

The Foundation Review

Volume 9
Issue 1 *Exit Strategies*

Article 2

4-2017

Front Matter

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr>

Recommended Citation

(2017) "Front Matter," *The Foundation Review*: Vol. 9: Iss. 1, Article 2.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9707/1944-5660.1345>

Available at: <http://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/tfr/vol9/iss1/2>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@GVSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Foundation Review by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks@GVSU. For more information, please contact scholarworks@gvsu.edu.

THE **Foundation** Review[®]



Exit Strategies

Results

Legacy of a Philanthropic Exit	7
Partnership with Government	23

Tools

Evaluation at Sunset	40
----------------------------	----

Sector

Advice for Breaking Up	50
Exiting Large-Scale Initiatives	64

Reflective Practice

End-Game Evaluation	78
The Skillman Foundation Experience	92

Commentary

Reflections on Exits	103
----------------------------	-----

Executive Summaries	107
---------------------------	-----

Call for Papers	111
-----------------------	-----

CO-SPONSORED BY:  **S. D. BECHTEL, JR. FOUNDATION**
STEPHEN BECHTEL FUND

the David & Lucile **Packard** FOUNDATION

The **ATLANTIC** Philanthropies

The Peer-Reviewed Journal of Philanthropy



JOHNSON CENTER
AT GRAND VALLEY STATE UNIVERSITY



Master of Philanthropy and Nonprofit Leadership



Grand Valley State University's Master of Philanthropy and Nonprofit Leadership prepares students for careers or advancement in the nonprofit and philanthropic sector through **classroom study, applied research, professional development,** and **field experience.**

Students learn to lead and manage philanthropic and nonprofit organizations ethically and effectively, and go beyond traditional boundaries in the pursuit of prosperous, safe, and healthy communities.

Coursework includes:

- ▶ Financial management
- ▶ Fund development
- ▶ Grantmaking
- ▶ Marketing
- ▶ Nonprofit management
- ▶ Program evaluation
- ▶ Social entrepreneurship

For more information, visit gvsu.edu/spnha



THE FoundationReview®

PUBLISHED QUARTERLY

VOL. 9 ISSUE 1 | MARCH 2017

The Foundation Review is the first peer-reviewed journal of philanthropy, written by and for foundation staff and boards and those who work with them implementing programs. Each quarterly issue of *The Foundation Review* provides peer-reviewed reports about the field of philanthropy, including reports by foundations on their own work.

Our mission: To share evaluation results, tools, and knowledge about the philanthropic sector in order to improve the practice of grantmaking, yielding greater impact and innovation.

The Foundation Review is a proud product of the Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University.



Photo Credit

Front cover: Shutterstock

Editor in Chief
Teresa R. Behrens

Associate Editor
Pat Robinson

Assistant Editor
Michael Pratt

Art Director
Karen Hoekstra

Editorial Advisory Board

Robert F. Ashcraft, Ph.D.
Lodestar Center for Philanthropy
and Nonprofit Innovation
Arizona State University

Kathy Palumbo, Ph.D.
The Community Foundation
for Greater Atlanta

Patricia Patrizi
Patrizi Associates

Michael Quinn Patton, Ph.D.
Utilization-Focused Evaluation

Hallie Preskill, Ph.D.
FSG Social Impact Consultants

Patrick Rooney, Ph.D.
Lilly Family School of Philanthropy
Indiana University

Statement of the Editorial Advisory Board

We believe that the forthright sharing of information among foundations and nonprofits builds a knowledge base that strengthens their ability to effectively address critical social issues. We encourage foundation donors, boards, and staff to honor this transparency in their own practices and to support others who do so.



JOHNSON CENTER
AT GRAND VALLEY STATE UNIVERSITY



GRAND VALLEY
STATE UNIVERSITY

Copyright © 2017 Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University. *The Foundation Review* is a registered trademark of Grand Valley State University.

editorial

Dear Readers,

Since 2010, there has been a significant shift toward creating foundations that have a defined endpoint. According to one estimate,¹ about 19 percent of family foundations established between 2010 and 2014 plan to spend out their endowments, compared to only 3 percent of those created before 1970. The U.S.'s biggest foundation is a limited-life foundation — the Gates Foundation is set to close 20 years after the death of the donors.

