

The Foundation Review

Volume 12
Issue 4 *Inclusive Growth*

12-2020

Back Matter

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr>



Part of the [Nonprofit Administration and Management Commons](#), [Public Administration Commons](#), [Public Affairs Commons](#), and the [Public Policy Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

(2020). Back Matter. *The Foundation Review*, 12(4). <https://doi.org/10.9707/1944-5660.1548>

Copyright © 2021 Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University. The Foundation Review is reproduced electronically by ScholarWorks@GVSU. <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr>

Executive Summaries

Results

7

Can Civil Society Be Inclusive? Strategies for Endowed Foundations

Drs Irene M. H. Davids; and Dr Lucas C. P. M. Meijs, Erasmus University

Literature on inclusion and exclusion within civil society distinguishes two broad approaches: the managerial, based on the private sphere, and the democratic, based upon the public sphere. Regardless of the approach, however, the influence of cultural distance or proximity between endowed foundations and grassroots associations has remained understudied. This article shares results of a quantitative comparison of the patterns of funding awarded by a regional endowed foundation in the Netherlands to immigrant grassroots associations and to other grassroots organizations. The results reveal differences in funding despite the foundation's inclusive strategy. While the literature on the nonprofit sector is increasingly dominated by a businesslike approach, such practices may not necessarily improve grantmaking for endowed foundations.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1539

Tools

22

Measuring the Effectiveness of Equitable Economic Development Strategies

Amy Minzner, M.S.C.R.P., Community Science

Equitable economic development activities are designed to foster inclusive growth by dismantling barriers and expanding opportunities for low-income people and communities of color. These strategies are being used with increasing frequency, and advocates and funders are pressing for their use throughout the country. However, in order to understand the link between equitable economic development activities and equitable economic impacts, a new measurement strategy is needed to unmask variations of growth for different populations. This article presents a framework of leading equitable economic development strategies, and proposes an approach for measuring their effects on barriers, opportunities, and end outcomes by population characteristics.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1540

Tools (continued)

35

Overcoming the Systemic Challenges of Wealth Inequality in the U.S.

David Peter Stroh, MCP, Bridgeway Partners

The galvanizing public murder of George Floyd and the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on Black and Hispanic people have put structural racism and its influence on wealth inequality in the U.S. into stark relief. As multiracial groups express outrage at these visible disparities, we risk missing the other side of the coin: that wealth inequality in turn fans structural racism. Understanding and then breaking this vicious cycle are essential to realizing our renewed commitment to a country that works for everyone. This article seeks to draw renewed attention to the damaging impacts of wealth inequality, its root causes, and strategies for overcoming it. This article specifically applies systems thinking to identify the root causes of wealth inequality, including structural racism, and then proposes four primary strategies for both fairly distributing and generating new wealth.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1541

Sector

50

At Your Service: Nonprofit Infrastructure Organizations and COVID-19

Christopher R. Prentice, Ph.D., and Jeffrey L. Brudney, Ph.D., University of North Carolina Wilmington; Richard M. Clerkin, Ph.D., North Carolina State University; and Patrick C. Brien, B.S., Cape Fear Collective

The service areas of nonprofit infrastructure organizations can be divided into three categories: those that support the nonprofit sector as a whole, those that assist nonprofit organizations and their staffs, and those that devote their resources to the communities or region they serve. This article presents a case study of one region in which all three types of organizations were asked to share their responses to nonprofits that sought help in dealing with the coronavirus pandemic. The diversity of services and business models revealed in the sample illustrates the range of complementary resources that benefit service-delivery nonprofits and their communities.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1542

Reflective Practice

58

Regional Inclusive Growth Through Systems Philanthropy in Essex County, Massachusetts

Lisa Payne Simon, M.P.H., The Philanthropic Initiative; and Stratton Lloyd, M.B.A., and Beth Francis, B.A., Essex County Community Foundation

The Essex County Community Foundation and its partners launched a systems philanthropy strategy to address income inequality and stimulate inclusive growth. The strategy involves a multipronged approach aimed at amplifying the county's strengths, launching inclusive-growth initiatives, expanding workforce training and skill development to increase a broad target population's earning potential and net worth, incentivizing and supporting small-business resiliency and growth, and revitalizing and reinventing struggling local industries. To measure progress, the foundation maintains quality of life indicators for data on income, equity, businesses, education, and jobs. This article shares insights into systems philanthropy, the roles played by the foundation and its business and community partners, and how funders can reduce income inequality by investing systemically in inclusive growth.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1543

73

What Does It Take? Reflections on Foundation Practice in Building Healthy Communities, 2010–2020

Prudence Brown, Ph.D., Tom David, Ph.D., and Anand Sharma, M.P.P.

Foundation practice — how a foundation goes about its work — plays a significant role in determining the results of the work, particularly for foundations that take on roles that position them as part of the action rather than solely as sources of funds. This article aims to build upon the lessons from past place-based work and provide new knowledge by examining the practices of The California Endowment as it designed, implemented, and learned from Building Healthy Communities, a \$1.75 billion ten-year initiative to promote health equity. The article suggests strategies that appeared key to effective board governance of BHC over a ten-year period. The article includes reflections about what it takes for a private foundation to succeed in such a complex and long-term enterprise.

DOI: 10.9707/1944-5660.1544

Thanks to our reviewers!

We'd like to thank our peer reviewers for Volume 12 of *The Foundation Review* for their time, expertise, and guidance. The peer-review process is essential in ensuring the quality of our content. Thank you for your contributions to building the field of philanthropy!

If you are interested in peer reviewing for Volume 13, send an email to Teri Behrens, Editor in Chief, at behrenst@foundationreview.org.

Ivye Allen, Ph.D.

