The Foundation Review

Volume 10
Issue 4 *Inclusive Community Change - Open Access*

12-2018

Back Matter

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr>

Part of the [Nonprofit Administration and Management Commons](#), [Public Administration Commons](#), [Public Affairs Commons](#), and the [Public Policy Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

(2018). Back Matter. *The Foundation Review*, 10(4). <https://doi.org/10.9707/1944-5660.1438>

Copyright © 2018 Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University. The Foundation Review is reproduced electronically by ScholarWorks@GVSU. <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr>

Executive Summaries

Results

9

Community Navigation as a Field of Practice: Reframing Service Delivery to Meet the Needs of Communities' Marginalized Populations

Joby Schaffer, M.A., Spark Policy Institute; M. Julie Patiño, J.D., P. Barclay Jones, B.S., and LaDawn Sullivan, B.S., The Denver Foundation

The Denver Foundation launched the Basic Human Needs Navigator Learning Community in February 2014 to help navigators working with local organizations and community members improve their practice and identify similarities and differences in their approaches. This article discusses the multiyear, peer-learning project, including the general lessons the foundation learned about both navigation and the use of a learning-community approach to reach its field-building goals. Reports from participating organizations and community members over four years suggest the efficacy of both navigation as a model for addressing gaps in service provision and of the learning-community approach in driving early-stage field-building outcomes.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1440

22

By Us and For Us: A Story of Early Childhood Development Systems Change and Results in a Rural Context

Lisa Payne Simon, M.P.H., and Clare Nolan, M.P.P., Engage R+D; Kirsten Scobie, M.A. and Phoebe Backler, B.A., New Hampshire Charitable Foundation; Catherine McDowell, M.A., Coös Coalition for Young Children and Families; Charles Cotton, M.S.W., Northern Human Services; and Susan Cloutier, B.S., White Mountains Community College

The Neil and Louise Tillotson Fund – a donor-advised fund of the New Hampshire Charitable Foundation – invests in early childhood development in Coös County, New Hampshire's largest and most rural and economically disadvantaged county. Local community members joined forces with the fund to create an integrated early childhood development system for Coös' children and families. The evaluation documented increased capacity and quality and surfaced lessons for funders and others pursuing systems change efforts in early learning, and in rural areas more broadly.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1441

Tools

40

A New Tool for New Times? Using Geographic Information Systems in Foundations and Other Nonprofit Organizations

Jeffrey L. Brudney, Ph.D., and Christopher R. Prentice, Ph.D., University of North Carolina Wilmington

This article examines how a tool relatively new to nonprofits — geographic information systems — can support community building. Three trends — rising use of GIS overall and potential for technology transfer to nonprofit organizations, the decreased cost of GIS

software and relevant data, and the increased number of public servants trained in GIS — present a convincing case that nonprofits, and particularly foundations, will be able to make greater use of this valuable technology to increase public participation, incorporate diverse stakeholders, improve organizational operations, increase market efficiencies, and build stronger communities.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1442

51

Creating Habits for Inclusive Change

Pennie Foster-Fishman, Ph.D., and Erin Watson, Ph.D., Michigan State University

The act of transforming community outcomes requires diverse stakeholders across an array of settings to become actors of change. Drawing from the ABLe Change Framework systems-change model, this article presents four processes used in numerous communities across the United States to effectively engage diverse stakeholders in taking actions to improve local systems. This article introduces the ABLe Change Framework tools, which are used to promote these action-oriented habits, and then discusses how foundations can use them to create the conditions that promote inclusive community change.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1443

72

Thriving Communities: A Model for Community-Engaged Grantmaking

Mary Francis, M.A.E.D., Colleen Desmond, M.P.H., Jeffrey Williams, B.S., and Jennifer Chubinski, Ph.D., Interact for Health; Jennifer Zimmerman, M.S.W., bi3; and Ashlee Young, M.P.H., StrivePartnership

Interact for Health, a health conversion foundation serving the three-state region of Greater Cincinnati, Ohio, supports Thriving Communities a community-learning model. The goal is to embed health promotion and advocacy work in communities while building an equitable infrastructure to spread evidence-based practices. This article describes three tools developed for the Thriving Communities initiative: Success Markers, the Developmental Pathway, and Relationship Mapping. Interact for Health has found that these tools build core competencies and confidence among grantees as well as a process for community engagement that produces results at the local level.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1444