Limited-life (also known as sun-setting, or spend-down) foundations have some things in common with perpetual foundations that are exiting a line of work. Beginning in the late 1990's with the rise of strategic philanthropy, many perpetual foundations began funding time-limited strategic initiatives. The Skillman Foundation in Detroit, for example, funded the "Good Neighborhoods" initiative for more than ten years, ending in 2016.



Teri Behrens

Both of these situations — ending the foundation or ending a line of work — create a specific set of challenges. What is the best way to exit and leave in place strong organizations, networks, and fields that can continue to achieve positive results for their communities? How do you preserve the knowledge and intellectual assets of the foundation? How do you manage foundation staff in the context of a spend-down or ending support for the line of work they are passionate about? How does the foundation ensure that the organizations in which they have invested will continue to honor the intent of the funding? How do you create partnerships with other funders, including government?

As new foundations are choosing to limit their lifespans and perpetual foundations continue to fund work in targeted, limited-time initiatives, their effectiveness at addressing these and other questions has a significant impact on the nonprofit landscape. It requires that, more than ever, nonprofits think of philanthropy as seed capital rather than on-going support. It requires that exiting foundations are extra diligent about mission alignment. It requires greater collaboration among funders. It requires creative approaches to human resources.

¹"Trends in Family Philanthropy." *National Center for Family Philanthropy* 11/02/2015.

Fred Smith (2016) shared a compelling metaphor for what ideally happens when a foundation ends its grantmaking:

If I knew I was setting an end date, I would call it dissolution — but not in the way that term is normally used... When salt dissolves, it is absorbed and assimilated into the body... It becomes an integral part of the body, and long after we consume it, the effects remain...

That is how I see the most important work of a foundation. If we do our work right, we will do more than invest in a community or make financial gifts that evaporate when we are no longer there. Rather, we dissolve and the things that are truly lasting — our values, our way of seeing opportunities, our relationships, our non-financial contributions — become a lasting part of the community in which we live (para. 4–6).

The S. D. Bechtel, Jr. Foundation, Atlantic Philanthropies, and the David and Lucile Packard Foundation have co-sponsored this special issue of *The Foundation Review* on Exit Strategies to expand what we know about how to achieve that lasting impact.

Gienapp, Reisman, Shorr, and Arbreton describe the findings from a time-bound initiative of the Hewlett Foundation on nuclear security and how learning from that initiative has influenced how they think about exiting other fields of work.

Foundations have often proposed that their role is to pilot interventions, with government funding then taking over support for successful approaches. **Knox and Quirk** share the experience of exiting work in Northern Ireland and partnering with government as the exit strategy.

While many foundations now appreciate the importance of bringing evaluation in at the beginning of an initiative, thinking about how to conduct evaluations at the end of an initiative poses its own challenges. **Beadnell, Djang, Vanslyke, Masters, and Anderson** conducted a “sunset evaluation” and share some of their methodologies.

Kibbe draws on interviews of grantmakers and grantees to identify areas in which foundations can improve their exit practices, offering a summary of advice from both perspectives.

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE


As part of exiting out of the Building Health Communities initiative, the California Endowment commissioned a research project to identify effective exit practices. **Yu, Jhawar, and Berman** report on the results of this national scan.

Halverstadt and Kerman share the emerging hypotheses of two foundations, The Atlantic Philanthropies and the S. D. Bechtel, Jr. Foundation — each four years from sunset — about the opportunities and challenges for evaluation in the limited-life context.

The issue includes an interview with **Marie Columbo**, director for strategic evaluation and learning at the Skillman Foundation, about the unique challenges of exiting place-based work.

We conclude with personal reflections from **Debra Joy Perez** about the role of relationships in exiting.

We hope these articles inspire our readers to plan their exits to be both graceful and impactful.



Teresa R. Behrens, Ph.D.

Editor in Chief, *The Foundation Review*

Director, Institute for Foundation and Donor Learning,

Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy at Grand Valley State University

Reference

SMITH, F. (2016, June 21). For Sunsetting Foundations, a Limited Life but a Perpetual Contribution. [Blog post]. Retrieved from <http://effectivephilanthropy.org/for-sunsetting-foundations-a-limited-life-but-a-perpetual-contribution>.