Foundation for the Mid South

Shena Ashley, Ph.D.

Syracuse University

Abhishek Bhati, Ph.D.

Bowling Green State University

Natalie Blackmur, B.A.

Informing Change

Eleanor Brilliant, Ph.D.

Independent Research Professional

Karen R. Brown, M.P.A.

Fairfield County's Community Foundation

Thad Calabrese, Ph.D.

New York University

Hanh Cao Yu, Ph.D.

The California Endowment

Bob Clark, M.A., J.D.

Rockefeller Archive Center

Julia Coffman, M.S.J.S.

Center for Evaluation Innovation

Matthew Courser, Ph.D.

Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation

Lyz Crane, M.P.A.

ArtPlace America

Nancy Baughman Csuti, DrPH

The Colorado Trust

Sarah D. Deering, B.S.

Medical College of Wisconsin

Melissa B. Eggen, M.P.H.

University of Louisville

Megan Folkerth, M.P.H.

Interact for Health

Jason Franklin, Ph.D.

Ktisis Capital

Lori Fuller, M.S.W., M.B.A.

Kate B. Reynolds Charitable Trust

Dahlia D. Handman, Ph.D.

University of Southern Maine

Mary Harrington, M.P.P.

Mathematica

Lucas Held, CMM, B.A.

The Wallace Foundation

Anne Hewitt, Ph.D.

Seton Hall University

Mae Hong, AM
Rockefeller Philanthropy Advisors

Della M. Hughes, M.S.S.W., M.Div.
Brandeis University

Lauren Johnson, B.A.
Social Venture Partners Portland

Jennifer Amanda Jones, Ph.D.
University of Florida

Thomas Kelly, M.P.H.
Hawai'i Community Foundation

Holly Korda, Ph.D.
Health Systems Research Associates

Hilary Leav, M.S.
Compassion International

Sally Leiderman, B.A.
Center for Assessment and
Policy Development

Mark A. Lelle, Ph.D.
Independent Consultant

Stratton Lloyd, M.B.A., B.A.
Essex County Community Foundation

Albertina Lopez, Ph.D.
Center for Evaluation Innovation

Deena Margolis, M.P.A.
Focali Consulting LLC

Maureen Martin, M.B.A.
University of Michigan

Mohammed Mohammed, Ph.D.
Fetzer Institute

Rachel Mosher-Williams, M.P.A.
RMW Consulting Group, LLC

Ottis Murray, Ed.D.
The University of North Carolina
at Pembroke

Alyce Myatt, B.A.
Alyce Myatt Consulting

Pam Nippolt, Ph.D.
University of Minnesota

Beverly Parson, Ph.D.
InSites

Christine Payne, M.A., M.B.A.
University of Louisville

Marc Philpart, M.P.A., M.P.H.
PolicyLink

Janet Rechtman, Ph.D.
The Fanning Institute

Julie Slay, Ph.D.
Arabella Advisors

Kurian Thomas, M.P.A., M.B.A.
Fetzer Institute

Wendy Wehr, M.A.
www.wendywehr.com

Anne Whatley, B.A., MSc
Network Impact

Angela White, Ph.D.
Indiana University Lilly Family
School of Philanthropy

Ann Whitney Breihan, Ph.D.
College of Notre Dame of Maryland

Call for Papers

FOR VOLUME 14, ISSUE 1

Abstracts of up to 250 words are being solicited for Vol. 14, Issue 1 of *The Foundation Review*. This issue will be an open (unthemed) issue. Papers on any topic relevant to organized philanthropy are invited.

Submit abstracts to submissions@foundationreview.org by March 31, 2021. If a full paper is invited, it will be due August 31, 2021 for consideration for publication in March 2022.

Abstracts are solicited in four categories:

- **Results.** Papers in this category generally report on findings from evaluations of foundation-funded work. Papers should include a description of the theory of change (logic model, program theory), a description of the grantmaking strategy, the evaluation methodology, the results, and discussion. The discussion should focus on what has been learned both about the programmatic content and about grantmaking and other foundation roles (convening, etc.).
- **Tools.** Papers in this category should describe tools useful for foundation staff or boards. By “tool” we mean a systematic, replicable method intended for a specific purpose. For example, a protocol to assess community readiness and standardized facilitation methods would be considered tools. The actual tool should be included in the article where practical. The paper should describe the rationale for the tool, how it was developed, and available evidence of its usefulness.
- **Sector.** Papers in this category address issues that confront the philanthropic sector as whole, such as diversity, accountability, etc. These are typically empirically based; literature reviews are also considered.
- **Reflective Practice.** The reflective practice articles rely on the knowledge and experience of the authors, rather than on formal evaluation methods or designs. In these cases, it is because of their perspective about broader issues, rather than specific initiatives, that the article is valuable.

Book Reviews: *The Foundation Review* publishes reviews of relevant books. Please contact the editor to discuss submitting a review. Reviewers must be free of conflicts of interest.

Authors can view full manuscript specifications and standards before submitting an abstract at https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr/for_authors.html.

Questions? Contact Teri Behrens, editor of *The Foundation Review*, with questions at behrenst@foundationreview.org or (734) 646-2874.

THE FoundationReview®

The Foundation Review is the first peer-reviewed journal of philanthropy, written by and for foundation staff and boards and those who work with them. With a combination of rigorous research and accessible writing, it can help you and your team put new ideas and good practices to work for more effective philanthropy.

Our Mission: To share evaluation results, tools, and knowledge about the philanthropic sector in order to improve the practice of grantmaking, yielding greater impact and innovation.

Published Quarterly by the Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University

www.thefoundationreview.org

ISSN 1944-5660 | eISSN 1944-5679