Reflective Practice

91

Equity for All: Building the Infrastructure for Change Through Community-First Funder Collaboratives

Ellen Braff-Guajardo, M.Ed., J.D., Kaying Hang, M.P.H., and Leslie Cooksy, Ph.D., Sierra Health Foundation; Monica Braughton, M.P.P., Harder+Company Community Research; and Fontane Lo, M.P.H., James Irvine Foundation

In recent years, funder collaboratives have become more common as a tool for increasing and coordinating philanthropic investments to address the root causes of inequity, while staying responsive to shifting needs and political priorities. This article describes a “community first” model, which emerged from the experience of a funders collaborative created to advance equity through policy and systems change in California’s San Joaquin Valley. Initial

findings indicate that the fund has helped to seed a regional movement for change. When philanthropy aims to advance equity, it makes sense to use a model that seeks to create a partnership between funders and community — a model that seeks to act equitably by putting the community first.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1445

103

A Partnered Approach to School Change in a Rural Community: Reflections and Recommendations

Sara E. Rimm-Kaufman, Ph.D., University of Virginia; Mary Fant Donnan, M.Env.St., and Dianne Garcia, B.S., Alleghany Foundation; Melinda Snead-Johnson, M.Ed., Covington City Public Schools; Eugene Kotulka, M.Ed., Alleghany County Public Schools; and Lia E. Sandilos, Ph.D., Temple University

With so many education policies and practices made at the local level, community-based foundations are in a unique position to support their local school districts in taking a comprehensive, systematic approach to improving the lives of young people. This article describes a research–practice partnership designed to produce school improvement in a rural community in western Virginia and reflects on a three-year collaboration among The Alleghany Foundation, two school districts, and the University of Virginia. The collaboration provided clear evidence that sustained change will occur only if it aligns with the goals of school leaders and fully engages members of the community, and it sheds light on the unique challenges and strengths present in a small rural community that will influence foundation work. The process produced five recommendations for foundations that seek a partnered approach to school change.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1446

119

An End to Business as Usual: Nurturing Authentic Partnerships to Create Lasting Community Change

Jeffrey Sunshine, Ph.D., and Bernadette Sangalang, Ph.D., David and Lucile Packard Foundation

The David and Lucile Packard Foundation created Starting Smart and Strong, a 10-year place-based commitment to early learning in three California communities. This article offers key insights into the foundation’s experience, three years into implementation, with managing this complex initiative and how program officers were compelled to think differently about the best roles staff can play to support grantee communities and amplify constituent voice. Program officers also had to develop new capacities that both focus on the development of systems that are locally designed and driven and work in service of the foundation’s broader strategy goals.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1447

130

Fostering Change and Fresh Voices: Vancouver Foundation’s Youth Engagement Journey

Trilby Smith, M.M., Vancouver Foundation

Since 2011, Vancouver Foundation has invested significant time, energy, ideas, and money in bringing together immigrant and refugee youth and young people with lived experience of the foster care system in British Columbia. Through its Fostering Change and Fresh Voices

initiatives, the foundation has listened and worked in partnership with these young people to address the issues that affect their lives, and important progress has been made in the forms of meaningful policy changes and improved political engagement. The foundation is now in the process of returning these initiatives to the communities that inspired them. This article describes the roles the foundation played in these inclusive community change efforts, and reflects on the commitments, mindsets, and capacities necessary to effectively perform each of those roles.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1448

Book Reviews

146

The Goldilocks Challenge: Right-fit Evidence for the Social Sector by Mary Kay Gugerty and Dean Karlan

Reviewed by Veena Pankaj, Innovation Network

The spotlight on performance and accountability throughout the nonprofit sector has made it more important than ever for nonprofits to understand and demonstrate their effectiveness and impact. Through a series of illustrative examples and case studies, the authors present a framework to guide the selection of a “right-fit” evaluation approach. The framework introduced in this book incorporates four principles, referred to as the CART principles.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1449

