

# The Foundation Review

---

Volume 11 | Issue 4

---

12-2019

## Back Matter

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr>



Part of the [Nonprofit Administration and Management Commons](#), [Public Administration Commons](#), [Public Affairs Commons](#), and the [Public Policy Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

(2019). Back Matter. *The Foundation Review*, 11(4). <https://doi.org/10.9707/1944-5660.1501>

Copyright © 2019 Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University. The Foundation Review is reproduced electronically by ScholarWorks@GVSU. <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr>

# Executive Summaries

VOL. 11 ISSUE 4

## Results

7

### Can Coaching Help Community Partnerships Promote Health Equity, Community Engagement, and Policy, Systems, and Environmental Changes? Results from an Evaluation

*Jung Y. Kim, M.P.H., Lisa Schottenfeld, M.P.H., M.S.W., and Michael Cavanaugh, M.A., Mathematica*

Foundations and other entities have increasingly funded coaching and technical assistance to support multisector community partnerships to promote health and health equity. However, much remains to be learned about how coaching can best support these partnerships.

An evaluation of The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's effort to strengthen the capacities of community partnerships found that the coaching program provided valuable support.

The authors propose that funders invest in partnerships that already prioritize leadership of community members.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1490

25

### Moving Upstream: An Intersectoral Collaboration to Build Sustainable Planning Capacity in Rural and Appalachian Communities

*Laura Milazzo, M.A., and Holly Raffle, Ph.D., Voinovich School of Leadership and Public Affairs at Ohio University, and Matthew Courser, Ph.D., Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation*

As part of an effort to address health inequities in Appalachian and rural Ohio, the state's Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services focused on the lack of infrastructure and other capacity issues that create barriers to obtaining federally funded prevention services among communities with the highest need for those services. The department partnered with two nonprofit organizations and a university to create an investment strategy that provided monetary awards to community organizations and included intensive, customized training and technical assistance. This article discusses successes and lessons learned from implementing this initiative.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1491

**40**

## Building Nonprofit Capacity to Achieve Greater Impact: Lessons from the U.S.-Mexico Border

*Meg Loomis, M.S.W., and Shirly Thomas, M.P.H., Methodist Healthcare Ministries of South Texas; and Carla Taylor, Ph.D., Community Wealth Partners*

Foundations often rely on strong relationships with grantees doing frontline work in marginalized communities. This article discusses the capacity-building funding experiences of Methodist Healthcare Ministries of South Texas, which created a \$1.5 million capacity-building program for those organizations. Findings from an evaluation led to learning in three areas for grantmakers that award capacity-building support: the role of the funder, ensuring sustainable change, and impact evaluation that is useful for both foundations and grantees.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1492

**49**

## Making Health Equity Real: Implementing a Commitment to Engage the Community Through Fellowships

*Saphira M. Baker, M.P.A., Communitas Consulting, and Mark D. Constantine, Ph.D., Richmond Memorial Health Foundation*

Between 2016 and 2019, Richmond Memorial Health Foundation transformed from a health legacy foundation committed to increasing access to health care to one promoting regional health equity through a racial and ethnic lens. A central component of this new focus was the trustees' decision to invite community members to inform and advance the health equity strategy through two distinct community fellowship programs — the Equity + Health Fellowships. This article highlights the outcomes of both programs, how the experience enhanced the foundation's impact and learning, and how the foundation identified areas that require strengthening as its transformation continues.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1493

## Sector

---

**67**

## Capacity-Building Catalysts: A Qualitative Assessment of Nonprofit Capacity Building by Community Foundations in Illinois

*Benjamin S. Bingle, Ph.D., DeKalb County Nonprofit Partnership*

Community foundations have the potential to promote collaborative learning in a variety of ways as conveners, funders, and, in some instances, as nonprofit capacity builders. This article focuses specifically on nonprofit capacity building that is funded, organized, or led by community foundations in Illinois. It summarizes results from a qualitative survey sheds light on how community foundations define both capacity building and success in capacity building, what challenges they encounter, and how funders can overcome obstacles to effective capacity building.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1494

84

### Balancing the Competing Demands of Strategic Philanthropy: The Case of the Delaware River Watershed Initiative

*Edward W. Wilson, Ph.D., Edward W. Wilson Consulting; Carol Bromer, M.A., Independent Consultant; and David LaRoche, Ed.M., Independent Consultant*

Strategic grantmakers teeter between unilateral agenda-setting and excessive reliance on their own intellectual frameworks and methods; and too much deference to competing voices from the field, with the risk that funding will be haphazard and incoherent. This article examines how the William Penn Foundation has endeavored to achieve this balance in its support for watershed protection and restoration. Based on an evaluation conducted during the first four years of the initiative, the article examines four interrelated tensions and how each of these tensions has played out as the initiative has evolved.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1495

99

### Strengthening the Ecosystem of Capacity-Building Service Providers: A Case for Why It Matters

*Caroline Altman Smith, M.A., The Kresge Foundation, and Carla Taylor, Ph.D., Community Wealth Partners*

