendywehr.com

Sherece West-Scantlebury, Ph.D.
Winthrop Rockefeller Foundation

Anne Whatley, M.Sc.
Network Impact

How do you learn philanthropy?

INSTITUTE FOR FOUNDATION AND DONOR LEARNING

Philanthropy is evolving quickly, presenting new opportunities and challenges for effective grantmaking. The Institute for Foundation and Donor Learning at the Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy helps grantmakers adopt best practices and interact with other practitioners to strengthen their daily work. Our programs are designed to meet the learning needs of grantmakers and donors:

➤ ***The Foundation Review***

The first and only peer-reviewed journal of philanthropy, offering rigorous but readable analysis of tools, results, and sector trends.

➤ **Frey Foundation Chair for Family Philanthropy**

Working to implement a comprehensive program of applied research, teaching, professional development, and public service to advance and promote the field of family philanthropy in the U.S.

➤ **The Grantmaking School**

Courses designed for grantmakers ready to tackle issues like managing a portfolio of grants, developing strategy, or evaluating a foundation's work

➤ **LearnPhilanthropy.org**

A marketplace of knowledge and resources powered by peers and field leaders for those new to philanthropy

➤ **OurStateofGenerosity.org**

An online platform exploring the history of Michigan's philanthropic sector and its leadership

➤ **W.K. Kellogg Community Philanthropy Chair**

Working to establish a creative, comprehensive program of research, teaching, service, and thought leadership to explore and advance the field of community philanthropy, nationally and internationally.

To learn more, contact Teri Behrens, Ph.D., director of the Institute for Foundation and Donor Learning, at behrenst@gvsu.edu, or call 616-331-7585.

JOHNSON CENTER
AT GRAND VALLEY STATE UNIVERSITY

DOROTHY A. JOHNSON CENTER FOR PHILANTHROPY

Trusted Guidance for Doing Good

Philanthropy is changing faster than ever. We believe learning curves shouldn't get in the way of impact.

We conduct **research**, provide **professional and organizational development**, and create and share **tools** for nonprofits, foundations, and others seeking to transform their communities for the public good.

Learn more at JohnsonCenter.org.

- **Nonprofit support services**
- **Foundation & donor support services**
- **Community research & data tools**
- **Workshops & trainings**

JOHNSON CENTER
AT GRAND VALLEY STATE UNIVERSITY

201 Front Ave. SW
Bicycle Factory Suite 200
Grand Rapids, MI 49504
JohnsonCenter.org

THE FoundationReview®

The Foundation Review is the first peer-reviewed journal of philanthropy, written by and for foundation staff and boards and those who work with them. With a combination of rigorous research and accessible writing, it can help you and your team put new ideas and good practices to work for more effective philanthropy.

Our Mission: To share evaluation results, tools, and knowledge about the philanthropic sector in order to improve the practice of grantmaking, yielding greater impact and innovation.

Published Quarterly by the Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University

www.thefoundationreview.org

ISSN 1944-5660 | eISSN 1944-5679