149

Decolonizing Wealth by Edgar Villanueva

Reviewed by Juan Olivarez, Ph.D., Grand Valley State University

Part I, “Where It Hurts,” introduces the notion that it’s not just the distribution of dollars in grant making that perpetuates the colonizer virus, but also where the corpus of the foundation is invested. Part II, “How to Heal,” describes Seven Steps to Healing with ideas for creating a better financial and philanthropic field. The author believes that radical changes are necessary if we are to create a new paradigm of connect, relate, and belong. Reading *Decolonizing Wealth* may be uncomfortable for those who share the hurt or for those offended by Villanueva’s direct accusations. However, this is a must read.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1450

Call for Papers

FOR VOLUME 12, ISSUE 1

Abstracts of up to 250 words are being solicited for Vol. 12, Issue 1 of *The Foundation Review*. This issue will be an open (unthemed) issue. Papers on any topic relevant to organized philanthropy are invited.

Submit abstracts to submissions@foundationreview.org by June 14, 2019. If a full paper is invited, it will be due Sept. 30, 2019 for consideration for publication in March 2020.

Abstracts are solicited in four categories:

- **Results.** Papers in this category generally report on findings from evaluations of foundation-funded work. Papers should include a description of the theory of change (logic model, program theory), a description of the grantmaking strategy, the evaluation methodology, the results, and discussion. The discussion should focus on what has been learned both about the programmatic content and about grantmaking and other foundation roles (convening, etc.).
- **Tools.** Papers in this category should describe tools useful for foundation staff or boards. By “tool” we mean a systematic, replicable method intended for a specific purpose. For example, a protocol to assess community readiness and standardized facilitation methods would be considered tools. The actual tool should be included in the article where practical. The paper should describe the rationale for the tool, how it was developed, and available evidence of its usefulness.
- **Sector.** Papers in this category address issues that confront the philanthropic sector as whole, such as diversity, accountability, etc. These are typically empirically based; literature reviews are also considered.
- **Reflective Practice.** The reflective practice articles rely on the knowledge and experience of the authors, rather than on formal evaluation methods or designs. In these cases, it is because of their perspective about broader issues, rather than specific initiatives, that the article is valuable.

Book Reviews: *The Foundation Review* publishes reviews of relevant books. Please contact the editor to discuss submitting a review. Reviewers must be free of conflicts of interest.

Questions? Contact Teri Behrens, editor of *The Foundation Review*, with questions at behrenst@foundationreview.org or (734) 646-2874.

Thanks to our reviewers!

We'd like to thank our peer reviewers for Volume 10 of *The Foundation Review* for their time, expertise, and guidance. The peer-review process is essential in ensuring the quality of our content. Thank you for your contributions to building the field of philanthropy!

If you are interested in peer reviewing for Volume 11, send an email to Teri Behrens, Editor in Chief, at behrenst@foundationreview.org.

Ivye Allen, Ph.D.
Foundation for the Mid South

Gale Berkowitz, Dr.P.H.
Gale Berkowitz Associates

Katie Brisson, M.A.
Community Foundation for
Southeast Michigan

Kelly Brown, M.B.A.
D5 Coalition

Hanh Cao Yu, Ph.D.
The California Endowment

Julia Coffman, M.S.
Center for Evaluation Innovation

Whitney Connor, M.P.H.
Rose Community Foundation

Lyz Crane, M.P.A.
ArtPlace America

Marilyn Darling
Fourth Quadrant Partners, LLC

Jara Dean-Coffey, M.P.H.
Luminare Group

Douglas Easterling, Ph.D.
Wake Forest University School of Medicine

Susan Erenrich, Ph.D.
Cultural Center for Social Change

Lorna Escoffery, Ph.D.
Escoffery Consulting Collaborative, Inc.