Nonprofits often find it challenging to find providers best suited to meet their capacity-building needs, especially true when looking to strengthen racial equity capacity. The Kresge Foundation's Fostering Urban Equitable Leadership program sought to build leadership capacity and add value for grantees by offering a curated menu of services from a range of providers. The program also has an explicit goal of helping strengthen participating service providers' own capacity, which it does by providing grant support and opportunities for peer learning and collaboration. This article explores why more foundations should invest in the capacity of nonprofit capacity builders and offers recommendations for how to do so.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1496

110

### The Cultivation Approach to Place-Based Philanthropy: Evaluation Findings from the Clinton Foundation's Community Health Transformation Initiative

*Douglas Easterling, Ph.D., Sabina Gesell, Ph.D., Laura McDuffee, M.P.A., Whitney Davis, M.P.H., and Tanha Patel, M.P.H., Wake Forest School of Medicine*

Cultivation is a decentralized approach to place-based philanthropy where the foundation seeks to activate local stakeholders and assist them in translating their ideas into action. Cultivation presumes that the seeds of high-payoff solutions are already circulating somewhere in the community. This article describes the cultivation approaches taken by the Clinton Foundation, Kate B. Reynolds Charitable Trust, and The Colorado Health Foundation, and presents findings from an evaluation of the Clinton Foundation's Community Health Transformation model. It also introduces a taxonomy of the six roles foundations play in place-based philanthropy, which is useful in clarifying the intent of place-based foundations.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1497

# Thanks to our reviewers!

We'd like to thank our peer reviewers for Volume 11 of *The Foundation Review* for their time, expertise, and guidance. The peer-review process is essential in ensuring the quality of our content. Thank you for your contributions to building the field of philanthropy!

If you are interested in peer reviewing for Volume 12, send an email to Teri Behrens, Editor in Chief, at [behrenst@foundationreview.org](mailto:behrenst@foundationreview.org).

---

Robert Ashcraft, Ph.D.  
Arizona State University

---

Christine Baker Mitton, Ph.D.  
Sisters of Charity Foundation of Cleveland

---

Tanya Beer, M.P.A.  
Center for Evaluation Innovation

---

Gale Berkowitz, Dr.P.H.  
Gale Berkowitz Associates

---

Benjamin S. Bingle, Ph.D.  
DeKalb County Community Foundation

---

Natalie Blackmur, B.A.  
Informing Change

---

Eleanor Brilliant, Ph.D.  
Association for Research on Nonprofit  
Organizations and Voluntary Action

---

Kelly Brown, Ph.D.  
Viewpoint Consulting

---

Hanh Cao Yu, Ph.D.  
The California Endowment

---

Joanne G. Carman, Ph.D.  
University of North Carolina – Charlotte

---

Matthew Carr, Ph.D.  
Walton Family Foundation

---

Jennifer Chubinski, Ph.D.  
Interact for Health

---

Julia Coffman, M.S.J.S.  
Center for Evaluation Innovation

---

Marilyn Darling, M.A.  
Fourth Quadrant Partners, LLC

---

Jara Dean-Coffey, M.P.H.  
Luminare Group

---

Douglas Easterling, Ph.D.  
Wake Forest School of Medicine

---

Angela Frusciante, Ph.D.  
Knowledge Designs to Change, LLC

---

Johanna Gladfelter Morariu, M.A.  
Innovation Network

---

Whitney Gustin Connor, M.P.H.  
Kids First Health Care

---

Dahlia Handman, Ph.D.  
University of Southern Maine

---

Leigh Handschuh, M.P.A.  
The Boston Foundation

---

Ericka Harney, Ph.D.  
Ericka Harney Consulting

---

Mary Harrington, M.P.P  
Mathematica

---

Lucas Held, CMM, B.A.  
The Wallace Foundation

---

Anne Hewitt, Ph.D.  
Seton Hall University

---

Della Hughes, M.Div.  
Brandeis University

---

Holly Korda, Ph.D.  
Health Systems Research Associates

---

Alycia Infante Bayne, M.P.A.  
NORC at the University of Chicago

---

Thomas Kelly, M.P.H.  
Hawai'i Community Foundation

---

Jung Y. Kim, M.P.H.  
Mathematica

---

Katelyn Mack, Sc.M., B.S.  
Boys & Girls Clubs of the Peninsula

---

Nathan Madden, Ph.D.  
Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation

---

Dara Major  
Dara Major Consulting

---

Maureen Martin, M.B.A.  
University of Michigan

---

Debbie Mason, A.P.R.  
Stratum Health and Tidewell Hospice

---

Saphira Maude Baker, M.P.A.  
Communitas Consulting

---

Adin Miller, M.P.A.  
Richard Levin & Associates

---

Faith Mitchell, Ph.D.  
Grantmakers In Health

---

Char Mollison, M.A.  
Johns Hopkins University

---

Ottis Murray, Ed.D.  
The University of North Carolina  
at Pembroke

---

Masami Nishishiba, Ph.D.  
Portland State University

---

Clare Nolan, M.P.P.  
Engage R+D

---

James Pann, Ph.D.  
Nova Southeastern University

---

Beverly Parson, Ph.D.  
InSites

---

Clotilde Perez-Bode Dedecker, Ed.D.  
Community Foundation for Great Buffalo

---

Hallie Preskill, Ph.D.  
FSG

---

Michael Quinn Patton, Ph.D.  
Utilization Focused Evaluation

---

Nicholas Randell, M.A.  
The Peter and Elizabeth C.  
Tower Foundation

---

Jennifer Schroeder, Ph.D.  
The Implementation Group

---

John Sherman, M.P.H.  
PFC Social Impact Advisors

---

Rebekah Usatin, M.P.A.  
National Endowment for Democracy

---

Anne Whatley, B.A.  
Network Impact

---

Ann Whitney Breihan, Ph.D.  
Loyola University of Maryland

---

Ventris Woods, Ph.D.  
Santa Monica College

---

# Master of Philanthropy and Nonprofit Leadership



***Ranked 13th in the nation and #1 in Michigan!\****

Grand Valley State University's Master of Philanthropy and Nonprofit Leadership prepares students for careers or advancement in the nonprofit and philanthropic sector through **classroom study, applied research, professional development, and field experience.**

Students learn to lead and manage philanthropic and nonprofit organizations ethically and effectively, and go beyond traditional boundaries in the pursuit of prosperous, safe, and healthy communities.

## Learn More

Visit [gvsu.edu/spnha](https://gvsu.edu/spnha) or email Michelle Wooddell at [wooddelm@gvsu.edu](mailto:wooddelm@gvsu.edu) for more information.

**Fully accredited by the  
Nonprofit Academic  
Centers Council  
(NAAC)**

## Coursework includes:

- ▶ Financial management
- ▶ Fund development
- ▶ Grantmaking
- ▶ Marketing
- ▶ Nonprofit management
- ▶ Program evaluation
- ▶ Social entrepreneurship



\*GVSU's Nonprofit Management program was ranked 13th in the nation and #1 in Michigan by U.S. News & World Report in 2019.

# Call for Papers

## FOR VOLUME 13, ISSUE 1

Abstracts of up to 250 words are being solicited for Vol. 13, Issue 1 of *The Foundation Review*. This issue will be an open (unthemed) issue. Papers on any topic relevant to organized philanthropy are invited.

Submit abstracts to [submissions@foundationreview.org](mailto:submissions@foundationreview.org) by March 13, 2020. If a full paper is invited, it will be due August 15, 2020 for consideration for publication in March 2021.

### Abstracts are solicited in four categories:

- **Results.** Papers in this category generally report on findings from evaluations of foundation-funded work. Papers should include a description of the theory of change (logic model, program theory), a description of the grantmaking strategy, the evaluation methodology, the results, and discussion. The discussion should focus on what has been learned both about the programmatic content and about grantmaking and other foundation roles (convening, etc.).
- **Tools.** Papers in this category should describe tools useful for foundation staff or boards. By “tool” we mean a systematic, replicable method intended for a specific purpose. For example, a protocol to assess community readiness and standardized facilitation methods would be considered tools. The actual tool should be included in the article where practical. The paper should describe the rationale for the tool, how it was developed, and available evidence of its usefulness.
- **Sector.** Papers in this category address issues that confront the philanthropic sector as whole, such as diversity, accountability, etc. These are typically empirically based; literature reviews are also considered.
- **Reflective Practice.** The reflective practice articles rely on the knowledge and experience of the authors, rather than on formal evaluation methods or designs. In these cases, it is because of their perspective about broader issues, rather than specific initiatives, that the article is valuable.

**Book Reviews:** *The Foundation Review* publishes reviews of relevant books. Please contact the editor to discuss submitting a review. Reviewers must be free of conflicts of interest.

Authors can view full manuscript specifications and standards before submitting an abstract at <https://johnsoncenter.org/author-guidelines>.

**Questions?** Contact Teri Behrens, editor of *The Foundation Review*, with questions at [behrenst@foundationreview.org](mailto:behrenst@foundationreview.org) or (734) 646-2874.



# THE FoundationReview®

*The Foundation Review* is the first peer-reviewed journal of philanthropy, written by and for foundation staff and boards and those who work with them. With a combination of rigorous research and accessible writing, it can help you and your team put new ideas and good practices to work for more effective philanthropy.

**Our Mission:** To share evaluation results, tools, and knowledge about the philanthropic sector in order to improve the practice of grantmaking, yielding greater impact and innovation.

*Published Quarterly by the Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University*

[www.thefoundationreview.org](http://www.thefoundationreview.org)

ISSN 1944-5660 | eISSN 1944-5679