Results

- 7** The Legacy of a Philanthropic Exit: Lessons from the Evaluation of the Hewlett Foundation's Nuclear Security Initiative

Anne Gienapp, M.P.A., and Jane Reisman, Ph.D., ORS Impact; and David Shorr, M.P.A., and Amy Arbretton, Ph.D., William and Flora Hewlett Foundation

- 23** Partnership With Government: An Exit Strategy for Philanthropies?

Colin Knox, Ph.D., Nazarbayev University and Ulster University, and Padraic Quirk, Ph.D., Social Change Initiative

Tools

- 40** Evaluation at Sunset: Considerations When Evaluating a Program as It Concludes

Blair Beadnell, Ph.D., Holly Carmichael Djang, M.A., Jan Vanslyke, Ph.D., and Tatiana Masters, Ph.D., Evaluation Specialists; and Barbara Andersen, M.P.A., Santa Barbara Foundation

Sector

- 50** Breaking Up Is Hard to Do
Barbara Kibbe, J.D., S. D. Bechtel, Jr. Foundation

- 64** Exiting From Large-Scale Initiatives: Lessons and Insights From a National Scan of Philanthropy

Hanh Cao Yu, Ph.D., and Moninder-Mona K. Jhavar, M.P.H., The California Endowment, and Daniela Berman, M.P.P., Social Policy Research Associates

Reflective Practice

- 78** End-Game Evaluation: Building A Legacy Of Learning In A Limited-Life Foundation

Ashleigh Halverstadt, M.P.A., S. D. Bechtel, Jr. Foundation, and Benjamin Kerman, Ph.D., The Atlantic Philanthropies

- 92** Changing in Place: The Skillman Foundation, Detroit, and the Good Neighborhoods Initiative

How did a hometown grantmaker conduct and conclude its largest-ever initiative?

Commentary

- 103** Exiting is a Natural Part of Philanthropy — Learning From it? Not so Much.

Debra Joy Perez, Ph.D.

Plus

- 107** Executive Summaries

- 111** Call for Papers

The Institute for Foundation and Donor Learning

Philanthropy is evolving quickly, presenting new opportunities and challenges for effective grantmaking. The Institute for Foundation and Donor Learning at the Dorothy A. Johnson Center for Philanthropy helps grantmakers adopt best practices and interact with other practitioners to strengthen their daily work.

Our programs are designed to meet the learning needs of grantmakers and donors: **The Foundation Review**, **The Grantmaking School**, **LearnPhilanthropy.org**, **OurStateofGenerosity.org**, the **Frey Foundation Chair for Family Philanthropy**, and the **W.K. Kellogg Community Philanthropy Chair**.

Sponsorship and Sponsor Subscriptions

If you or your organization are interested in sponsoring an issue on a particular topic or in supporting the work of *The Foundation Review*, please contact Teri Behrens at behrenst@foundationreview.org.

Permissions

Abstracting is permitted with credit to the source. Permission may be requested to photocopy or reproduce materials published in this journal by contacting the Copyright Clearance Center at www.copyright.com, or by sending an email to info@copyright.com.

Contact Pat Robinson at pat.robinson@gvsu.edu for more information.

Partner Discounts

Discounted subscriptions are offered to members of partner organizations. If you are a member of the following organizations, please use the discount code below when subscribing at <http://johnsoncenter.org/subscribe>.

Contact Pat Robinson at pat.robinson@gvsu.edu for more information.

- American Evaluation Association (AEA17) www.eval.org
- Association of Black Foundation Executives (ABFE17) www.abfe.org
- The Communications Network (CN17) www.comnetwork.org
- Council of Michigan Foundations (CMF17) www.michiganfoundations.org
- Council on Foundations (COF17) www.cof.org
- Emerging Practitioners in Philanthropy (EPIP17) www.epip.org
- Forum of Regional Associations of Grantmakers (FRA17) www.givingforum.org
- Funders' Network (FN17) www.fundersnetwork.org
- Grantmakers for Education (GFE17) www.edfunders.org
- Grantmakers for Effective Organizations (GEO17) www.geofunders.org
- Grant Managers Network (GMN17) www.gmnetwork.org
- LearnPhilanthropy (LP17) www.learnphilanthropy.org
- National Network of Consultants to Grantmakers (NCCG17) www.nnccg.org



This publication is printed with soy ink. Printed in USA