Gabriela Fitz, M.A.
Think Twice LLC

Lori Fuller, M.B.A., M.S.W.
Kate B. Reynolds Charitable Trust

Amanda Holm, M.B.A.
The Boston Foundation

Della Hughes, M.S.S.W., M.Div.
Brandeis University

Tania Jarosewich, Ph.D.
Censeo Group LLC

Thomas Kelly, M.P.H.
Hawaii Community Foundation

Hilary Leav, M.S.
Rotary International

Kien Lee, Ph.D.
Community Science

Sally Leiderman, B.A.
Center for Assessment and
Policy Development

Dara Major
Dara Major Consulting

Deborah Markley, Ph.D.
Center for Rural Entrepreneurship

Maureen Martin, M.B.A.
University of Michigan

Char Mollison, M.A.
John Hopkins University

Rachel Mosher-Williams, M.P.A.
RMW Consulting

Brent Never, Ph.D.
University of Missouri- Kansas City

Clare Nolan, M.P.P.M.
Engage R+D

Clotilde Perez-Bode Dedecker, M.Ed
Community Foundation for Greater Buffalo

Anne Petersen, Ph.D.
University of Michigan

Hallie Preskill, Ph.D.
FSG

Susan Price
www.thepriceswrite.com

Jeremy Pyne, M.P.A.
Community Information Now

James Radner, M.Phil., P.M.D.
University of Toronto

Nicholas Randell, M.A., M.B.A.
Peter & Elizabeth Tower Foundation

Lisa Ranghelli, M.R.P.
National Committee for Responsive
Philanthropy

Janet Rechtman, Ph.D.
The Fanning Institute

Steve Sampson, A.B.D.
Arabella Advisors

Shelley Scherer, Ph.D.
SVP-Pittsburgh

Molly Schultz Hafid, M.P.A.
TCC Group

Julie Slay, Ph.D.
Arabella Advisors

Jay Wade, Ph.D.
Loyala University Chicago

Lili Wang, Ph.D.
Arizona State University

Wendy Wehr, M.A.
www.wendywehr.com

Sherece West, Ph.D.
Winthrop Rockefeller Foundation

Rachel Wick, M.P.H.
Blue Shield of California Foundation

Tom Wolff, Ph.D.
Tom Wolff & Associates

Donor Journeys Initiative

2019-2021

Led by the Johnson Center's Frey Foundation Chair for Family Philanthropy, Dr. Michael Moody, the Donor Journeys Initiative will explore, document, and share the journeys of individual and family donor over the course of two years. This Initiative will include new research, a book, a foundation case study, and other products designed to analyze and improve donor journeys.

Learn more about the initiative and how you can support it at:

johnsoncenter.org/donorjourneys

Established in 1992, the Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy is an academic center of Grand Valley State University. We believe that strong philanthropy builds resilient and vibrant communities. That is why our mission is to help individuals and organizations understand, strengthen, and advance philanthropy.

MAKE A LIVING AND CHANGE THE WORLD!

Discover how master's programs in Grand Valley State University's College of Community and Public Service can help you gain the management, leadership, critical thinking, and problem solving skills you need to make a rewarding career and a better world.

We invite inquiries and applications from professionals in any discipline who are eager to be challenged by our practice-oriented faculty and to learn through quality engagements with our community partners. With online delivery in some programs and full- or part-time options in downtown Grand Rapids, Michigan, your graduate education may be closer than you thought.

We offer master's degrees in philanthropy and nonprofit leadership (M.P.N.L.), public administration (M.P.A.), health administration (M.H.A.), social work (M.S.W.), and criminal justice (M.S.) — with various areas of specialization including the following:

Criminal justice

Health administration

Hospital administration

Long-term care administration

Nonprofit healthcare

Nonprofit leadership: community impact

Nonprofit leadership: mission advancement

Public management

Social work: advanced generalist

Urban/regional policy and planning

Contact Associate Dean Dr. Mark Hoffman at hoffmanm@gvsu.edu for a discussion about career objectives and degree options.

THE FoundationReview®

The Foundation Review is the first peer-reviewed journal of philanthropy, written by and for foundation staff and boards and those who work with them. With a combination of rigorous research and accessible writing, it can help you and your team put new ideas and good practices to work for more effective philanthropy.

Our Mission: To share evaluation results, tools, and knowledge about the philanthropic sector in order to improve the practice of grantmaking, yielding greater impact and innovation.

Published Quarterly by the Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University

www.thefoundationreview.org

ISSN 1944-5660 | eISSN 1944-5679